

Report by: Trysor

For: Mr & Mrs Ayres of Nash Mountain, near Trooper's Inn, Pembrokeshire SA62 4NS

September 2013



By

Jenny Hall, MIfA & Paul Sambrook, MIfA Trysor

Trysor Project No. 2013/310

For: Mr & Mrs Ayres of Nash Mountain, near Trooper's Inn, Pembrokeshire SA62 4NS

September 2013

38, New Road
Gwaun-cae-Gurwen
Ammanford
Carmarthenshire
SA18 1UN
www.trysor.net
enquiries@trysor.net





RHIF YR ADRODDIAD - REPORT NUMBER: Trysor 2013/310

DYDDIAD 23^{ain} Medi 2013 **DATE** 23rd September 2013

Paratowyd yr adroddiad hwn gan bartneriad Trysor. Mae wedi ei gael yn gywir ac yn derbyn ein sêl bendith.

This report was prepared by the Trysor partners. It has been checked and received our approval.

JENNY HALL MIFA Jenny Hall

PAUL SAMBROOK MIFA Paul Sambrook

DYDDIAD

DATE 23/09/2013

Croesawn unrhyw sylwadau ar gynnwys neu strwythur yr adroddiad hwn.

We welcome any comments on the content or structure of this report.

38, New Road, Gwaun-cae-Gurwen Ammanford Carmarthenshire SA18 1UN 01269 826397 Treclyn
Eglywswrw
Crymych
Pembrokeshire
SA41 3SU
01239 891470

www.trysor.net

enquiries@trysor.net

Trysor is a Registered Organisation with the Institute for Archaeologists and both partners are Members of the Institute for Archaeologists, www.archaeologists.net .

Jenny Hall (BSc Joint Hons., Geology and Archaeology, MIfA) had 12 years excavation experience, which included undertaking watching briefs prior to becoming the Sites and Monuments Record Manager for a Welsh Archaeological Trust for 10 years. She has been an independent archaeologist since 2004 undertaking a variety of work that includes upland survey, desk-based appraisals and assessments, and watching briefs.

Paul Sambrook (BA Joint Hons., Archaeology and Welsh, MIfA, PGCE) has extensive experience as a fieldworker in Wales. He was involved with Cadw's pan-Wales Deserted Rural Settlements Project for 7 years. He also undertook Tir Gofal field survey work and watching briefs. He has been an independent archaeologist since 2004 undertaking a variety of work including upland survey, desk-based appraisals/assessments, and watching briefs.

Contents

1. Summary	1
2. Copyright	1
3. Introduction	1
4. The Proposed Development	2
5. Methodology	3
6. Nash Mountain: Historical Overview	6
7. Nash Mountain Proposed Turbine Site	9
8. Impact Assessment	9
9. Historic Landscape Aspects Relating to the Nash Mountain Proposed Turbine	13
10. Conclusion	15
11. Reporting	15
12. References	16
Appendix A: Nash Mountain Farm Impacts	17
Appendix B: Descriptions of Newly Recorded Sites	27
Appendix C: Site Appraisals within the Revised Study Area	32





- 1.1 This historic environment appraisal has been undertaken by Trysor to examine likely impacts on the historic environment from a proposed wind turbine at Nash Mountain farm, near Trooper's Inn, Pembrokeshire, to inform a future planning application.
- 1.2 The appraisal has looked at all known historic assets within an area measuring 2km in radius, focused on a central point at the proposed turbine site at SM9746808846, consulting the regional Historic Environment Record and the National Monuments Record, as well as examining historic maps. A field visit was also undertaken to examine the location of the turbine and record previously unknown historic assets.
- 1.3 The appraisal shows that there is no evidence of significant archaeological features at the proposed development site. The proposed turbine would not have a direct or indirect physical impact on any known historic asset. There is no evidence which would suggest the presence of any buried archaeological features.
- 1.4 Indirect visual impacts are assessed as Very Low, with intervisibility, or partial intervisibility but no affect on setting. None of the six Listed Buildings would be exposed to any impact at all. One of the two Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Tallyho round barrow (ID number 9) would be exposed to a Very Low indirect, visual impact but at a distance of 1.6 kilometres and the setting of the monument would not be affected.
- 1.4 On the basis of this appraisal, the no archaeological mitigation is thought necessary.

2. Copyright

2.1 Trysor hold the copyright of this report. Further copies may be made of this report without gaining permission to reproduce but it must be noted that Figures 4 and 5 include other copyrighted material and should not be copied.

