

Report by: Trysor

For: Mosscliff Environmental Ltd

March 2014



By

Jenny Hall, MIfA & Paul Sambrook, MIfA Trysor

Trysor Project No. 2014/371

For: Mosscliff Environmental Ltd

#### March 2014

38, New Road
Gwaun-cae-Gurwen
Ammanford
Carmarthenshire
SA18 1UN
www.trysor.net
enquiries@trysor.net





Cover photograph: A view across the proposed turbine site with the Murco oil refinery in the background.

RHIF YR ADRODDIAD - REPORT NUMBER: Trysor 2014/371

**DYDDIAD** 8<sup>fed</sup> Mawrth 2014 **DATE** 8<sup>th</sup> March 2014

Paratowyd yr adroddiad hwn gan bartneriad Trysor. Mae wedi ei gael yn gywir ac yn derbyn ein sêl bendith.

This report was prepared by the Trysor partners. It has been checked and received our approval.

JENNY HALL MIFA Jenny Hall

PAUL SAMBROOK MIFA Paul Sambrook

Croesawn unrhyw sylwadau ar gynnwys neu strwythur yr adroddiad hwn.

We welcome any comments on the content or structure of this report.

38, New Road, Gwaun-cae-Gurwen Ammanford Carmarthenshire SA18 1UN 01269 826397 Treclyn Eglwyswrw Crymych Pembrokeshire SA41 3SU 01239 891470

www.trysor.net

enquiries@trysor.net

Trysor is a Registered Organisation with the Institute for Archaeologists and both partners are Members of the Institute for Archaeologists, www.archaeologists.net .

Jenny Hall (BSc Joint Hons., Geology and Archaeology, MIfA) had 12 years excavation experience, which included undertaking watching briefs prior to becoming the Sites and Monuments Record Manager for a Welsh Archaeological Trust for 10 years. She has been an independent archaeologist since 2004 undertaking a variety of work that includes upland survey, desk-based appraisals and assessments, and watching briefs.

Paul Sambrook (BA Joint Hons., Archaeology and Welsh, MIfA, PGCE) has extensive experience as a fieldworker in Wales. He was involved with Cadw's pan-Wales Deserted Rural Settlements Project for 7 years. He also undertook Tir Gofal field survey work and watching briefs. He has been an independent archaeologist since 2004 undertaking a variety of work including upland survey, desk-based appraisals/assessments, and watching briefs.

#### **Contents**

1. Summary	1
2. Copyright	1
3. Introduction	1
4. The Proposed Development	2
5. Methodology	3
6. Studdolph: Historical Overview	6
7. Studdolph: Proposed Turbine Site	9
8. Impact Assessment	9
9. Historic Landscape Aspects Relating to Studdolph Proposed Turbine	13
10. Conclusion	17
11. Reporting	18
12. References	18
Appendix A: Studdolph Impacts	19
Appendix B: Descriptions of Newly Recorded Sites	30
Appendix C: Site Appraisals within the Study Area	35



#### 1. Summary

- 1.1 This historic environment appraisal has been undertaken by Trysor to examine likely impacts on the historic environment from a proposed wind turbine at Studdolph Farm, Steynton, Pembrokeshire.
- 1.2 The appraisal has looked at all known historic assets within an area measuring 2km in radius, focused on SM9087309268, the location of the proposed turbine, consulting the regional Historic Environment Record and the National Monuments Record, as well as examining historic maps. A field visit was also undertaken to examine the location of the turbine and record previously unknown historic assets.
- 1.3 The appraisal shows that there is no surface evidence of buried archaeological features at the proposed development site.
- 1.4 Three Scheduled Ancient Monuments would experience a Low or Very Low, indirect visual impact due to intervisibility with the proposed turbine at distance. There would be no impact on their settings.
- 1.5 Of six Listed Buildings in the appraisal area one would experience a Low, indirect visual impact and four would experience a Very Low, indirect visual impact, again due to intervisibility with the proposed turbine at distance. There would be no impact on their settings.
- 1.6 The appraisal shows that no significant direct, physical impact or significant indirect, visual impact would be caused to the historic environment by the proposed turbine.
- 1.7 On the basis of this appraisal, no archaeological mitigation is thought necessary for the proposed development. Some mitigation is however proposed to reduce impact upon the historic field pattern by the potential widening of a gateway close to the turbine site.

#### 2. Copyright

2.1 Trysor holds the copyright of this report. Further copies may be made of this report without gaining permission to reproduce but it must be noted that Figures 2 and 4 include other copyrighted material and should not be copied.

#### 3. Introduction

- 3.1 Mosscliff Environmental Ltd of Horham Airfield, Horham Road, Denham, Eye, Suffolk IP215DQ commissioned Trysor heritage consultants to write an Historic Environment Appraisal for proposed turbine at Studdolph Farm, Steynton, Pembrokeshire SA73 3UW, planning application 13/0545/PA
- 3.2 Dyfed Archaeological Trust Heritage Management section have written a generic brief for such appraisals (DAT HM, Undated). These appraisals are intended to be a rapid appraisal of the readily available historic environment information including a site visit. The purpose of the appraisal is to inform DAT HM as to whether any further assessment or evaluation would be required as part of the planning process. Trysor prepared a specification based on the brief (Trysor, 2014) and Dyfed Archaeological Trust Heritage Management section approved the specification.



#### 4. The proposed development

4.1 It is proposed that a turbine, with a hub height of 40m, and 55m to the upright blade tip, will be located at approximately SM9087309268 in a single field parcel at Studdolph Farm, Steynton, Pembrokeshire. See Figure 1.

Access to the turbine site will be via an existing trackway and gateway, using a new trackway across the field to the turbine site. The foundation hole for the turbine will be incorporated into a hard-standing area which will measure c.30m x 20m.




Figure 1: Location of the proposed turbine, showing the 2km radius appraisal area.



#### 5. Methodology

- 5.1 A study area of 2km radius circle centred on SM9087309268, the proposed turbine site, was chosen for the initial appraisal of sites. This area was not was revised.
- 5.2 The HER enquiry for the 2km radius area yielded 60 records.
- 5.3 Eight records were removed from this initial dataset. One of these was a DELETED record retained in the HER for administrative purposes only. Another four records were duplicates of other records and three more were records which had imprecise NGRs and actually lay outside study area.
- 5.4 Within the 60 records, three were Scheduled Ancient Monuments and six were Listed Buildings.
- 5.5 A site visit was made to Studdolph, and the surrounding area, on March 5th, 2014 to rapidly appraise if there were any visible archaeological features within the area directly affected by the turbine proposal and also the wider landscape context of the proposed development. The field was under pasture at the time.
- 5.6 The aerial photographs on Google Earth, dating to 2006 and 2009, were used to inform the appraisal.
- 5.7 Historic mapping was consulted. The maps used included 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> century 1:2500 scale Ordnance Survey mapping and the Steynton parish tithe map and accompanying tithe schedule of 1842.
- 5.8 All information gathered during the desktop appraisal and fieldwork was entered into a bespoke database in Access 2003 format to create an appraisal dataset. The dataset is the source of the material output in this report, including the GIS mapping which illustrates the location of sites in the area, and the tables and appendices which provide detailed information on the sites within the study area.
- 5.9 At the end of a rapid appraisal of the data, the information from the site visit and historic map search, the appraisal dataset contained 57 records.
  - 5.9.1 Five new records were created in the project database by Trysor. These were for the farmstead and field system at Studdolph Farm (ID numbers 55 & 54) as well as a farm lane (ID number 53) which would be used to give access to the development site and two field boundary banks (ID numbers 56 and 57).



