

M J Worthington D W H Miles



### M J Worthington D W H Miles

#### Summary

Plas Pengwern is a large, roughly H-plan stone-built house with a central hall set between wings, one medieval in origin. Twelve samples from the cross-range were sampled these gave a range of felling dates, spring 1478, winter 1478/9, and spring 1479. A sample from the south purlin dated to spring 1493 south this was a replacement inserted sometime after 1493.

No date could be found for any of the samples taken from the Hall-range. So the Hall-range remains undated. One sample from the kitchen was found to date and gave a felling date of after 1483.

#### Keywords

Dendrochronology Standing Building

#### Authors' address

Oxford Dendrochronology Laboratory, Mill Farm, Mapledurham, Oxfordshire, RG4 7TX Telephone: 0118 972 4074; e-mail michael@dendrochronology.com, daniel.miles@rlaha.ox.ac.uk

THE TREE-RING DATING OF THE

#### **Description of building**

MERIONETH, FFESTINIOG, Plas Pengwern (Pengwern Old Hall) (SH 6993 4304) Plas Pengwern is a large, roughly H-plan stone-built house with a central hall set between wings, one medieval in origin. Recent repairs to the upper wing have revealed that it retains a largely complete and partly smoke-blackened medieval roof of four bays with tenoned ridge and purlins and three tiers of cusped windbraces. The interpretation of the range is in progress, but it appears to have been an elaborate timber-framed cross-wing to a rebuilt hall. Tree-ring dating has given a precise felling-date for the trusses of the medieval wing as well as a complex series of dates for various phases of rebuilding and repair. Plan in Smith, *Houses of the Welsh Countryside*, fig. 146a, with additional survey notes in NMRW.

#### **Objectives of dating**

The objective of the project was to date as many building phase as possible and so aid in the understand the development of this building complex.

#### Commissioners

Dating commissioned by Gwilym Jones/Peter Crew for the Snowdonia National Park..

#### Assessment

The building was assessed and sampled during a period when the building was being extensively repaired which allowed access to large areas of the roof which otherwise would have been inaccessible. The main objective was to date the primary construction timbers from each building phase. The rafters on the front wing were either unsuitable for analysis, having less than fifty annual rings or had all been replaced. A number of tie-beams, cross-beams, and purlins were assessed as being suitable for sampling. From the hall range a tiebeam and a number of principal rafters were found to be suitable for sampling and analysis. The far wing had had extensive repairs and no samples were taken from this range. From the kitchen range a number of floor joists were assessed as being suitable for sampling.

#### Methodology

The samples were taken using a 16mm hollow auger powered by an electric drill. The samples were sanded on a linisher using 60 to 1000 grit abrasive paper. These were then measured to an accuracy of 0.01mm using a travelling stage attached to a microcomputer based measuring system (Reynolds pers comm 1998).

The samples were compared with each other using dendrochronological techniques as outlined in English Heritage (1998). This involved both visual comparisons using semi-logarithmic graphs as well as statistical cross-correlations using a computer. This utilised cross-correlation algorithms (Baillie and Pilcher 1973) which have been implemented using computer software written for Windows in Visual Basic by M R Allwright and P A Parker. In comparing two individual samples, a *t*-value of 3.5 or higher is usually indicative of a good match, whilst *t*-values of 10 and above often

suggest that samples have originated from the same parent tree. All individual samples showing a match with consistently high correlation during cross-matching are averaged together to form a mean site master. On comparing this site master with dated reference chronologies, *t*-values of 5 and above are normally expected. A conclusive match should also exhibit the highest matches with reference chronologies of local origin as well as with well-replicated regional chronologies. Matching positions suggested by computer are confirmed by satisfactory visual matching.