3. Introduction

- 3.1 Mr Martin Horne of WinDS Ltd, Gwelfor, Castlemorris, Pembrokeshire, SA62 5XA, commissioned Trysor heritage consultants on behalf of his clients, Mr & Mrs Ayres of Nash Mountain, near Trooper's Inn, Pembrokeshire, to write an Historic Environment Appraisal as part of documentation to accompany a planning application for a single wind turbine at Nash Mountain.
- 3.2 Dyfed Archaeological Trust Heritage Management section supplied a generic brief for such appraisals (DAT HM, Undated). They are to be a rapid appraisal of the readily available historic environment information including a site visit. The purpose of this appraisal was to inform DAT HM as to whether any further assessment or evaluation would be required as part of the planning process. Trysor prepared a specification based on the brief (Trysor, 2013)



4. The proposed development

- 4.1 It is proposed that a single turbine with a 24 m high tower and 34 m upright blade tip will be located in a single field parcel at SM9746808846 at Nash Mountain Farm, Troopers Inn, Haverfordwest, Pembrokeshire SA62 4NS, see Figure 1.
- 4.2 The turbine will require a foundation hole, approximately 7 metres square, and with a cable trench up to 1 metre deep running approximately east southeast for 100 metres. Access will be via existing gateways. A temporary wooden trackway will be laid in the field parcel from the gate to the turbine.




Figure 1: Location of the proposed turbine, showing the 2km radius appraisal area.



5. Methodology

- 5.1 A study area of 2km radius circle centred on SM9746808846 was chosen for the initial appraisal of sites. This area was revised after the site visit to exclude two areas on the eastern and southern sides of the appraisal area, see Figure 2
- 5.2 The HER enquiry for the 2km radius area yielded 95 records.
- 5.3 Within the 95 records, three were for Common Land, one had an inaccurate NGR and actually lay outside the study area and four were duplicates and these were all removed from the dataset. A further thirty six records were also removed as they lay within the excluded area. This left 51 HER records in the appraisal dataset. Two of these were Scheduled Ancient Monuments and six were Listed Buildings.
- 5.4 A site visit was made to Nash Mountain and the surrounding area on August 9th, 2013 and again on September 7th 2013, to rapidly appraise if there were any visible archaeological features within the area directly affected by the turbine proposal and also the wider landscape context of the proposed development. The field was under pasture at the time.
- 5.5 The aerial photographs on Google Earth, dating to 2006 and 2009, were used to inform the appraisal.
- 5.6 Historic mapping was consulted. The maps used included 19th and 20th century 1:2500 scale Ordnance Survey mapping and the Burton parish tithe map of 1840 and accompanying tithe schedule (dated to 1838).
- 5.7 All information gathered during the desktop appraisal and fieldwork was entered into a bespoke database in Access 2003 format to create an appraisal dataset. The dataset is the source of the material output in this report, including the GIS mapping which illustrates the location of sites in the area, and the tables and appendices which provide detailed information on the sites within the study area.
- 5.8 At the end of a rapid appraisal of the data, the information from the site visit and historic map search, the appraisal dataset contained 53 records. As stated in 5.3, thirty six HER records were discarded as they were in the excluded area, four were duplicates, three were for common land and one had an inaccurate NGR and actually lay outside the appraisal area whilst two new records were created as a result of the desktop work and field survey undertaken by Trysor.
 - 5.8.1 The National Monuments Record was consulted and compared with the HER. No records were added from this source to the appraisal dataset.
 - 5.8.2 New records were created in the project database by Trysor for Nash Mountain farmstead and field system (ID numbers 52 & 53).
- 5.9 Each of the records in the final appraisal dataset was assessed for Period, Rarity, Documentation, Group Value¹, as well as Evidential Value, Historical Value, Aesthetic

¹ Period, Rarity, Documentation and Group Value are criteria defined in the Welsh Office Circular 60/96, 1996.



Value, Communal Value². Once these had been considered the significance of each site was determined and scored in accordance with the categories adopted by the Welsh Archaeological Trusts i.e. Nationally Important, Regionally Important, Locally Important, Minor and Features Needing Further Investigation (Unknown), see Figure 2. Full details of this exercise are given in Appendix C.

5.10 As this exercise is an appraisal of the study area, not a full desk-based historic environment assessment, no site descriptions have been included in the appraisal dataset or this printed report, apart from newly recorded features (See Appendix B).




Figure 2: showing the excluded area on the east and south of the appraisal area.

² Evidential Value, Historical Value, Aesthetic Value and Communal Value are criteria defined in Cadw's Conservation Principles publication, 2011.