5.9 Each of the records in the final appraisal dataset was assessed for Period, Rarity, Documentation, Group Value<sup>1</sup>, as well as Evidential Value, Historical Value, Aesthetic Value, Communal Value<sup>2</sup>. Once these had been considered the significance of each site was determined and scored in accordance with the categories adopted by the Welsh Archaeological Trusts i.e. Nationally Important, Regionally Important, Locally Important, Minor and Features Needing Further Investigation (Unknown), see Figure 2. Full details of this exercise are given in Appendix C.

5.10 As this exercise is an appraisal of the study area, not a full desk-based historic environment assessment, no site descriptions have been included in the appraisal dataset or this printed report, apart from newly recorded features (See Appendix B).

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Period, Rarity, Documentation and Group Value are criteria defined in the Welsh Office Circular 60/96, 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Evidential Value, Historical Value, Aesthetic Value and Communal Value are criteria defined in Cadw's Conservation Principles publication, 2011.




Figure 2: The 2km appraisal area showing significance of sites, labelled with Project ID number



#### 6. Studdolph: Historical overview

- 6.1 The early history of Studdolph Hall is not easy to piece together. The property is not included in Francis Jones' Historic Houses of Pembrokeshire. The earliest reference to the place dates as far back as 1296, when documentary sources refer to a place called *Stodheye* (RCAHMW, 1988, p.353). This seems to be the source of the present name Studdolph, which is often referred to as *Studda* in historic sources.
- 6.2 It seems that the Phelps family owned Studdolph Hall during the 18<sup>th</sup> century and as late as the 1830s the heiress of the family, Martha Devonald (nee Phelps) of Sodston, Narberth still owned the property. She endowed the National School in Steynton with £30 a year to be paid out of her estate at *Studda*.
- 6.3 The Ordnance Survey's Original Surveyors Drawings of 1809 and the subsequent First Series Ordnance Survey map of 1819 both appear to show buildings at Studdolph but the accuracy of detail in the depiction of the layout on these maps cannot be guaranteed however.
- 6.4 An interesting reference exists to Studdolph in connection with an accident that occurred there in 1834. A Charles Tessier Lewis of New Radnor was killed in a game shooting accident at the farm in the December of this year, Studdolph being described as once having been a small manor house (Oliver, 1991, p.28). He was buried at Steynton parish church.
- 6.5 Census returns throw some light on the inhabitants of Studdolph Hall during the 19<sup>th</sup> century. The earliest available census is that of 1841. It is difficult to ascertain which of three properties listed as *Studdolf* corresponds with Studdolph Hall. It may have been the home a foreign born resident, Urial Bunker, who is recorded as living at *Studdolf* and being of Independent means, employing three female servants and three male agricultural labourers. The two other properties named Studdolph in this census list were occupied by farming families and may well have been North and South Studdolph.
- 6.6 The Steynton parish tithe map and schedule (dated to 1842) was consulted, see Figure 3. The copies consulted were too poor in condition to assist greatly with regard to field names and property ownership details. The tithe map does however confirm that the field system was essentially as found today. It also appears to show that there was no building located in the position where the house at Studdolph Hall stands at present. It clearly shows buildings where North Studdolph and South Studdolph are shown on later 19<sup>th</sup> century Ordnance Survey maps.
- 6.7 The 1851 census records Studdolph Hall as the home of one James Roberts, a landed proprietor. He lived there with his wife and a maid.
- 6.8 In 1861 the census again records three properties under the Studdolph name. All were occupied by farming families; the Griffiths' family farming 100 acres, the Nuth family from Somerset farming 147 acres and the Russan family farming 57 acres. Which of these families occupied Studdolph Hall cannot be said with certainty, but it seems more than likely that the Nuth family, with the biggest share of the land, resided in the Hall. This is perhaps supported by the evidence of the 1871 census.





- 6.9 In 1871 the Griffiths and Russan families continued to farm two of the three Studdolph properties, working 53 and 60 acres respectively. The largest portion of the land, 135 acres, was farmed by William Nicholas of Studdolph Hall. He lived here with his wife Mary, his sons Thomas (a shipwright) and William (a farmer), two daughters named Elizabeth and Eliza, Eliza's husband John Henderson (also a shipwright) and a grandson named William H. Phelps. The surname of the grandson suggests a possible link to the Phelps family known to have owned the property earlier in the century. A female domestic servant and male farm servant were employed on the farm.
- 6.10 The Ordnance Survey published the First Edition 1:2500 scale maps of this area in 1875-1876. The edition shows Studdolph Hall situated between the neighbouring farms of North and South Studdolph and, for the first time, it appears that the house is in its present position. To the east of the Hall are two ranges of farm buildings separated by a yard area. It therefore seems that Studdolph Hall and its outbuildings were built during the decades after the tithe map was surveyed in the 1840s, but before the First Series Ordnance Survey maps were surveyed.
- 6.11 In 1881, William Nicholas, now a widower, and his family still farmed 130 acres at *Studda*, with a dairymaid and a female farm worker living here also, as well as employing three men.
- 6.12 Thomas Nicholas, aged 45, had taken over from his father William at Studdolph Hall by 1891. He lived here with his second wife, Lilian, and an infant daughter. They also had a female domestic servant and male agricultural servant living on the property.
- 6.13 Thomas and Lilian Nicholas still farmed Studdolph Hall in 1901, living with a young daughter and son and employing one female and one male worker.
- 6.14 The Second Edition 1:2500 scale Ordnance Survey map, published in 1908, shows the three properties of Studdolph Hall and North and South Studdolph in clearer detail. The house and core of the farmyard buildings are all in place at Studdolph Hall by this time, and these buildings and the yard are recognisable in the modern layout of the property.
- 6.15 There were new occupants at Studdolph Hall in 1911, the last available census. These were a dairy worker named William Sutton and his wife Elizabeth and five children aged between 1 and 16. Sutton's status as a "Labourer in charge" suggests that the Hall was no longer viewed as a country house.
- 6.16 There appear to have been new tenants at Studdolph Hall by 1913. A William Kelway of Studdolph Hall, originally of Hakin, is registered as the owner of a ship named the "Majestic" in 1915.
- 6.17 Studdolph Hall has continued in use as a working farmstead throughout the past century and today is known for its herd of pedigree Hereford cattle. The house and historic core of the farmstead have been supplemented by a group of larger, modern agricultural buildings to the south of the farmyard. North Studdolph still stands to the north of the Hall, but the dwelling of South Studdolph no longer appears to be occupied and its buildings have been incorporated into the farm of Studdolph Hall. Two 20<sup>th</sup> century dwellings, Rosedale and The Glen, also now stand just to the east of the farmyard area.






Figure 3: The Steynton parish tithe map c.1842 with the proposed turbine site shaded in green.



#### 7. Studdolph: Proposed turbine site

- 7.1 The proposed turbine at Studdolph is to be located at SM9087309268 in a pasture field, some 630 metres to the north-northwest of the farmyard complex. Access to the turbine site would be via an existing trackway which runs northwards from the farm, via an existing gateway into the field and thence across a new trackway to the turbine site. A hard-standing area will also be created measuring c.30m x 20m, which would incorporate the turbine foundation. It is intended to locate a connection point to the electricity grid as close as possible to the turbine to avoid the need to excavate a lengthy cable trench back to the farmyard area.
- 7.2 When the proposed development site and its environs were visited in March 2014, an assessment was made of the intervisibility of key monuments in the local landscape with the turbine site. This assessment was undertaken on an overcast day, but with good visibility.

