



PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SM/SN 12NW	GRID REF: SM/SN 1260 2P50
PRN: 965 SITE NAME: TIRBACH.	
AIR PHOTO No 56/92/268	LANDSCAPE TYPE: G
No GROUND PHOTOS: 9	
DATE SURVEYED: 15/12/94	SURVEYED BY: SA Hopwood
<p>ACCESS: Area owned by Mr Hembury, Tirbach Fm, Rhos-Bach, Clynderwen, SA66 7SH. Grid ref: 121285.</p>	

B

<p>LAND COVER:</p> <p>SITE: Part of area improved with some bracken encroaching. Moving into semi-improved/scrub with gorse and then scrub with</p> <p>HALO: dense bracken + gorse and isolated hawthorn shrubs.</p> <p>Improved area has been cleared of stones. Many stones - natural or manmade? on scrub area.</p>
--

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

PRN: 965

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Used for grazing. no livestock present when visited. Not intensively managed-bracken + gorse encroaching. Apples

HALO: well grazed, sward fairly lightly cropped.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

no individual feature. Numerous stones present especially on unimproved area. Difficult to tell whether they have been placed to form a monument. Many shallow holes where, according to farmer, stones have been removed or blasted. Many stones lying around edge of field.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

None of significance. Farmer does not intend to clear any more stones or improve land.

CONTINUED? YES ☒ NO ☐

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS **PAGE ...1.. OF1..** **PRN: 965**

D/
stones appear to be scattered at random.
If a planned stone group exists, a more
precise location map is required.

TABLE 1

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1994

PRN:

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	■ damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage, leading to erosion
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	■ as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	
HUMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Demolition or removal of monument	■ active removal of artefacts/monument
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns))	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Tree Windthrow	■ potential massive damage/disturbance
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	■ root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	■ greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ High Gradient	

PRESELI ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 1997/98

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN		GRID REF: SO/SH/SN 1260 2850	
PRN: 98865 SITE NAME: TIRDACH.			
AIR PHOTO No:		LANDSCAPE TYPE: G	
No GROUND PHOTOS: 9			
DATE RESURVEYED: 17/9/97		RESURVEYED BY: SA Hopwood	

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B: CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO ✓	YES
NO	YES ✓
NO mark	YES ✓
NO ✓	YES
NO mark	YES ✓
NO ✓	YES

A: ACCESS

A: ACCESS

B:LANDCOVER

Some burning of gorse has taken place.

SITE: Bracken + gorse may have become denser in places - but time of year may be causing

HALO: this effect.

C:LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE: Farm is now in EIA scheme. Stocking will reflect EIA agreement details.

HALO:

D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)

Scrub burning of gorge a possible threat.

F

RATE OF DECAY:

STABLE

SLOW

RAPID

CONTINUED?

YES

NO

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y N	High gradient	