Figure 3: Sites showing significance, labelled with Project ID number



6. Nash Mountain: Historical overview

- 6.1 On the 1809 Tenby sheet of Ordnance Survey Original Surveyors Drawing, two separate buildings where Nash Mountain currently stands. The access track follows a different line than today with the track running westwards before turning south to meet the main road. Neither building is named but on the evidence of the tithe map and early census returns, see 6.3 and 6.5 below, would seem to be two separate holdings which were originally named as Great Hill.
- 6.2 The 1819 1" to 1 mile scale Ordnance Survey map shows a similar layout, again with no name given to the holdings.
- 6.3 The tithe survey of 1840 gives the following field names for two small holdings called Great Hill, both noted as being owned by Mesdames Mary, Rebecca and Martha Phipps, (see Figure 4). The first was occupied by John Allen and consisted of a homestead and six fields

106	Field	120	Field
117	Field	130	Field
118	Field	132	Field
119	Homestead		

The other was occupied by John James and consisted of a homestead and six fields again

102	Field	121	Homestead
103	Field	122	Field
105	Field	123	Field
116	Field		




Figure 4: Two of the smallholdings called Great Hill on the 1840 Burton parish tithe map



6.4 The 1873 1:2500 Ordnance Survey map shows the northernmost of the two farmsteads as Great Hill, the southernmost not being named, (see Figure 5). This map shows a third building to the southwest of Great Hill. This may well be a third cottage known as Great Hill recorded on the parish census returns from 1851 onwards. It is interesting to note that the northernmost of the three dwellings appears to be located in the same position of an old barn which still stands at the modern Nash Mountain. The present owners pointed this out as a building which they understand to be the original house at their property and their belief is supported by the evidence of 19th century mapping.

6.5 The 1909 1:2500 Ordnance Survey map shows only the holding of Nash Mountain, the other two cottages having been removed (see Figure 6). The layout f the buildings on the farmyard had changed with the farmhouse where it stands today having been built to the southwest of the other buildings.




Figure 5: The 1st edition 1873 Ordnance Survey map showing the three dwellings



Figure 6: The 2nd edition 1908 Ordnance Survey map showing the new layout of Nash Mountain.



6.5 Census returns provide more evidence of the development of the settlement at Nash Mountain and tell us something about its occupants. It is difficult to identify the property now known as Nash Mountain in the earliest available census returns. It is evident that the property was known as Great Hill at the time of the Burton parish tithe survey of 1840 and in 1841 there are two properties of that name recorded in the census. One of these was occupied by the family of a Sawyer named David Goodridge and the other by the family of a Labourer named Thomas Jones.

6.6 In 1851, both the Goodridge and Jones families are still recorded as living at Great Hill. However this census also records a third cottage known as Great Hill, which is home to the family of William and Ann Skone. It seems likely that this cottage is the forerunner of the present farmstead and certainly the Skones are direct ancestors of the present occupants. William Skone is recorded as being born at Lamphey, Pembrokeshire and he was a 42 year-old Tailor at the time of the 1851 census. His wife Ann, aged 44, was born in Burton Parish. Their seven children were also all born in Burton. Their names were James (16), Mary (14), Ann (12), Martha (9), William (7), Margaret (4) and John (1).

6.7 The 1861 census shows the Skone family now recorded at Nash Mountain, which is almost certainly the same property as the Great Hill named in 1851. The Goodridge and Jones' families are still recorded as their nearest neighbours; the address of the former now given as "The Gutter" and that of the latter as "Nash Mountain." In 1861, William and Ann lived with five of their children; Mary (24), Ann (22), William (17), Margaret (14) and John (11). A grandson names James M. Skone, aged 4 months also lived with the family.

6.8 The 1871 census does not name Great Hill or Nash Mountain. A series of dwellings are merely listed under the description of "Cottage", including three in succession where the Jones, Skone and Goodridge families appear to again be neighbours. This would seem to tie in with the evidence of the 1885 Ordnance Survey map, which appears to show three buildings or cottages at "Great Hill". William and Ann Skone now lived alone, William still working as a Tailor and employing an apprentice.

6.9 In 1881, the census again has the Goodridge and Jones families listed as neighbours of the Skones. The Jones family lived at Nash Mountain Cottage and the Goodridges at Addle Gutter Farm. This census also records two separate dwellings at Nash Mountain. A Mary Hodge, aged 80 and a widow, lived alone in one of these cottages. In the other are the Skone family. James Skone, aged 46 and a farmer of 40 acres, is now the head of the household. He was undoubtedly the eldest son of William and Ann Skone. He lives with his wife Elisabeth, aged 55, and four children. His eldest son, William, aged 19 was a Joiner. Priscilla was 16, Margaret 13 and James George was 7.

6.10 By 1891, James and Elizabeth Skone lived with three of their children, Priscilla, Margaret and James George (who worked as a Farm Assistant), as well as a Farm Servant named William Thomas, who came from Freystrop. The property is named as Nash Mountain, Sardis and seems to be the only property listed under the name of Nash Mountain.

6.11 A decade later, in 1901, it is George Skone, aged 27, who farmed at Nash Mountain. He is assisted by his sister Priscilla, and they employed three young people from Neyland on the farm, namely William Davies (15) as a Teamster, William Gwilliam (14) as a Stockman and Mary Davies as a General Domestic Servant.