#### 8. Impact Assessment

- 8.1 Within the appraisal area a total of 57 sites were recorded in the appraisal database.
- 8.2 The Direct and Indirect impact on each site was assessed taking into account both physical and non-physical impacts. Each impact was assessed within the scale Very Low, Low, Moderate, High and Very High, taken into account the significance of the site and the nature of the impact. A full table is found in Appendix A but a summary is tabulated in Table 1 below and illustrated in Figure 4.
- 8.3 As Table 1 and Appendix A show, no recorded historic assets within the area of the appraisal were determined to be exposed to any significant impact from the proposed turbine development. (Details of the appraisal for direct and indirect impact for each of the sites in Table 1 can be found in Appendix A).
- 8.4 An examination of the proposed development site identified no evidence of surface material of archaeological interest. The land was under pasture with very little exposure of the underlying soil surface.
- 8.5 Access to the turbine site will be along an existing track way (ID number 53), which is a greenway that was formerly a parish road. The use of this track is likely to require its resurfacing and there would be potential impact on some of the high earthwork banks that define the trackway. It is likely that existing gateways giving access to the trackway and leading into the field where the turbine would stand would also require some widening. This would have some impact on limited sections of existing field boundary banks (Id numbers 56 & 57) adjacent to the gateways.
- 8.6 The developer has stated that they wish to avoid excavating a lengthy cable trench back to the farmyard at Studdolph Hall and will create a connection point to the electricity grid close to the turbine site. Any associated cable trenches could impact on existing field boundaries and mitigation would be required to avoid such damage, such as boring the cable trench beneath field boundaries instead of excavating through them.



Table 1: Impact on sites within the appraisal area around the Studdolph Farm proposed turbine site

ID Number	Site Name	Site Type	Level of Impact
53	STUDDOLPH HALL	TRACKWAY	Moderate
57	STUDDOLPH HALL	FIELD BOUNDARY	Moderate
2	ROMANS CASTLE;RAMUS CASTLE	DEFENDED ENCLOSURE	Low
10	JUBILEE COTTAGE	BURNT MOUND	Low
44	THE VICARAGE, MILFORD ROAD	VICARAGE	Low
	(E SIDE)	13124132	20
54	STUDDOLPH HALL	FIELD SYSTEM	Low
56	STUDDOLPH HALL	FIELD BOUNDARY	Low
4	THORNTON RATH	PROMONTORY FORT	Very Low
5	STEYNTON PARISH CHURCH;	CHURCH	Very Low
	ST CEWYDD AND ST PETER'S		
6	STEYNTON	ROUND BARROW	Very Low
9	SUNNY HILL	BURNT MOUND?	Very Low
16	HERMASTON;HARMESTON;	MANSION	Very Low
	HERMANSTON		
19	WOODSON	MILL POND	Very Low
20	STUDDOLPH HALL	COUNTRY HOUSE	Very Low
22	QUARRYHALL	DWELLING	Very Low
27	THORNTON	CEMETERY	Very Low
32	BULLFORD;BULLFORDE;	FARMSTEAD	Very Low
	BULFORD		
35	JUBILEE COTTAGES	COTTAGE	Very Low
37	SUNNYHILL	COTTAGE	Very Low
41	HARMESTON HALL	GARDEN	Very Low
45	GREAT HARMESTON HOUSE	AGRICULTURAL RANGE	Very Low
47	SUNNYBANK, INCLUDING	HOUSE	Very Low
	ATTACHED OUTBUILDINGS.		
55	STUDDOLPH HALL	FARMSTEAD	Very Low
1	THORNBURY	BURNT MOUND	None
3	REDBERTH	BURNT MOUND	None
7	FERNY CASTLE COTTAGE	BURNT MOUND	None
8	FERNY CASTLE COTTAGE	BURNT MOUND	None
11	JOHNSTON CHURCH;BWLFORD	BURNT MOUND	None
12	ST CEWYDD AND ST PETER'S	CIST	None
13	STEYNTON PARISH CHURCH;	INSCRIBED STONE	None
	GENDILI STONE		
14	NORTH CASTLE;SOUTH CASTLE	UNKNOWN	None
15	BEACONING THE	BEACON	None
17	THORNBURY	DEFENDED ENCLOSURE?	None
18	UPPER ROBESTON	PLATFORM;DESERTED	None
		RURAL SETTLEMENT	
21	ROBESTON CROSS	MILESTONE	None
23	BULFORD	QUARRY	None
24	TABERNACLE CONGREGATIONAL	CHAPEL	None



	CHURCH		
25	STEYNTON NATIONAL SCHOOL	SCHOOL	None
26	THORNTON ENGLISH BAPTIST	CHAPEL	None
	CHAPEL		
28	THORNTON	SAW MILL	None
29	REDSTOCK COTTAGE	COTTAGE	None
30	MANSE THE	MANSE	None
31	BULFORD	MINERAL WATER WORKS	None
33	FERNY CASTLE; FERNEY CASTLE	COTTAGE	None
34	UNKNOWN	BUILDING	None
36	UNKNOWN	BUILDING	None
38	BULLFORD COTTAGE;LOWER	COTTAGE	None
	BULFORD		
39	BULLFORD COTTAGE	COTTAGE	None
40	UNKNOWN	DWELLING	None
42	ROBESTON CROSS	COTTAGE	None
43	FORD FARM;STEYNTON	FARMHOUSE	None
46	MILEPOST NEAR REDSTOCK	MILEPOST	None
	BRIDGE		
48	FORDWAY	COTTAGE	None
49	MILFORD HAVEN WATER WORKS	TANK	None
50	MILFORD HAVEN WATER WORKS	TANK	None
51		WELL	None
52		GRAVEL PIT	None




Figure 4: The 2km appraisal area showing the level of impacts on sites recorded, labelled with Project ID number



#### 9. Historic Landscape Aspects Relating to the Studdolph Proposed Turbine

9.1 The following aspects of the historic environment around the Studdolph proposed turbine site have also been considered by this appraisal, as required by the brief supplied by the Dyfed Archaeological Trust Heritage Management Section (DAT, HM, Undated);

#### 9.2 Scheduled Ancient Monuments in their settings

There are three Scheduled Ancient Monuments within the appraisal area, see Table 2. These include two Iron Age enclosures, at Romans Castle (ID number 2) and Thornton Rath (ID number 4), which would respectively experience a Low and Very Low indirect, visual impact due to partial views of the turbine at distance. The settings of both of these sites would not be affected by the turbine. The third SAM in the appraisal area is a Bronze Age burnt mound near Jubilee Cottage (ID number 10), which would experience a Low indirect, visual impact due to a view of the turbine at distance, but again without any impact on the setting of the archaeological feature.

ID Number	SAM Number	Site Name	Site Type	Level of Impact
2	PE188	ROMANS CASTLE;	DEFENDED	Low
		RAMUS CASTLE	ENCLOSURE	
10	PE476	JUBILEE COTTAGE	BURNT MOUND	Low
4	PE187	THORNTON RATH	PROMONTORY	Very Low
			FORT	

Table 2: Impacts on Scheduled Ancient Monuments

#### 9.3 Previously Recorded Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments in their settings

Six historic assets in the appraisal area are thought likely to experience a Very Low indirect, visual impact. These include a much denuded Bronze Age Round Barrow near Steynton (ID number 6) which is no longer prominent in the landscape, as well as a possible Bronze Age Burnt Mound at Sunny Hill (ID number 9). The post-medieval garden (ID number 41) at Harmeston Hall is included in Cadw's Register of Parks and Gardens. The turbine would be visible from each of the six sites, but at distance and with no impact on the setting of features. Potential impacts on Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments are included in Appendix A and Table 3. The significance of Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments is addressed in Appendix C.