Once a ring sequence has been dated chronologically, the date of felling needs to be interpreted. When the sapwood is complete on a sample, the determination of a felling date is relatively straightforward. Each growth ring is comprised of one or more rows of open spring vessels, or early wood, followed by a band of dense summer growth or late-wood. During the winter months the tree remains dormant. If both the spring and summer growth are present and complete, then the tree would have been felled during the winter period. If only the spring vessels are present beneath the bark, then the tree can be said to have died or been felled during the spring period. If only a few vessels are present, then it is possible to further refine the time of felling to early spring. If some dense wood or summer growth is present, then a summer or autumn felling period can be determined. However, as it is not known how wide the summer growth band should be for that particular tree, it cannot be stated conclusively whether the tree was felled in early or late summer, or if indeed it was felled at some point in the winter. For instance, a severe May frost can suddenly halt their growth, which would produce a very narrow ring with little or no summer wood (Baillie 1982, plate 2c). Therefore, a certain degree of caution should be used in interpreting felling seasons between summer and autumn, or even winter seasons in some instances. Only apparently complete rings indicating felling during the winter months are measured, samples exhibiting spring or summer growth would give a felling date during the year following the last measured ring.

If the outer most rings are missing but the heartwood-sapwood boundary survives, then the number of missing sapwood rings can be estimated using an empirically derived sapwood estimate. The sapwood estimate used in this report is 11 to 41 rings, the 95% confidence range calculated by Miles (1997a) for Shropshire and the Welsh borders. Samples only having heartwood but without any indication of heartwood/sapwood transition are given a *terminus post quem* or felled after date which is calculated by adding a minimum of 11 years to the last ring present on the sample.

It should be remembered that dendrochronology can only date when the tree died, not the date of construction for a building or artefact. The interpretation of a felling date relies on having a good number of precise felling dates rather than just one or two. Nevertheless, it was common practice to build timber-framed structures with green or unseasoned timber and construction usually took place within twelve months of felling (Miles 1997a).

#### Sampling strategy

Following a preliminary assessment, twenty-seven samples were taken from oak (*Quercus* spp.) timbers having been identified as from the primary construction of the phases being studied. Details of the samples and their locations can be seen in Table 1 and Figs \*\*\*.

The sample were either taken using a 16mm hollow auger or sections of timber were cut from any timbers that had been removed from the building for replacement with new wood.

From the cross-wing eight cores were taken from *in situ* timbers and ten sections were taken from timber that had been removed from the roof during the repairs. From the hall-range four timbers were sampled using the 16mm augur and four timbers that had been removed from the building had 1" section removed from their ends. From the kitchen range only two timbers were suitable for sampling, these were from the floor joists, from these 1" sections were cut from their ends.

Of the twenty-seven samples taken, fourteen had complete sapwood, allowing precise date to be allocated to any dating samples. Nine had the heartwood/sapwood boundary present and would allow date ranges to be given to any dating sample. The remaining samples had only heartwood surviving, so only a *tpq* could be assigned to the sample.

#### **Cross-matching and site chronology**

Of the twenty-seven samples taken from the cross-wing, the hall-range and the kitchen, only thirteen were found to match together. These include from the cross-wing samples *pgwff1*, *pgwff2*, *pgwff3*, *pgwff4*, *pgwff3*, *pgwff4*, *pgwff5*, *pgwff1*, *pgwff12*, *pgwff6*, *pgwffp7*, *pgwffp8*, *pgwffm4*, and *pgwffm6*. These were combined with *pgwffk1* from the kitchen range at the positions given in Table 2 to form the site master *PENGWERN* table 3. This 169-year chronology is well replicated.

#### Absolute dating

This new site master was then compared with over 1200 dated reference chronologies from the British Isles and was found to date strongly, spanning the years AD 1353-1521 (Table 4).

#### **Undated** samples

The fourteen undated samples were also compared with the site master, as well as individually with the dated reference chronologies, but failed to date consistently. This is primarily due to their having too few growth rings and some having distorted ring patterns.