6.12 The most recent census returns available at present are those of 1911, when James George and Priscilla Skone still farmed Nash Mountain. They were assisted by their 19 year-old niece, Priscilla Davies, who came from Neyland, who was employed for General Service and Dairy Work. It is possible that she could have been related to the Davies's who worked on the farm at the time of the 1901 census. Also working on the farm at this point was 19 year-old John Jones of Herbrandston, employed as a Horseman.



7. Nash Mountain: Proposed turbine site

- 7.1 The proposed turbine at Nash Mountain is to be located at SM9746808846 in a pasture field, 120 metres to the south of the farmhouse at Nash Mountain. The proposed cable trench will run for roughly 60 metres northwards to an existing electricity pole within the field parcel.
- 7.2 When the farm and its environs were visited in September 2013, an assessment was made of the intervisibility of key monuments in the local landscape with the proposed turbine site. This assessment was undertaken on a dry day and in reasonable light.

8. Impact Assessment

- 8.1 Within the revised area a total of 53 sites were recorded in the appraisal database.
- 8.2 The Direct and Indirect impact on each site was assessed taking into account both physical and non-physical impacts. A full table is found in Appendix A but a summary is tabulated in Table 1 below and illustrated in Figure 4.
- 8.3 As Table 1 and Appendix A show, no recorded historic assets within the area of the appraisal were determined to be exposed to any significant impact from the proposed turbine development. (Details of the appraisal for direct and indirect impact for each of the sites in Table 1 can be found in Appendix A).
- 8.4 An examination of the proposed development site identified no evidence of surface material of archaeological interest. The land was under pasture and generally well-grassed, with very little exposure of the underlying soil surface.
- 8.5 Existing access points will be used and the cable trench will not go through any boundary banks.
- 8.6 No archaeological mitigation is thought necessary in relation to this development



Table 1: Impact on sites within the appraisal area around Nash Mountain Farm proposed turbine site

ID number	Site Name	Site Type	Level of Impact
5	HEARSON FARM	DEFENDED	
3	HEARSON FARM	ENCLOSURE	Very Low
9	TALLYHO	ROUND BARROW	Very
,	TALLTIO	ROUND BARROW	Low
18	TALLY-HO	BURNT MOUND	Very
10	TALL I-IIO	BURNT MOUND	Low
19	TALLY-HO	BURNT MOUND	Very
	TALL I-NO	BURNI MOUND	Low
44	HEARSON MOUNTAIN	CHAPEL	Very
	TILARSON MOCHTAIN	CITALLE	Low
46	SARDIS ENGLISH BAPTIST	CHAPEL	Very
10	CHAPEL		Low
52	NASH MOUNTAIN	FIELD SYSTEM	Very
			Low
53	NASH MOUNTAIN	FARMSTEAD	Very
			Low
1	LITTLE DUMPLEDALE	MIDDEN?;BURNT	None
		MOUND	
2	HARROLDSTONE	STANDING STONE	None
3	ROSEMARKET	VICARAGE	None
4	LITTLE DUMPLEDALE	CROPMARK	None
6	BEACONING THE	BEACON	None
7	GREAT WESTFIELD	EARTHWORK	None
8	HILL MOUNTAIN	CROPMARK	None
10	HANGING STONE	CHAMBERED TOMB	None
11	HEARSON MOUNTAIN CAMP	DEFENDED	None
		ENCLOSURE	
12	WRIGHTS WOOD	QUARRY	None
13	GREAT HILL:NASH MOUNTAIN	BURNT MOUND	None
14	SARDIS	SAND PIT	None
15	ASHDALE	BURNT MOUND	None
16	EAST MOOR	BURNT MOUND	None
17	EAST MOOR	BURNT MOUND	None
20	GREAT NASH DOVECOTE	DOVECOTE	None
21	LONG STONE	STANDING STONE	None
22	GREAT HILL	UNKNOWN	None
23	NASH MILL	MILL	None
24	CHURCH MEADOW	CHURCH LAND	None
25	SOUTHLAND	FINDSPOT	None
26	LAURAL HILL DAIRY	FARMSTEAD	None
27	ROSEMARKET	QUARRY	None
28	ROSEMARKET	QUARRY	None
29	MILEPOST NEAR HILL	MILE POST	None
	MOUNTAIN		
30	TROOPER'S INN	MILE POST	None
31	CARTSHED AT GREAT	CARTSHED	None
	WESTFIELD		
32	BROAD ROAD	TRACKWAY	None
33	SPRINKLE LANE	TRACKWAY	None
34	LLANGWM	WELL	None



