

9

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SM/SN 80NE	GRID REF: SM/SN 8000 0980
PRN: 3029 SITE NAME: LITTLE RICKETON	
AIR PHOTO No 29/92/262 No GROUND PHOTOS: 5	LANDSCAPE TYPE: C
DATE SURVEYED: 8/12/94	SURVEYED BY: G A Hopwood
ACCESS: Field owned by Mr Harries - Robertson Hall farm. Walk down track from Pennington. Grid ref: 886096 Tel: Milford Haven, 692736.	

B

LAND COVER:
SITE: Improved grassland.
HALO:

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

PRN: 3029

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: livestock grazing - dairy + beef cattle.

Continuous grass cover - appear well managed.

HALO:

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Nothing visible. No obvious indications of an enclosure at all. Farmer was not aware of a monument at this time.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

Field has been ploughed in the past and will probably be reseeded again. Sward has the appearance of a temporary ley rather than permanent pasture. No poaching etc or evidence of burrowing animals.

CONTINUED? YES ☐ NO ☒

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS **PAGE** **OF** **PRN: 3029**




TABLE 1

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1994

PRN: 3029

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	■ damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage, leading to erosion
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	■ as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	
HUMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Demolition or removal of monument	■ active removal of artefacts/monument
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ?	■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and
VEGETATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns))	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Tree Windthrow	■ potential massive damage/disturbance
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	■ root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	■ greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ High Gradient	

PRESELI ESA RESURVEY 1997
POINT LINEAR / HISTORICAL MONITORING

SQUARE No. ☐

OWNERSHIPS ☐

LAND COVER ☐

BOUNDARIES ☐

PHOTO RECORD ☐

3029


Preseli ESA

SM8800 0980

hedge has grown tall
so landscape
observed.

55m

ROBEST



PRESELI ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 1997/98

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN 80 NE		GRID REF: SO/SH/SN 8800 0980	
PRN: 3029 SITE NAME: Little Rickeston			
AIR PHOTO No: 29/92/262		LANDSCAPE TYPE: C	
No GROUND PHOTOS: 5			
DATE RESURVEYED: 17/8/97		RESURVEYED BY: C Bosley	

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B: CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES

A: ACCESS

Spk to MRS. Harries.
(Tel 01646 692736)

NB Additional photos no 4/5 (1st photo's incorrect position)

B:LANDCOVER

SITE:

HALO:

C:LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE:

HALO:

D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

Nothing visible .

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)

F

RATE OF DECAY:

STABLE

SLOW

RAPID

CONTINUED?

YES

NO

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y N	High gradient	