#### Interpretation and discussion

Twelve samples from the cross-range dated, of these four retained complete sapwood giving felling dates of spring 1478 for the crossbeam Truss3, winter 1478/9 for the tiebeam of Truss 4, and spring 1479 for the tiebeam of Truss 3. The fourth gave a felling date of spring 1493 for the south purlin clearly showing that this is a replacement inserted sometime after 1493. All the other dated samples from this section of the building are consistent these dates. It has been shown that it is very common for timbers from one building to be felled over a few years (Miles 1997a).

Although eight samples were taken from the Hall-range, no date could be found for any of these samples so this section of the building has to remain undated.

Only one sample from the kitchen range was found to date and gave a felling date of after 1483.

#### Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements are given to Peter Crew of the Snowdonia National Park and Richard Suggett from the Welsh Royal Commission for their help interpreting this very complex building. English Heritage for both published and unpublished data.

#### References

Baillie, M G L, 1982 Tree-Ring Dating and Archaeology, London

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973 A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, Tree-Ring Bulletin, 33, 7-14

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1982 unpubl A Master tree-ring chronology for England, unpubl computer file ENGLAND, Queens Univ, Belfast

Hillam, J, and Groves, C, 1994 Compilation of master chronologies from the North, unpubl computer file NORTH, Sheffield Dendrochronology Laboratory

English Heritage, 1998 Dendrochronology: guidelines on producing and interpreting dendrochronological dates, London

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its use for dating Vernacular Buildings, Univ Nottingham, Dept of Classical and Archaeol Studies, Monograph Ser, 3

Leggett, P A, 1980 The use of tree-ring analysis in the absolute dating of historical sites and their use in the interpretation of past climatic trends, PhD Thesis CNAA (Liverpool Polytechnic)

Miles, D H, 1997a The interpretation, presentation, and use of tree-ring dates, Vernacular Architect, 28, 40-56

Miles, D H, 1997b Working compilation of 58 reference chronologies centred around Wales by various researchers, unpubl computer file WALES97, Oxford Dendrochronology Laboratory

Miles, D H, and Haddon-Reece, D, 1993 List 54 - Tree-ring dates, Vernacular Architect, 24, 54-60

Miles, D H, and Haddon-Reece, D, 1995 List 64 - Tree-ring dates, Vernacular Architect, 26, 60-74

Miles, D H, and Haddon-Reece, D 1996 List 72 - Tree-ring dates, Vernacular Architect, 27, 97-102

Miles, D H, and Worthington, M J, 1999 Tree-ring dates, Vernacular Architect 30, 98-113

Miles, D H, and Worthington, M J, 2000 Tree-ring dates, Vernacular Architect 31, 90-113

Miles, D H, and Worthington, M J, 2002 Tree-ring dates, Vernacular Architect 33, 81-102

Tyers, I, 1996 The tree-ring analysis of six secular buildings from the city of Hereford, Anc Mon Lab Rep, 17/96

Tyers I, 1999 Dendrochronological analysis of timbers from Apethorn Fold Farmhouse, Hyde, Tameside, Anc Mon Lab Rep, 59/1999

Tyers, I, 2000 Dendrochronological analysis of timbers from Apple Tree Cottage, Elstead, Surrey, ARCUS Rep, 561

Tyers, I, 2000 Tree-ring analysis of oak timbers from Arches Cottages, Sawley, Lancashire, Anc Mon Lab Rep, 21/2000