35	FOXHALL	WELL	None
36	ASHDALE	LODGE	None
37	BROAD ROAD	ROAD	None
38	BADGER WOOD	WELL	None
39	LLANGWM HILL	COTTAGE	None
40	DEERLAND FARM	FARMSTEAD	None
41	THE JAIL	ENCLOSURE	None
42	ASHDALE;DUMPLEDALE	MANSION	None
43	ST MARY'S MISSION HALL	MISSION HALL	None
45	FOXHALL	DWELLING	None
47	LLANGWM VOLUNTARY	SCHOOL	None
	CONTROL SCHOOL		
48	GREAT NASH	MANSION	None
49	WESTFIELD	MANSION	None
50	PITSTONE WELL	WELL	None
51	DEERLAND CEMETERY	CEMETERY	None
	LLANGWM		




Figure 7: Sites showing the overall level of impact on the sites recorded, labelled with Project ID number



9. Historic Landscape Aspects Relating to the Nash Mountain Proposed Turbine

9.1 The following aspects of the historic environment around the Nash Mountain proposed turbine site have also been considered by this appraisal, as required by the brief supplied by the Dyfed Archaeological Trust Heritage Management Section (DAT, HM, Undated);

a) Scheduled Ancient Monuments in their settings

There are two Scheduled Ancient Monument within the revised appraisal area. The Tallyho round barrow (ID number 9) is a denuded Bronze Age burial mound. The impact on this monument is assessed as Very Low as the proposed turbine would be visible from this site, but only at a distance of 1.6km and without any affect on the setting of the barrow. Hanging Stone chambered tomb (ID number 10) consists of three uprights supporting a large capstone. This monument seems unlikely to be intervisible with the proposed turbine as higher ground lies between the two sites so the impact was assessed as None..

b) Previously Recorded Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments in their settings

Seven of the non-designated historic assets in the appraisal area are thought likely to experience a Very Low indirect, visual impact. This is due to their being at least partially intervisible with the proposed turbine over distance, but in no case would their setting affected by the development. These sites are Hearson Mountain Defended Enclosure (ID number 5), two burnt mounds (ID number 18 & 19), Hearson Mountain chapel (ID number 44), Sardis English Baptist Chapel (ID number 46) and the field system and farmstead at Nash Mountain (ID number 52 & 53)

Potential impacts on Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments are included in Appendix A. The significance of Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments is addressed in Appendix C.

c) Newly identified sites of historic importance

Two newly recorded sites of historic importance were noted for this appraisal at the turbine site. These were the farmstead at Nash Mountain (ID number 52) and the field system (ID number 53). These would be exposed to a Very Low indirect, visual impact.

The details of these sites are included in Appendices A, B & C.

d) Listed Buildings in their settings

There are six Listed Building within the appraisal area. They are two mile posts, one at Trooper's Inn (ID number 30) and one near Hill Mountain (ID number 29), the dwelling at Westfield (ID number 49) and one of its buildings (ID number 31), the dwelling at Dumpledale (ID number 42) and the dovecote at Great Nash (ID number 20). There would be no direct or indirect impact on these Listed Buildings.

e) Non-statutory buildings of local importance

No non-statutory buildings of local importance included on a local list were identified as being affected by the proposed development.

f) Registered Parks & Gardens and their essential settings.

There are no Registered Parks and Gardens within the overall study area.

g) Registered Historic Landscapes

The development site does not lie in a Registered Historic Landscape.



h) Non-registered Historic Landscapes

There are no identified non-registered Historic Landscapes within the overall study area.

i) LANDMAP and landscape characterisation information

The proposed turbine would stand in the Tiers Cross - Camrose LANDMAP Historic Landscape Aspect Area (PMBRKHL46054). This extensive aspect area is characterised by dispersed settlement across an exposed plateau with a mixture of field sizes and shapes, with woodlands within the incised valleys. Its archaeological record includes sites of all periods.

It has a High overall evaluation, in part because of the inclusion of the Grade ll listed registered landscape park and garden of Great Harmeston within it which lies 5 kilometres to the west of the proposed turbine site.

The proposed development would not significantly impact on the essential character of the area.

j) Conservation Area

There are no Conservation Areas within the overall study area.

k) Tir Gofal interests or requirements

No Tir Gofal interests were identified.

1) Buried archaeological potential

There is also no surface, map, aerial photographic or documentary evidence suggestive of significant buried archaeology at the proposed development site.

m) Palaeoenvironmental potential

No palaeoenvironmental potential can be identified at the Nash Mountain Farm turbine site. It is situated in a pasture field which is relatively well-drained.

n) Hedgerows and field patterns

The proposed development would be located in a pasture field which has been formed by the amalgamation of tree small fields between the time of the tithe survey in the 1840s and the 2nd edition Ordnance Survey map. The proposed development will not change the character of the field system nor have a significant affect on any of the field boundary banks.

o) Ancient woodland

There are parts of two areas of Ancient and Semi-natural Woodland within the revised appraisal area. One is Ancient or Semi-natural Woodland and the other is a Plantation on Ancient Woodland Site. There would be no impact on these woodlands.

p) Place-names

There are no significant place-names in close association with the proposed development site. Field parcel 117 on the parish tithe map, in which the turbine will be located, is just referred to as "Field"

q) Cumulative impact

No other turbines were visible from the proposed turbine location.