ID Number	Site Name	Site Type	Level of Impact
6	STEYNTON	ROUND BARROW	Very Low
9	SUNNY HILL	BURNT MOUND?	Very Low
19	WOODSON	MILL POND	Very Low
27	THORNTON	CEMETERY	Very Low
32	BULLFORD;BULLFORDE;	FARMSTEAD	Very Low
	BULFORD		
41	HARMESTON HALL	GARDEN	Very Low

Table 3: Impacts on Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments



#### 9.4 Newly identified sites of historic importance

Five newly recorded sites of historic importance were noted for this appraisal at or near the turbine site. These are the farmstead at Studdolph Hall (ID number 55) and its field system (ID number 34). An old farm trackway (ID number 53) has also been recorded as it is intended for use as an access track to the turbine site and banks adjacent to the track have been recorded also (ID numbers 56 & 57). The details of these sites are included in Appendices A, B & C.

ID Number	Site Name	Site Type	Level of Impact
53	STUDDOLPH HALL	TRACKWAY	Moderate
54	STUDDOLPH HALL	FIELD SYSTEM	Low
55	STUDDOLPH HALL	FARMSTEAD	Very Low
56	STUDDOLPH HALL	BOUNDARY BANK	Low
57	STUDDOLPH HALL	BOUNDARY BANK	Moderate

Table 4: Impacts on Newly Identified sites

#### 9.5 Listed Buildings in their settings

There are six Listed Buildings within the appraisal area. One of these would experience a Low indirect, visual impact from the proposed turbine. This is the Vicarage at Steynton (ID number 44). The turbine would have no impact on the setting of the Vicarage but would be visible in the distance to the west. Limited views of the turbine, screened by trees and/or slopes, would also be possible from four other Listed Buildings. These are Steynton parish church (ID number 5), Great Harmeston house and outbuildings (ID numbers 16 & 45) and Sunnybank house (ID number 47).

ID Number	Site Name	Site Type	Level of Impact
44	THE VICARAGE,	VICARAGE	Low
	MILFORD ROAD (E SIDE)		
5	STEYNTON PARISH CHURCH;	CHURCH	Very Low
	ST CEWYDD AND ST PETER'S		
16	HERMASTON;HARMESTON;	MANSION	Very Low
	HERMANSTON		
45	GREAT HARMESTON HOUSE	AGRICULTURAL	Very Low
		RANGE	
47	SUNNYBANK, INCLUDING	HOUSE	Very Low
	ATTACHED OUTBUILDINGS.		
46	MILEPOST NEAR REDSTOCK	MILEPOST	None
	BRIDGE		

Table 5: Impacts on Listed Buildings





#### 9.6 Non-statutory buildings of local importance

Four recorded non-statutory buildings are thought to be likely to have a Very Low level of visual, indirect impact due to the proposed turbine being visible from distance from each location. The settings of the buildings would not be affected by the development however.

ID Number	Site Name	Site Type	Level of Impact
20	STUDDOLPH HALL	COUNTRY HOUSE	Very Low
22	QUARRY HALL	DWELLING	Very Low
35	JUBILEE COTTAGES	COTTAGE	Very Low
37	SUNNYHILL	COTTAGE	Very Low

Table 6: Impacts on Non-statutory buildings of local importance

#### 9.7 Registered Parks & Gardens and their essential settings.

There is one Registered Parks and Gardens site within the study area. Great Harmeston, PGW (Dy) 19(PEM) lies 1.5km to the east southeast of the proposed turbine location. Its essential setting is north northeast to south southwest and the turbine would not affect this.

#### 9.8 Registered Historic Landscapes

The development site lies at the western end of the Scoveston Burton aspect area (HLC322) of the Milford Haven Registered Historic Landscape. This is a large character area lying to the north of the Milford Haven waterway, within the ecclesiastical parishes of Llangwm, Llanstadwell, Rosemarket and Steynton. It is noted as "an agricultural historic landscape character area containing several mansions, many large farms, a scattering of smaller farms and dispersed modern settlement." The western end of the area lies adjacent to highly visible oil and gas installations, wind farms and the settlements of Milford Haven and neighbouring villages. Considered against the industrialised and developed setting of the adjacent landscape, the proposed turbine would not have a significant impact on the character of the historic landscape area. It will not disrupt the existing settlement or field system patterns of the area.

#### 9.9 Non-registered Historic Landscapes

There are no Non-registered Historic Landscapes within the revised study area.

#### 9.10 LANDMAP and landscape characterisation information

The proposed turbine would stand in the extensive Scoveston & Burton LANDMAP Historic Landscape Aspect Area (PMBRKHL43899), which extends from Milford Haven in the west, along the northern shore of the Haven itself past Neyland and up to and past the village of Llangwm. This area is assessed as being of High quality and is notable as a largely rural landscape which includes examples of Bronze Age round barrows and standing stones and an Iron Age settlement. The landscape is further described as being characterised by well-managed, enclosed pastureland, with its fields defined by well-maintained earthwork banks, often topped with hedgerows. Wasteland and woodland is scarce, with wooded areas confined to the slopes of the minor valleys. Studdolph Hall is named as an example of the large farms and mansions found in the area. The proposed turbine would not impact on the existing settlement or field system patterns of the area.

#### 9.11 Conservation Area

There are no Conservation Areas within the revised study area.



#### 9.12 Tir Gofal interests or requirements

No Tir Gofal interests were identified.

#### 9.13 Buried archaeological potential

During the field visit, no physical, visible evidence was noted suggestive of significant buried archaeology at the proposed development site. There is also no documentary, cartographic or aerial photographic evidence of buried archaeology at the proposed location for the turbine.

#### 9.14 Palaeoenvironmental potential

No palaeoenvironmental potential can be identified at the Studdolph Hall turbine site. It is situated in a pasture field which is well-drained.

#### 9.15 Hedgerows and field patterns

The proposed development would be located in a pasture field, which seems to have become enclosed by the 19<sup>th</sup> century and has been subdivided to create the present field parcels since the time of the parish tithe map, which dates to the 1840s. The proposed development will not change the character of the field system and will only have minimal impact on the boundary banks in the field (ID numbers 56 & 57) where the turbine will be located, due to possible widening of the entrance gateway.

#### 9.16 Ancient woodland

There are no Ancient and Semi-natural Woodlands within the appraisal area.

#### 9.17 Place-names

There are no significant place-names closely associated with the proposed turbine site.

#### 9.18 Cumulative impact

Studdolph Hall is located in an area which is surrounded by signs of industrial activity. Large wind turbines at the Castle Pill wind farm are visible from the development site, as well as the chimneys of the adjacent oil refinery at Herbrandston and those of the oil refinery to the south of the Haven at Rhoscrowther. The latter are visible behind a view of a modern housing estate at the northern edge of Milford Haven. From adjacent fields on the farmstead a wind farm near Waterston is also visible, behind the church tower and houses in the northern part of Steynton village. Electricity pylons are also visible to the southwest in the direction of Castle Pill.

#### 9.19 National Park

Studdolph does not lie within a National Park although a small portion of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park touches on the western edge of the study area 2 km away from the turbine location.



#### 10. Conclusion

10.1 There would not be a significant impact on the historic environment, or on individual historic assets, in relation to the proposed development of the wind turbine at Studdolph Hall.

10.2 There are three Scheduled Ancient Monuments within the appraisal area, two of which are Iron Age defended enclosures, namely Romans Castle (ID number 2) and Thornton Rath (ID number 4). These would respectively experience Low and Very Low, indirect, visual impacts from the proposed turbine development, due to intervisibility at distance. The turbine would not affect the settings of either site nor interfere with any views between the two enclosures. The third SAM is a Bronze Age Burnt Mound at Jubilee Cottage (ID number 10), which would also experience a Low, indirect, visual impact due to the visibility of the turbine at distance. Again, the turbine would not have any impact on the setting of the monument.

10.3 Of the six Listed Buildings in the appraisal area, one would experience a Low, indirect, visual impact from the proposed turbine. This would be the Vicarage at Steynton, (ID number 44). Steynton parish church (ID number 5) would experience a Very Low, indirect, visual impact, although the turbine is unlikely to be visible at ground level here as trees and buildings screen views from the churchyard. The mansion and outbuilding at Great Harmeston (ID numbers 45 & 16) would also experience a Very Low, indirect, visual impact, with trees again screening views towards the turbine significantly reducing any effect. The same is true of the house and outbuildings at Sunnybank (ID number 47).