## Table 1: Summary of tree-ring dating

# PENGERN, WALES 11-41

Sample number & type	e	Timber and position	Dates AD spanning	H/S bdry	Sapwood complement	No of rings	Mean width	Std devn	Mean sens	Felling seasons and dates/date ranges (AD)
Cross-wing							mm	ma	mm	
pgwffla	с	Tiebeam T3	1379-1458	145	8	80	1.73	1.07	0.220	
pgwff1b	c	Tiebeam T3	1389-1478	145	7 21 1/4C	90	1.56	0.58	0.232	
* pgwff1		Mean of 1a +1b	1379-1478	145	7 21 1/4C	100	1.74	0.95	0.221	Spring AD 1479
pgwff2a	c	Front post T3	1409-1469	146	475	64	1.74	0.82	0.349	Spring rus 1175
pgwff2b	C	Front post T3	1385-1452			68	1.51	0.66	0.283	
*pgwff2		mean of pgwffla +b	1385-1469	146	4?5	85	1.74	0.79	0.298	AD 1475-1505
pgwff3a	C	Tiebeam T4	1370-1478	145	7 21C	109	1.81	0.78	0.206	100 1110 1000
pgwff3b	C	Tiebeam T4	1359-1449	h/w		91	1.79	0.55	0.233	
* pgwff3		Mean of pgwff3a +3b	1359-1478	145	7 21C	120	1.75	0.60	0.213	Winter AD 1478/9
pgwff4	c	South purlin T4-5	1437-1492	147:	3 19 1/4C	56	1.96	0.60	0.241	Spring AD 1493?
* pgwff5	С	South post T2	1388-1460	145	8 2	73	1.88	1.05	0.271	AD 1469 - 1499
*pgwffP6	с	South upper purlin T1-2	1375-1458			84	1.10	0.59	0.266	After 1469
*pgwffP7	C	South lower purlin T1-2	1353-1416		h/w only	64	1.65	0.89	0.221	After 1427
* pgwffP8	c	South lower purlin T2-4	1382-1458	145	2 6	77	1.49	0.75	0.286	AD 1463 - 93
Ground Flo	or									
pgwff11a1		Crossbeam T3	1365-1395	h/w		31	1.	0.94	0.24	
pgwff11a2	57	Crossbeam T3	1398-1477	144	7 30 1/4C	80	1.	0.94	0.24	Spring AD 1478
pgwff11b		Crossbeam T3	1378-1467	145	0 17	90	1.65	0.87	0.209	oping AD 1470
* pgwff11	с	Mean of pgwffl1a1 +11a2+ 11b	1365-1477			113	1.59	0.78	0.226	Spring AD 1478
* pgwff12	с	Crossbeam T4	1402-1506	150	6	105	1.30	0.50	0.308	AD 1517 - 1547
pgwff13	c	Lintel	an ear ar train			00		0.00	0.000	Unmeasured
Hall Range	Re	oof								
pgwff21a1		Tiebeam north end				10	1.51	1.00	0.201	
newff21a2	ĸ	Tiebeam north end			160	49	1.51	1.00	0.321	
ngwff21h		Tiebeam north end	1.1		160	32	1.05	0.39	0.295	
Po-JJ-10		A NOOVALIT HOITH OHU	÷		100	32	1.10	0.39	0.288	