10. Conclusion

- 10.1 There would not be a significant impact on the historic environment or on individual historic assets in relation to the proposed development of the proposed wind turbine at Nash Mountain, Trooper's Inn, Pembrokeshire.
- 10.2 No sites found to be likely to be exposed to a Low, Moderate, High or Very High impact, either in terms of direct physical or indirect physical or visual impact.
- 10.3 Eight sites, including Tally Ho round barrow (ID number 9), a Scheduled Ancient Monument, would be exposed to a Very Low, indirect, visual impact. These sites would be at least partially intervisible with the turbine, but there would be no impact on their setting.
- 10.4 On the basis of this appraisal, no archaeological mitigation is thought necessary for this development.

11. Reporting

11.1 Copies of this report will be provided to the client and the Regional Historic Environment Record.



12. References

12.1 Map sources

Ordnance Survey, 1809, 2" to 1 mile Original Surveyors drawing, Tenby Sheet Ordnance Survey, 1873-75, 1:2500, 1st edition Ordnance Survey, 1908, 1:2500 2nd edition Burton parish tithe map and schedule, 1840

12.2 Web-based materials

English Heritage, 2011, The Setting of Heritage Assets

RCAHMW, Historic Wales Portal

12.3 Published sources

Cadw, 2011, Conservation Principles for the sustainable management of the historic environment of Wales,

Cadw & CCW, 2007, Guide to the Good Practice on using the Register of Landscapes of Historic Interest in Wales in the planning and development process.

Welsh Office, 1996, *Planning and the Historic Environment: Archaeology* Welsh Office Circular 60/96

12.4 Unpublished sources

Dyfed Archaeological Trust, Historic Environment Record

DAT HM, Undated, Generic Brief for the preparation of an historic environment appraisal

Trysor, 2013, Specification for an Historic Environment Appraisal at Nash Mountain, Trooper's Inn, Pembrokeshire

Jenny Hall & Paul Sambrook Trysor, September 2013



Appendix A: Nash Mountain Impacts



ID number: 1 LITTLE DUMPLEDALE MIDDEN?;BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This feature, which is probably not an antiquity,

is in a wooded area and its view toward the proposed turbine site is likely to be blocked by

trees.

ID number: 2 HARROLDSTONE STANDING STONE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None No archaeological feature has been recorded in

association with this field name.

ID number: 3 ROSEMARKET VICARAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The vicarage would not be intervisible with the

proposed development.

ID number: 4 LITTLE DUMPLEDALE CROPMARK

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The form and function of the cropmarks

recorded from an aerial photograph have never been defined and therefore no confirmation of archaeological interest exists at this site.

ID number: 5 HEARSON FARM DEFENDED ENCLOSURE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: Very Low The top of the turbine blades would be visible

from this site, but the houses of Hill Mountain and slightly higher ground intervene. There would be no effect on the setting of the

enclosure.

ID number: 6 BEACONING THE BEACON

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no archaeological features associated

with this place name.

ID number: 7 GREAT WESTFIELD EARTHWORK

Is there a Direct Impact: No



Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The existence of this site has not been

confirmed on the ground, so there can be no assessment of its importance. The area is not intervisible with the development site.

ID number: 8 HILL MOUNTAIN CROPMARK

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The form and function of the cropmarks

recorded from an aerial photograph have never been defined and therefore no confirmation of archaeological interest exists at this site.

ID number: 9 TALLYHO ROUND BARROW

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine would be visible from this

site, but only at a distance of 1.6km and without

any affect on the setting of the barrow.

ID number: 10 HANGING STONE CHAMBERED TOMB

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This monument seems unlikely to be

intervisible with the proposed turbine as higher

ground lies between the two sites.

ID number: 11 HEARSON MOUNTAIN DEFENDED ENCLOSURE

CAMP

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This enclosure has been almost wholly

destroyed by a housing estate being built over it. It would not be affected by the proposed wind

turbine.

ID number: 12 WRIGHTS WOOD QUARRY

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This quarry lies in mature woodland and would

not be intervisible with the proposed turbine

site.

ID number: 13 GREAT HILL:NASH BURNT MOUND



MOUNTAIN

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This site is hidden in woodland and would not

be intervisible with the proposed turbine.

ID number: 14 SARDIS SAND PIT

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The sand pit has long been infilled and

overploughed and no evidence now survives.

ID number: 15 ASHDALE BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This site is hidden in a wooded valley and

would not be intervisible with the proposed

development.