10.4 Six previously known historic assets and four non-statutory buildings of local importance would experience a Very Low, indirect, visual impact, based on the visibility of the turbine at distance. The settings of these sites would not be compromised by the development.

10.5 Five newly recorded historic features at Studdolph Hall would also experience some impacts. The farmstead itself (ID number 55) would experience a Very Low, indirect, visual impact. The field system (ID number 54) would experience a Low, indirect, visual impact. The most significant potential impact would be a direct, physical impact on the trackway that runs from the farmyard area to the turbine site (ID number 53) and the adjacent boundary banks (ID numbers 56 & 57), as some widening of entrance gateways is likely to be required.

10.6 On the basis of this appraisal no archaeological mitigation is thought necessary. The only mitigations required will be;

10.6.1 To ensure that any cable trenches do not cut through existing field boundary banks. They should be bored beneath boundary features to ensure that the post-medieval field system layout is not unduly damaged.

10.6.2 Similarly, any widening of entrance gateways needs to be undertaken sensitively with the minimum removal of adjacent boundary banks. It is recommended that Bank ID number 56 is left untouched but that a section of Bank ID number 57 is removed in order to improve access to the turbine site. This will preserve the integrity of the field system pattern, particularly the shape of the triangular field defined by Bank ID number 56.



#### 11. Reporting

11.1 Copies of this report will be provided to the client and the Regional Historic Environment Record.

#### 12. References

#### 12.1 Map sources

Ordnance Survey, 1809, 2" to 1 mile Original Surveyors drawing, Milford Haven Sheet

Ordnance Survey, 1819, 1" to 1 Mile

Ordnance Survey, 1875-56, 1:2500, 1st edition

Ordnance Survey, 1908, 1:2500 2<sup>nd</sup> edition

Ordnance Survey, 1973, 1:2500 scale

Steynton parish tithe map and schedule, 1842

#### 12.2 Web-based materials

English Heritage, 2011, The Setting of Heritage Assets

RCAHMW, Historic Wales Portal

#### 12.3 Published sources

Cadw, 2011, Conservation Principles for the sustainable management of the historic environment of Wales,

Cadw & CCW, 2007, Guide to the Good Practice on using the Register of Landscapes of Historic Interest in Wales in the planning and development process.

Oliver, RCB, 1991, "The Lewis family of Downtown [sic] House, New Radnor, 1758-1858" *Radnorshire Society Transactions*.

Smith, P, 1988, Houses of the Welsh Countryside: A study in historical geography 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.

Welsh Office, 1996, *Planning and the Historic Environment: Archaeology* Welsh Office Circular 60/96

#### 12.4 Unpublished sources

Dyfed Archaeological Trust, Historic Environment Record

DAT HM, Undated, Generic Brief for the preparation of an historic environment appraisal

Trysor, 2014, Specification for an Historic Environment Appraisal at Studdolph, Steynton, Pembrokeshire.

Jenny Hall & Paul Sambrook Trysor, March 2014



### **Appendix A: Studdolph Impacts**



ID number: 1 THORNBURY BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This burnt mound was destroyed by the

construction of a railway line according to DAT

(1994).

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 2 ROMANS CASTLE; RAMUS DEFENDED ENCLOSURE

**CASTLE** 

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Low The top of the turbine may be visible 1.8km to

the southeast but the Defended Enclosure faces west to southwest and the turbine would not interfere with the essential setting of the

monument.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 3 REDBERTH BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as a hedgerow and higher ground

block the view to the southeast.

\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 4 THORNTON RATH PROMONTORY FORT

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The top of the proposed turbine may just be

visible 1.4km to the north-northeast from outside the northern side of the fort. It would not impact on the essential setting of the fort or

any key views of the site.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 5 STEYNTON PARISH CHURCH

CHURCH;ST CEWYDD AND

ST PETER'S

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine would not be visible from

ground level at the church. The hilltop setting of the church would not be affected by the turbine, which is hemmed in to some extent by buildings

and trees.

\_\_\_\_\_\_



ID number: 6 STEYNTON ROUND BARROW

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine would be visible some

1.85km to the northwest but would have no impact on the setting of this now denuded

monument.

-----

ID number: 7 FERNY CASTLE COTTAGE BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as higher ground blocks the view

to the south.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 8 FERNY CASTLE COTTAGE BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This site has not been found in modern times

and may well have been destroyed, but the proposed turbine would not be visible from this

location.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 9 SUNNY HILL BURNT MOUND?

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine may be visible from this

site, but the presence of a burnt mound here was not confirmed by George Williams in 1995.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 10 JUBILEE COTTAGE BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Low The proposed turbine would be visible from this

site, 1.6km to the southwest, the view would be screened by a hedgerow close to the mound.

There would be no impact on setting.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 11 JOHNSTON BURNT MOUND

CHURCH;BWLFORD

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This burnt mound was reported in 1911 but has

not been seen since and its location and

condition are unknown.

-----



ID number: 12 ST CEWYDD AND ST CIST

PETER'S

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The feature was uncovered in the 19th century

and either destroyed or built over soon

afterwards.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 13 STEYNTON PARISH INSCRIBED STONE

CHURCH; GENDILI STONE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This stone is kept indoors and the proposed

turbine would have no impact on it.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 14 NORTH CASTLE; SOUTH UNKNOWN

CASTLE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no archaeological features known in

association with this "castle" placename and the precise location of the placename does not seem

to be accurately plotted.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 15 BEACONING THE BEACON

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no archaeological features associated

with this "beacon" placename.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 16 HERMASTON; HARMESTON; MANSION

HERMANSTON

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine may be visible at a

distance of 1.7km to the west of Great

Harmeston, but trees effectively block the view.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 17 THORNBURY DEFENDED ENCLOSURE?

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This feature, if it ever existed, was destroyed by

landscaping associated with the oil refinery that

occupies the site.



ID number: 18 UPPER ROBESTON PLATFORM, DESERTED RURAL SETTLEMENT

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This feature, if it ever existed, was destroyed by

landscaping associated with the oil refinery that

occupies the site.

\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 19 WOODSON MILL POND

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine would be visible from this

former millpond but with no impact on its setting or relationship with the farmstead to the

southeast which it supplied.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 20 STUDDOLPH HALL COUNTRY HOUSE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No -1

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine, 580 metres to the

northwest, might be visible from the house, but mature trees would screen the view to a large

extent.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 21 ROBESTON CROSS MILESTONE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This milestone appears on 19th and 20th

century maps but is not visible at the roadside

now and seems to have been lost.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 22 QUARRYHALL DWELLING

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine would be visible 1.3km to

the southeast, although the view in that

direction is effectively screened by mature trees and a hedgerow at the edge of the garden of

Quarry Hall.

\_\_\_\_\_\_



ID number: 23 **BULFORD OUARRY** 

> Is there a Direct Impact: No

> Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as higher ground blocks the view

to the south.

\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 24 **TABERNACLE CHAPEL** 

CONGREGATIONAL

**CHURCH** 

Is there a Direct Impact: No Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as mature trees and higher ground

block the view southwards.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: STEYNTON NATIONAL **SCHOOL** 

SCHOOL

Is there a Direct Impact: No Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None A range of modern agricultural buildings

> immediately to the west of the building block the view towards the proposed turbine.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 26 THORNTON ENGLISH **CHAPEL** 

**BAPTIST CHAPEL** 

Is there a Direct Impact:

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The view northwards towards the proposed

turbine is blocked by higher ground and

woodland.