pgwff21	с	Mean of pgwff21a1+b			16C	32	1.08	0.38	0.289	
pgwff22	с	Principal rafter W side N truss			23	85	1.54	0.72	0.272	
pgwff23	c	Principal rafter E side Mid truss			24C	79	1.74	0.85	0.262	
pgwff24a	с	Principal rafter W side Mid Truss	1.4			62	1,80	0.70	0.171	
pgwff24b	с	Principal rafter W side Mid Truss			22C	76	1.56	0.62	0.234	
pgwff24		Mean of pgwff24a+b			22C	84	1.67	0.71	0.208	
Kitchen Ran	ge									
* pgwffk1	s	Floor joist ex-situ	1397-1472			76	2.03	0.68	0.277	After 1483
pgwffk2	S	Floor joist ex-situ			22C	76	1.73	1.39	0.388	
Ex-situ timb	ers	s from Cross-wing								
pgwffm1a	s	Stud		h/w o	nly	39	1.03	0.79	0.278	
pgwffm2a	s	Rafter				60	1.30	0.50	0.298	
pgwffm2b	s	Rafter				60	1.36	0.64	0.254	
pgwffm2	s	Mean of pgwffm2a + b	-			60	1.42	0.61	0.279	
pgwffm3	s	Purlin	1.1.1		17C	82	1.45	0.73	0.265	
pgwffm4a	s	Rafter	1363-1440		h/w only	78	0.95	0.62	0.299	
pgwffm4b	s	Rafter	1407-1465	1447	18	59	0.74	0.29	0.270	
* pgwffm4		Mean of pgwffin4a + b	1363-1465	1447		103	0.91	0.55	0.284	AD 1465-1488
pgwffm5	s	rafter			28 1/4C	74	1.13	0.43	0.280	
*pgwffm6	s	Purlin repair to cross-wing	1386-1521	1515	6	136	1.66	0.89	0.305	AD 1526-1556
Ex-situ timbe	rs.	from Hall Range								
pgwffn1	s	Hall rafter ex-situ	- e		1	45	1.29	0.58	0.223	
pgwffn2	s	Purlin	-		21 1/4C	64	1.28	0.32	0.191	
pgwffn3	S	Purlin	1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 -		21 1/4C	82	1.44	0.73	0.270	
pgwffn4	s	Purlin	<i></i>		17 1/4C	94	1.56	1.17	0.266	
* = PENGWERN Site Master			1353-1521			169	1.62	0.63	0.218	

1.01

10.0

Key: \*= sample included in site-master; c = core;  $\Theta = pith$  included in sample;  $\frac{1}{4}C, \frac{1}{2}C, C = bark$  edge present, partial or complete ring:  $\frac{1}{4}C = spring$  (ring not measured),  $\frac{1}{2}C = summer/autumn$  (ring not measured), or C = winter felling (ring measured); H/S bdry = heartwood/sapwood boundary - last heartwood ring date Hw only = heartwood only; std devn = standard deviation; mean sens = mean sensitivity, Sapwood estimate used 9 -41 sapwood rings

Table 2: Matrix of t-values and overlaps for components of PENGWERN

	PGWEE1	PGWff11	PGWff2	PGWff3	PGWFF12	PGWFF4	PGWFF5	PGWFFM6	PGWFFP6	PGWFFP7	PGWFFP8	PGWM4
	1379-1478	1365-1477	1385-1469	1359-1478	1402-1506	1437-1492	1388-1460	1386-1521	1375-1458	1353-1416	1382-1458	1363-1465
pgwffk1	4.65	4.21	3.37	5.2	5.74	3.66	3.92	5.63	1.43	1.23	1.92	2.39
1397-1472	76	76	73	76	71	36	64	76	62	20	62	69
pgwff1		5.2	4.38	9.22	4.75	3.29	3.6	5,51	3.32	2.92	6.88	5.27
1379-1478		99	85	100	77	42	73	93	80	38	77	87
pgwff11			4.83	5.55	3.77	0.62	3.46	2.9	4.01	3.15	5.06	5.55
1365-1477			85	113	76	41	73	92	84	52	77	101
pgwff2				6.94	4.81	3.29	5	4.25	4.83	3.58	6.98	5.44
1385-1469				85	68	33	73	84	74	32	74	81
pgwff3					5.82	2.4	5.92	5.15	4.79	4.94	7.98	6.58
1359-1478					77	42	73	93	84	58	77	103
PGWFF12						4.53	4.73	6.68	2.68	0.43	4.81	5.81
1402-1506						56	59	105	57	15	57	64
PGWFF4							1.67	6.38	1.54	No Test	1.99	3.95
1437-1492							24	56	22		22	29
PGWFF5								2.4	4.8	1.74	5.03	4.81
1388-1460								73	71	29	71	73
PGWFFM6	5 - II.								1.58	0.64	2.92	2.95
1386-1521	9								73	31	. 73	80
PGWFFP6	P									6.25	4.77	5.05
1375-1458	60 A									42	2 77	84
PGWFFP7	51.1										4.51	4.32
1353-1416	5										35	54
PGWEFP8	r -											7.74
1382-1458	8											77