ID number: 16 EAST MOOR BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This burnt mound lies in a parcel of mature

woodland and the location is not intervisible

with the proposed turbine.

ID number: 17 EAST MOOR BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This burnt mound is thought to have been

submerged in a pond and the location is not intervisible with the proposed turbine

intervisible with the proposed turbine.

ID number: 18 TALLY-HO BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: Very Low It is likely that this site would be intervisible

with the proposed turbine but over 1.2km separates them and the view may be blocked by trees. There would be no impact on the setting

of the mound.

ID number: 19 TALLY-HO BURNT MOUND

Is there a Direct Impact: No



Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: Very Low It is likely that this site would be intervisible

with the proposed turbine but over 1.2km separates them and the view may be blocked by trees. There would be no impact on the setting

of the mound.

ID number: 20 GREAT NASH DOVECOTE DOVECOTE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None Farm buildings block the view southwards

towards the proposed turbine site. The development would have no impact on the

setting of this dovecote.

ID number: 21 LONG STONE STANDING STONE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The view towards the proposed turbine site

appears to be blocked by a hedgerow. The development would not affect the setting of this

stone.

ID number: 22 GREAT HILL UNKNOWN

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no known archaeological

connotations or features associated with this

place name.

ID number: 23 NASH MILL MILL

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The mill site appears to have been cleared and

the location is now hidden in woodland, blocking any views towards the proposed

turbine site.

ID number: 24 CHURCH MEADOW CHURCH LAND

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no archaeological features recorded in

association with this place name.

ID number: 25 SOUTHLAND FINDSPOT

Is there a Direct Impact: No



Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None A quernstone found here has been moved from

the site and there is no known remaining archaeological interest at the location.

ID number: 26 LAURAL HILL DAIRY FARMSTEAD

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This farm is unlikely to be intervisible with the

proposed turbine as higher ground lies between

the two locations.

ID number: 27 ROSEMARKET QUARRY

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This old quarry would not be intervisible with

the proposed turbine.

ID number: 28 ROSEMARKET QUARRY

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This old quarry would not be intervisible with

the proposed turbine.

ID number: 29 MILEPOST NEAR HILL MILE POST

MOUNTAIN

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This mile post would not be intervisible with the

proposed turbine and the development would

have no setting on the mile post.

ID number: 30 TROOPER'S INN MILE POST

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The mile post is at the base of a field boundary

bank facing away from the proposed turbine, which is 1.8km away and would not affect its

setting.

ID number: 31 CARTSHED AT GREAT CARTSHED

WESTFIELD

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No



Overall Impact: None This building would not be intervisible with the

proposed turbine as a ridge of higher ground

lies between the two locations.

ID number: 32 BROAD ROAD TRACKWAY

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This trackway is not intervisible with the

proposed turbine site as higher ground and mature trees block the view southwards.

ID number: 33 SPRINKLE LANE TRACKWAY

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This lane is not intervisible with the proposed

turbine site.

ID number: 34 LLANGWM WELL

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None Hidden in woodland and not intervisible with

the proposed turbine site.

ID number: 35 FOXHALL WELL

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This well site is hidden in woodland and would

not be intervisible with the proposed turbine.

ID number: 36 ASHDALE LODGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The lodge building shown on historic maps no

longer exists and a more modern building seems

to occupy the site.

ID number: 37 BROAD ROAD ROAD

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The Broad Road has been ploughed out in the

20th century and no longer exists.

ID number: 38 BADGER WOOD WELL



Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The proposed turbine will not directly affect this

well or impact on its setting.

ID number: 39 LLANGWM HILL COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This cottage is unlikely to be intervisible with

the proposed turbine as higher ground lies

between the two locations.

ID number: 40 DEERLAND FARM FARMSTEAD

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This farmstead is unlikely to be intervisible with

the proposed turbine as higher ground lies between the two locations and the farmstead is now enveloped in modern development.

ID number: 41 THE JAIL ENCLOSURE

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no archaeological features associated

with this placename.

ID number: 42 ASHDALE; DUMPLEDALE MANSION

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The aspect of the mansion does not face the

proposed turbine site and the house is

surrounded by trees and buildings which appear likely to block the view towards the turbine.

ID number: 43 ST MARY'S MISSION HALL MISSION HALL

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The view towards the proposed turbine site,

over 1km away, is blocked by a row of modern houses to the west of the mission hall, so the turbine is unlikely to be visible from this

location.

ID number: 44 HEARSON MOUNTAIN CHAPEL

Is there a Direct Impact: No



Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: Very Low It is possible that the turbine would be visible

from the chapel, although the view is largely blocked by houses in the village. The

development would not affect the setting of the

chapel.

ID number: 45 FOXHALL DWELLING

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This dwelling would not be intervisible with the

proposed turbine.