ID number: 27 **CEMETERY THORNTON** 

> Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

**Overall Impact:** Very Low The proposed turbine would be visible 1.7km to

the north-northeast.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 28 **THORNTON** SAW MILL

> Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: This saw mill has been demolished and no trace None

of it survives, the land has been restored to

pastureland.

\_\_\_\_\_\_



ID number: 29 REDSTOCK COTTAGE COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location due to a rise in the slope and mature trees blocking the view to the west.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 30 MANSE THE MANSE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as mature trees and higher ground

block the view southwards.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 31 BULFORD MINERAL WATER WORKS

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: None The mineral water complex no longer exists.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 32 BULLFORD; BULLFORDE; B FARMSTEAD

**ULFORD** 

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The top of the proposed turbine would be

visible 1.85km to the southwest but would have no impact on the setting of any historic feature

at this location.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 33 FERNY CASTLE; FERNEY COTTAGE

CASTLE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as higher ground blocks the view

to the south.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 34 UNKNOWN BUILDING

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This building had been removed by the start of

the 20th century.



*ID number:* 35 JUBILEE COTTAGES COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The top of the proposed turbine may be visible

1.7km to the southwest, though intervening high

ground should block much of the view.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 36 UNKNOWN BUILDING

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This building was removed in the 19th century

and the site has been built over.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 37 SUNNYHILL COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The top of the proposed turbine may be visible

1.7km to the southwest, though intervening high

ground should block much of the view.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 38 BULLFORD COTTAGE

COTTAGE;LOWER

**BULFORD** 

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as higher ground blocks the view

to the south.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 39 BULLFORD COTTAGE COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as higher ground blocks the view

to the south.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 40 UNKNOWN DWELLING

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine would not be visible from

this location as higher ground blocks the view

to the south.

\_\_\_\_\_



ID number: 41 HARMESTON HALL GARDEN

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No -1

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine may be visible at a

distance of 1.7km to the west of Harmeston, but trees effectively block the view and the main axis of views from the garden was northeast to southwest, away from the proposed turbine.

------

ID number: 42 ROBESTON CROSS COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This cottage no longer exists.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

*ID number*: 43 FORD FARM;STEYNTON FARMHOUSE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None It is unlikely that the proposed turbine, 1.45km

to the northwest, would be visible from this farmhouse, as agricultural buildings block the

view in that direction and Ford faces

southwards.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 44 THE VICARAGE, MILFORD VICARAGE

ROAD (E SIDE)

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Low The proposed turbine would be visible, 1.7km

to the north-northwest. The turbine would not

impact on the setting of the Vicarage.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 45 GREAT HARMESTON AGRICULTURAL RANGE

**HOUSE** 

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine may be visible at a

distance of 1.7km to the west of Great

Harmeston, but trees effectively block the view.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 46 MILEPOST NEAR MILEPOST

REDSTOCK BRIDGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine is unlikely to be visible

from this location as the ground rises to the west, blocking the view in that direction.



ID number: 47 SUNNYBANK, INCLUDING HOUSE

**ATTACHED** 

OUTBUILDINGS.

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low The top of the proposed turbine may be visible

at a distance of 1.4km to the north-northwest of Sunnybank, but trees largely block the view.

\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 48 FORDWAY COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This cottage no longer survives as it was

demolished pre-1908 when a series of reservoirs

were built along the valley.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 49 MILFORD HAVEN WATER TANK

WORKS

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This site is hidden in woodland from where the

proposed turbine would not be visible.

\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 50 MILFORD HAVEN WATER TANK

**WORKS** 

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This site is hidden in woodland from where the

proposed turbine would not be visible.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 51 WELL

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This well was destroyed by the construction of

the dam for a reservoir in the late 19th century.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 52 GRAVEL PIT

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This gravel pit seems to have been destroyed by

the construction of a railway in the 20th

century.

-----



ID number: 53 STUDDOLPH HALL TRACKWAY

Is there a Direct Impact: Yes Would be used as an access track to the turbine

site. Gateways may need widening.

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Traffic may impact on the earthwork banks

which define the trackway

Overall Impact: Moderate The use of this lane to access the development

site would be likely to involve the widening of some gateway entrances. Care would be needed not to unnecessarily damage the banks which

define the track.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 54 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD SYSTEM

Is there a Direct Impact: No

*Is there an Indirect Impact:* Yes Field boundaries could be affected by

development and the turbine would stand in one

of the fields

Overall Impact: Low There would be minor impact on the field

boundaries where entranceways are widened, but the cable trench can be run beneath boundaries instead of through them.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 55 STUDDOLPH HALL FARMSTEAD

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisibility

Overall Impact: Very Low Mature trees screen the view towards the

proposed turbine from the farmyard and

farmhouse area.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 56 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD BOUNDARY

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Damage during creation of an access point to

the development site.

Overall Impact: Low The trackway to the east of the boundary will be

used for access to the turbine site and the gateway at the northern end of the bank may be widened. Care is needed not to remove parts of this bank, impacting on the character of the field

it defines.

\_\_\_\_\_

ID number: 57 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD BOUNDARY

Is there a Direct Impact: Yes The access track would remove part of this

boundary bank

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes The turbine will be in the field that this bank

forms the northern boundary of.

Overall Impact: Moderate Part of the bank may be removed to create a

wider entrance into the field where the turbine will be located. If this removal is kept to a minumum the extra access room can be gained without significantly impacting on the form of

the field parcel.



Appendix B: Written Descriptions Of Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites



ID number: 53 STUDDOLPH HALL TRACKWAY

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9114209135 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Transport

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

**Description:** This farm trackway is shown on early 19th century Ordnance Survey maps and clearly

depicted on the Steynton parish tithe map of the 1840s. It has survived as a green way, running some 500 metres north-northwest from the cluster of farm buildings at North Studdolph and Studdolph Hall farms. Originally the trackway also ran to the south of the farms, but its line has been cut after some 400 metres by a railway branch line serving the oil refinery to the west, near Herbrandston. The trackway is now a greenway, defined by hedged earthwork banks, often hedge-topped and with frequent mature trees along its

length.

Rarity: Common Reference: Trysor

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Studdolph Hall farmstead

Evidential Value: Trackway in use by farm

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important



Trackway, ID number 53, looking north



ID number: 54 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD SYSTEM

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9101009130 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Agriculture and Subsistence

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

**Description:** The field system associated with Studdolph Farm is largely defined by hedged earthwork

banks. Most of the fields are irregular in shape and there has been a surprising continuity in the field pattern since the mid-19th century. Most of the field parcels shown on the

Steynton parish tithe map of the 1840s have survived into the 21st century.

Rarity: Common Reference: Trysor

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Studdolph Hall farmstead

**Evidential Value:** Field system of working farm

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 55 STUDDOLPH HALL FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9130508763 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Complex Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

**Description:** The modern Studdolph Farm holding has developed from one of three adjacent holdings

known variously as Studdolph or Studda in the past. There is reference to Stodhaye in as early as AD 1296, which seems to be the source of the present name Studdolph, which is often referred to as Studda in historic sources. 19th century sources refer to North Studdolph and South Studdolph, which were apparently farmsteads, between which stood

Studdolph Hall, which is the farmhouse of the modern farmstead.

Studdolph was owned by the Phelps family during the 18th century, passing to the Devonalds of Sodston, Narberth by the early 19th century. The present dwelling is not shown on the Steynton parish tithe map of the 1840s but it would appear to have been built soon after this time as it appears in its present position on the 1875-76 1:2500 Ordnance Survey map. The 1875-76 map also shows that the farmyard area to the east of the house was beginning to take shape, and by the publication of the Second Edition 1:2500 map in 1908 the core of the modern farmyard was mostly in place. By the opening of the 21st century a range of modern agricultural sheds had been added to the south of the farmyard and it appears that South Studdolph became part of the holding. North Studdolph has remained as a separate dwelling and holding into the 21st century.