Table 3: Ring-width data for site master curve PENGWERN AD 1353-1521, Plas Pengwern, (Pengwern Old Hall) FFESTINIOG, MERIONETH Wales -mean of samples pgwff1 + pgwff2 + pgwff3 + pqwff4 + pgwff5 + pgwff11 + ppwff12 + pgwffm4 + pgwffm6 + pgwffp6 + pgwffp7 + pgwffp8169 rings, starting date AD 1353

#### ring widths (0.01mm)

#### number of samples in master

358 338 320 325 306 325 240 216 298 208 238 209 176 199 235 196 252 217 203 208 212 176 166 095 131 181 290 256 245 224 264 262 291 248 188 211 199 182 137 110 104 118 135 171 185 189 157 191 168 214 260 228 233 221 175 181 197 173 100 130 133 086 109 099 175 182 103 192 168 177 212 186 118 096 081 106 113 137 158 184 170 177 140 116 124 111 085 076 104 133 172 145 135 088 103 109 131 104 102 143 141 108 095 120 118 117 118 179 186 153 200 136 144 210 251 236 153 100 123 117 162 204 255 238 160 157 114 096 115 056 116 167 215 196 243 138 118 189 134 092 068 104 184 252 160 174 120 089 118 170 173 201 134 172 048 066 084 083 113 097 119 119 103 134 116 163 136 093 118

1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	
3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	7	
7	7	8	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	
10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	11	12	
12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	
12	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11	
11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
11	11	11	11	12	12	12	12	12	12	
12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	
12	12	12	12	12	12	10	10	9	9	
9	9	9	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	
6	6	6	6	6	5	3	3	3	3	
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		

C

Table 4: Dating of PENGWERN against reference chronologies at AD 1521.

	Reference chronology	Spanning	<u>Overlap</u>	t-value	
÷	LLANSHAY (Miles and Haddon-Reece 1996)	1319-1432	80	5.11	
	BROOKGT (Miles and Haddon-Reece 1993)	1362-1611	160	5.14	
	PLASMWR1 (Miles and Haddon-Reece 1996)	1428-1556	94	5.20	
	TANHOUSE (Miles and Worthington 1999)	1338-1435	83	5.23	
	CLIVEHS (Miles and Worthington 2002)	1385-1590	137	5.25	
	SARUMBP6 (Miles and Worthington 2000)	1450-1569	72	5.28	
	APETHORN (Tyers 1999)	1379-1512	134	5.41	
	NORTH (Hillam and Groves 1994)	440-1742	169	5.63	
	BEDSTONE (Miles and Haddon-Reece 1995)	1341-1560	169	5.61	
	ELSTEAD (Tyers 2000)	1396-1591	126	5.60	
	SAWLEY (Tyers2000)	1433-1506	74	5.60	
	EASTMID (Laxion and Litton 1988)	882-1981	169	5.71	
	ARDEN2 (Miles and Worthington 2000)	1371-1568	169	5.75	
*	ENGLAND (Baillie and Pilcher 1982)	404-1981	169	5.75	
*	nan8 (Miles and Haddon-Reece 1996)	1313-1524	169	5.81	
*	HEREFC (Tyers 1996)	1313-1640	169	5.84	
	STUBLEY	1382-1490	109	5.96	
4	PENIARTH (Miles and Haddon-Reece 1996)	1385-1550	137	5.97	
	CLAYTON (Leggett 1980)	1471-1580	51	6.46	
	OLDWORD2	1415-1531	107	6.59	
	WALES97 (Miles 1997b)	404-1981	169	6.51	

Component of WALES97

E

Chronologies shown in **bold** are composite chronologies

Figure 1: Bar diagram showing relative positions of dated samples



N