


13295

Preseli

SM9385 1445



PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SM/SN 915W	GRID REF: SM/SN 9385 1445
PRN: 13295 SITE NAME: PALMERSTON	
AIR PHOTO No 55/92/264 No GROUND PHOTOS: 3	LANDSCAPE TYPE: J
DATE SURVEYED: 14/12/95	SURVEYED BY: GA HARWOOD
ACCESS: Unable to identify owner, may be council land rented out to tenants. Surveyed from road.	

B

LAND COVER:
SITE: Improved grassland.
HALO:

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

PRN: 13295

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Probably used for grazing. No stock present when visited. Continuous grass cover, sward appears in good condition, about
HALO: but not overgrazed.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Unable to view feature completely. Appears to be some surface evidence of enclosure - linear surface irregularities. Continuous grass cover. No obvious signs of burrowing animals, no rocks or scrub encroachment.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

None at present. Area does not appear to be at known risk of damage.

CONTINUED? YES ☐ NO ☒

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS **PAGE** **OF** **PRN: 13295**




TABLE 1

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1994

PRN: 18295

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	■ damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage, leading to erosion
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	■ as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	
HUMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Demolition or removal of monument	■ active removal of artefacts/monument
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Tree Windthrow	■ potential massive damage/disturbance
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	■ root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	■ greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ High Gradient	

13295

Presele

PRESELE ESA RESURVEY 1997
POINT LINEAR / HISTORICAL MONITORING

SQUARE No.

☐

OWNERSHIP

SMP 9385

L1445

☐

BOUNDARIES

☐

PHOTO RECORD

☐

PRESELI ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 1997/98

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN 91 SW		GRID REF: SO/SH/SN 93 85 1448	
PRN: 13295 SITE NAME: PALMERSTON			
AIR PHOTO No: 55/92/264		LANDSCAPE TYPE: J	
No GROUND PHOTOS: 3			
DATE RESURVEYED: 14/8/98		RESURVEYED BY: CBOSLEY	

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B: CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES

A: ACCESS

B: LANDCOVER

SITE:

HALO:

C: LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE:


HALO:

D: DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

Some poaching / trampling of grass / + tractor
lines near gate entrance. but otherwise
v. little change.

Note photo no 29 52 v. difficult to
take as orig photo was taken during winter
/ Autumn - resurvey carried out during
summer & ∴ leaf cover conceals site.

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)



F

RATE OF DECAY:

STABLE

SLOW

RAPID

CONTINUED?

YES

NO

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS				
AGENT	PRESENT		ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y	N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y	N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y	N	High gradient	