Rarity: Common Reference: Trysor

Documentation:



Group Value: Associated with Studdolph Hall house

Evidential Value: Working farmstead

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 56 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD BOUNDARY

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9109009286 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Monument <By Form>

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Description: This earthwork boundary bank forms the eastern side of a triangular field at Studdolph

Farm. It also acts as the western side of an old trackway that connects the farmyard area with fields to the north. It consists of a broad earthwork bank, generally over 1 metre high,

topped with a thorn hedge. It is shown on the Steynton parish tithe map of the 1840s.

Rarity: Common

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of the field system at Studdolph, ID number 54, and forming the western side

of trackway ID number 53.

Evidential Value: Extant earthwork and shown on historical maps.

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 57 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD BOUNDARY

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9098009346 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Monument <By Form>

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

**Description:** This field boundary bank is part of the field system on Studdolph Farm. It runs east to west

and consists of a broad earthwork bank, over 1 metre high and topped with a thorn hedge. It

is shown on the Steynton parish tithe map of the 1840s.

Rarity: Common

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of the field system at Studdolph, ID number 54

**Evidential Value:** Extant earthwork and shown on historical maps.

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



# **Appendix C:**

Site Appraisals Within Study Area



ID number: 1 THORNBURY BURNT MOUND

**HER PRN:** 3019 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM89190867 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic; Monument < By Form>

**Period:** Prehistoric

Form: Earthwork Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

Reference: HER

Documentation:

*Group Value:* One of several Bronze Age burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: Documentary sources only

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 2 ROMANS CASTLE; RAMUS DEFENDED

CASTLE ENCLOSURE

**HER PRN:** 3165 **NMR NPRN:** 305343

NGR: SM89521058 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic; Defence

**Period:** Iron Age

Form: Earthwork Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: Scheduled Ancient SAM number: PE188 LB number: grade:

Monument

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Survives as an earthwork site, some of which is denuded but well-preserved on the

south and west sides.

Historical Value: Described in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important



ID number: 3 REDBERTH BURNT MOUND

**HER PRN:** 3167 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM8984410850 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Prehistoric

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

**Reference:** HER

Documentation:

Group Value: One of several Bronze Age burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: A denuded mound

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 4 THORNTON RATH PROMONTORY FORT

HER PRN: 3172 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM90500788 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic; Defence

**Period:** Iron Age

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: Scheduled Ancient SAM number: PE187 LB number: grade:

Monument

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Denuded earthworks, mostly wooded over

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important



ID number: 5 STEYNTON PARISH CHURCH;ST CHURCH

CEWYDD AND ST PETER'S

HER PRN: 3180 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM91770782 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

**Period:** Post Medieval; Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 12934

grade: II\*

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with the vicarage and church school

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: Mentioned in historical and archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Place of worship
Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 6 STEYNTON ROUND BARROW

HER PRN: 3182 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM9213607926 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Religious Ritual and Funerary

**Period:** Bronze Age

Form: Earthwork Condition: Near Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Very denuded earth mound

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important



ID number: 7 FERNY CASTLE COTTAGE BURNT MOUND

HER PRN: 3345 NMR NPRN:

*NGR*: SM91021065

**Broadclass:** Domestic; Monument < By Form>

**Period:** Prehistoric

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

**Reference:** HER

Documentation:

Group Value: One of several Bronze Age burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: A denuded mound

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 8 FERNY CASTLE COTTAGE BURNT MOUND

*HER PRN:* 3346 *NMR NPRN: NGR:* SM91181066 Unknown

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Prehistoric

Form: Documents Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: One of several Bronze Age burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: Documentary evidence only

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None
Significance: Unknown



ID number: 9 SUNNY HILL BURNT MOUND?

HER PRN: 3347 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM91901042 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Prehistoric

Form: Other Structure Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

**Reference:** HER

Documentation:

Group Value: One of several Bronze Age burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: A stone scatter

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None
Significance: Unknown

ID number: 10 JUBILEE COTTAGE BURNT MOUND

**HER PRN:** 3348 **NMR NPRN:** 276065

NGR: SM9217110255 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic; Monument < By Form>

**Period:** Prehistoric

Form: Earthwork Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: Scheduled Ancient SAM number: PE476 LB number: grade:

Monument

Rarity: Not common

**Reference:** HER

Documentation:

*Group Value:* One of several Bronze Age burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: A mound of burnt stones and charcoal Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important



ID number: 11 JOHNSTON CHURCH; BWLFORD **BURNT MOUND** 

**HER PRN**: 3351 *NMR NPRN*: NGR: SM92431028

> **Broadclass:** Domestic

Period: Prehistoric

Form: Documents Condition: Destroyed

Unknown

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

**HER** Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: One of several Bronze Age burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: Documentary sources only

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None Significance: Unknown

ID number: 12 ST CEWYDD AND ST PETER'S **CIST** 

HER PRN: 3368 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM91770782 Grid reference taken at centre of site

> Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

Period: Early Medieval; Bronze Age

Form: **Documents** Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

**HER** Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Documentary sources only

Historical Value: Mentioned in antiquarian sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None Significance: Unknown



ID number: 13 STEYNTON PARISH INSCRIBED STONE

CHURCH; GENDILI STONE

HER PRN: 3369 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM91770782 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Religious Ritual and Funerary

**Period:** Early Medieval

Form: Other Structure Condition: Moved

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Stone monument now kept in the church

Historical Value: Mentioned in historic sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 14 NORTH CASTLE; SOUTH UNKNOWN

**CASTLE** 

 HER PRN:
 7940 NMR NPRN:

 NGR:
 SM910110 Unknown

Broadclass: Unassigned

Period: Unknown

Form: Place-name Condition: Unknown

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

**Reference:** HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Place name only

Historical Value:NoneAesthetic Value:NoneCommunal Value:None

Significance: Unknown



ID number: 15 BEACONING THE BEACON

*HER PRN:* 10490 *NMR NPRN:* 

NGR: SM920077 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Communications

Period: Post Medieval; Medieval

Form: Place-name Condition: Unknown

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Placename only

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: NoneSignificance: Unknown

ID number: 16 HERMASTON;HARMESTON;HE MANSION

RMANSTON

**HER PRN:** 10502 **NMR NPRN:** 22008

NGR: SM9253308976 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval; Medieval

Form: Documents; Building Condition: Restored

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 13052

grade: II

Rarity: Not rare Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: The dwelling at the historic Great Harmeston farmstead

Evidential Value: Standing building, said to have been restored

Historical Value: Mentioned in historical sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important



ID number: 17 THORNBURY DEFENDED

ENCLOSURE?

**HER PRN:** 12103 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM891093 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Defence:Domestic

**Period:** Iron Age

Form: Earthwork Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Documentary sources only

Historical Value: Possible enclosure seen on an aerial photo but not confirmed on the ground

Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None
Significance: Unknown

ID number: 18 UPPER ROBESTON PLATFORM, DESERTE

**D RURAL** 

**SETTLEMENT** 

*HER PRN:* 13060 *NMR NPRN:* 

NGR: SM889093 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Monument <By Form>

**Period:** Unknown

Form: Earthwork Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Documentary sources

Historical Value: Possible platform seen on an aerial photo but not confirmed on the ground

Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None
Significance: Unknown



ID number: 19 WOODSON MILL POND

**HER PRN:** 15157 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM903093 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Water Supply and Drainage

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of Woodson farmstead

Evidential Value: Pond now dried up but hollow and dam still identifiable

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Minor Importance

ID number: 20 STUDDOLPH HALL COUNTRY HOUSE

**HER PRN:** 15158 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM912088 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: Trysor

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Studdolph Farm

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 21 ROBESTON CROSS MILESTONE

**HER PRN:** 15808 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM88990949 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Gardens Parks and Urban Spaces; Transport

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Other Structure Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: One of a series of milestones on the road to Hakin

Evidential Value: Historic mapping only

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 22 QUARRYHALL DWELLING

**HER PRN:** 17808 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM9003110220 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 23 BULFORD QUARRY

**HER PRN:** 17815 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM91521074 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Industrial

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Disused

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Disused quarry now surrounded by trees

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 24 TABERNACLE CHAPEL

CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH

**HER PRN:** 17817 **NMR NPRN:** 11177

NGR: SM90641080 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Religious Ritual and Funerary

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Place of worship
Significance: Locally Important



ID number: 25 STEYNTON NATIONAL SCHOOL SCHOOL

*HER PRN:* 17818 *NMR NPRN:* 

NGR: SM9173707792 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Education

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER;Trysor

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Steynton parish church
Evidential Value: Standing building, now used as church hall

Historical Value: Mentioned in historical sources

Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Church hall

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 26 THORNTON ENGLISH BAPTIST CHAPEL

**CHAPEL** 

**HER PRN:** 17822 **NMR NPRN:** 11178

NGR: SM9038807841 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Place of worship
Significance: Locally Important



ID number: 27 THORNTON CEMETERY

**HER PRN:** 17823 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM907076 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Other Structure Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

**Evidential Value:** Cemetery in use

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Burial place

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 28 THORNTON SAW MILL

**HER PRN:** 17832 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM90380746 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Industrial

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Trysor

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Shown on mid-20th century OS maps but no longer survives

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 29 REDSTOCK COTTAGE COTTAGE

**HER PRN:** 22371 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM92100890 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Restored

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

**Evidential Value:** Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 30 MANSE THE MANSE

*HER PRN*: 24402 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM90651080 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 31 BULFORD MINERAL WATER

**WORKS** 

*HER PRN:* 29538 *NMR NPRN:* 

NGR: SM9195610305 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Industrial

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Complex Condition: Near Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common

Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 32 BULLFORD;BULLFORDE;BULF FARMSTEAD

ORD

*HER PRN*: 29539 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM92331040 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Agriculture and Subsistence

**Period:** Post Medieval; Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Converted

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: A farmstead is documented here but by the late 19th century it seems to have been

a cottage which has been modernised into a modern dwelling.

Historical Value: Mentioned in historical sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None



ID number: 33 FERNY CASTLE; FERNEY COTTAGE

**CASTLE** 

*HER PRN*: 29540 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9103910684 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Documentary evidence only, the cottage has been removed

Historical Value: Shown on historic mapping

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 34 UNKNOWN BUILDING

**HER PRN:** 29541 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM92421038 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Unassigned

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Building shown on 19th century OS map but absent from 20th century maps

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 35 JUBILEE COTTAGES COTTAGE

*HER PRN*: 29542 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM92181043 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building, still in use

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 36 UNKNOWN BUILDING

**HER PRN:** 29543 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM92101045 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Unassigned

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Building was removed during 19th century and 20th century houses now stand on

the site

Historical Value: Historic mapping only

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None



ID number: 37 SUNNYHILL COTTAGE

*HER PRN:* 29544 *NMR NPRN:* 

NGR: SM92041049 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Restored

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building which has been modernised

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 38 BULLFORD COTTAGE;LOWER COTTAGE

**BULFORD** 

*HER PRN:* 29545 *NMR NPRN:* 

NGR: SM91651053 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 39 BULLFORD COTTAGE COTTAGE

**HER PRN:** 29546 **NMR NPRN:** 

*NGR*: SM9148410671

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

**Evidential Value:** Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 40 UNKNOWN DWELLING

**HER PRN:** 29547 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM9116710707 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Ruin

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Site of a ruined cottage now overgrown

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 41 HARMESTON HALL GARDEN

**HER PRN:** 30859 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM92550895 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Gardens Parks and Urban Spaces; Agriculture

and Subsistence

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Topography Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

*Rarity:* Not common

Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Harmeston house

Evidential Value: The gardens around the historic Harmeston house are now overgrown and have not

been managed in modern times

Historical Value: Recorded in Cadw's Register of Parks and Gardens

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 42 ROBESTON CROSS COTTAGE

**HER PRN:** 43476 *NMR NPRN:* 

NGR: SM8898809460 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Near Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 43 FORD FARM;STEYNTON FARMHOUSE

**HER PRN:** 46371 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM91810816 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Ford farmstead and a range of outbuildings

**Evidential Value:** Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 44 THE VICARAGE,MILFORD VICARAGE

ROAD (E SIDE)

*HER PRN*: 59090 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9176507853 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 12935

grade: II

Rarity: Not rare Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Steynton parish church

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: Described in Cadw listing description

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important



ID number: 45 GREAT HARMESTON HOUSE AGRICULTURAL

**RANGE** 

**HER PRN:** 59185 **NMR NPRN:** 22574

NGR: SM9251309001 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 13053

grade: II

Rarity: Not rare Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Great Harmeston house and farmstead

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: Described in Cadw listing description

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 46 MILEPOST NEAR REDSTOCK MILEPOST

**BRIDGE** 

**HER PRN:** 60482 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM9199408866 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Transport

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 82696

grade: II

*Rarity:* Common

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Milepost in position at roadside

Historical Value: Described in Cadw listing description

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None



ID number: 47 SUNNYBANK, INCLUDING HOUSE

ATTACHED OUTBUILDINGS.

*HER PRN*: 60483 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9029108008 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence; Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 82697

grade: II

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: Described in Cadw listing description

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 48 FORDWAY COTTAGE

**HER PRN:** 103177 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM89490799 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Domestic

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Shown on late 19th century OS maps but had been demolished by the early 20th

century

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 49 MILFORD HAVEN WATER TANK

**WORKS** 

*HER PRN*: 103178 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM89510799 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Water Supply and Drainage

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with a nearby reservoir built c.1900 to supply Milford Haven

Evidential Value: Shown on the 1908 Second Edition OS map

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Minor Importance

ID number: 50 MILFORD HAVEN WATER TANK

WORKS

**HER PRN:** 103179 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM89610786 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Water Supply and Drainage

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Documents Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with a nearby reservoir built c.1900 to supply Milford Haven

Evidential Value: Shown on the 1908 Second Edition OS map

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None



ID number: 51 WELL

**HER PRN:** 103180 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM89460807 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Water Supply and Drainage

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Other Structure Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Minor Importance

ID number: 52 GRAVEL PIT

**HER PRN:** 103181 **NMR NPRN:** 

NGR: SM89180865 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Industrial

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 53 STUDDOLPH HALL TRACKWAY

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9114209135 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Transport

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: Trysor

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Studdolph Hall farmstead

**Evidential Value:** Trackway in use by farm

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 54 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD SYSTEM

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9101009130 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Agriculture and Subsistence

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: Trysor

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Studdolph Hall farmstead

Evidential Value: Field system of working farm

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 55 STUDDOLPH HALL FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9130508763 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Agriculture and Subsistence

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Complex Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common Reference: Trysor

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Studdolph Hall house

Evidential Value: Working farmstead

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 56 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD BOUNDARY

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9109009286 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Monument <By Form>

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Rarity: Common

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of the field system at Studdolph, ID number 54, and forming the western side

of trackway ID number 53.

Evidential Value: Extant earthwork and shown on historical maps.

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



ID number: 57 STUDDOLPH HALL FIELD BOUNDARY

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9098009346 Grid reference taken at centre of site

**Broadclass:** Monument <By Form>

**Period:** Post Medieval

Form: Earthwork Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Rarity: Common

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of the field system at Studdolph, ID number 54

Evidential Value: Extant earthwork and shown on historical maps.

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None