ID number: 46 SARDIS ENGLISH BAPTIST CHAPEL

CHAPEL

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine would be visible from the

rear of the chapel, c.500 metres to the

east-northeast. The turbine would not affect the

setting of he chapel, which itself faces

westwards.

ID number: 47 LLANGWM VOLUNTARY SCHOOL

CONTROL SCHOOL

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The school is surrounded by other modern

buildings and no view is possible towards the

proposed turbine site.

ID number: 48 GREAT NASH MANSION

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The mansion has long been ruined and the site

is now hidden in a stand of mature trees which would block the view southwards towards the

proposed turbine site.

ID number: 49 WESTFIELD MANSION

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This mansion would not be intervisible with the

proposed turbine as a ridge of higher ground

lies between the two sites.



ID number: 50 PITSTONE WELL WELL Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: No Overall Impact: None This site is hidden in woodland and higher ground lies between it and the proposed turbine site. ______ ID number: 51 **DEERLAND CEMETERY CEMETERY** LLANGWM Is there a Direct Impact: No Is there an Indirect Impact: No Overall Impact: None Higher ground to the southwest seems to be likely to block the view towards the proposed turbine site. ______ ID number: NASH MOUNTAIN FIELD SYSTEM Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes The turbine will be located in one of the fields but it will not any field boundaries Overall Impact: Very Low The turbine will be located in one of the fields but it will not any field boundaries ID number: NASH MOUNTAIN **FARMSTEAD** Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes The turbine will be located Overall Impact: Very Low



Appendix B: Written Descriptions Of Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites



ID number: 52 NASH MOUNTAIN FIELD SYSTEM

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9745608900 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

Period: Post Medieval

Form: Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Description: The field system at Nash Mountain has remained fairly constant since the tithe survey of

1840. A few of the smaller fields have been amalgamated to creat large parcels.

Rarity:

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of the farmstead at Nash Mountain

Evidential Value: Historic mapping, still in use

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 53 NASH MOUNTAIN FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9759108997 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

Period: Post Medieval

Form: Complex Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Description: On the 1809 Tenby sheet of Ordnance Survey Original Surveyors Drawing, two separate

buildings are shown where Nash Mountain currently stands. The access track follows a different line than today with the track running westwards before turning south to meet the main road. Neither building is named but on the evidence of the tithe map, see 6.3 below,

would seem to be two separate holdings

The 1819 1" to 1 mile scale Ordnance Survey map shows a similar layout, again with no

name to the holdings.

The tithe survey in 1840 gives the following field names for two small holdings called Great Hill, both noted as being owned by Mesdames Mary, Rebecca and Martha Phipps.

The first was occupied by John Allen and consisted of a homestead and six fields. The other was occupied by John James and consisted of a homestead and six fields again

The 1885 1:2500 Ordnance Survey map shows the northernmost of the two farmsteads as Great Hill, the southernmost not being named. By the time of the 2nd edition survey published in 1908, the southernmost holding had disappeared and the northe one was called Nash Mountain

It is difficult to identify the property now known as Nash Mountain in the earliest census returns that are available. It is evident that the property was known as Great Hill at the time of the Burton parish tithe survey of 1840. In 1841 there are two properties recorded in



the census of that name. One of these was occupied by the family of a Sawyer named David

Goodridge and the other by the family of a Labourer named Thomas Jones.

In 1851, both the Goodridge and Jones families are still recorded as living at Great Hill. However this census also records a third cottage known as Great Hill, which is home to the family of William and Ann Skone. It seems likely that this cottage is the forerunner of the present farmstead and certainly the Skones are direct ancestors of the present occupants. William Skone is recorded as being born at Lamphey, Pembrokeshire and he was a 42 year-old Tailor at the time of the 1851 census. His wife Ann, aged 44, was born in Burton Parish. Their seven children were also all born in Burton. Their names were James (16), Mary (14), Ann (12), Martha (9), William (7), Margaret (4) and John (1). The 1861 census shows the Skone family now recorded at Nash Mountain, which is almost certainly the same property as the Great Hill named in 1851. The Goodridge and Jones' families are still recorded as their nearest neighbours; the address of the former now given as "The Gutter" and that of the latter as "Nash Mountain." In 1861, William and Ann lived with five of their children; Mary (24), Ann (22), William (17), Margaret (14) and John (11). A grandson names James M. Skone, aged 4 months also lived with the family.

The 1871 census does not name Great Hill or Nash Mountain. A series of dwellings are merely listed under the description of "Cottage", including three in succession where the Jones, Skone and Goodridge families appear to again be neighbours. William and Ann Skone now live alone, William still working as a Tailor and employing an apprentice.

In 1881, the census again has the Goodridge and Jones families listed as neighbours of the Skones. The Jones family lived at Nash Mountain Cottage and the Goodridges at Addle Gutter Farm. This census also records two separate dwellings at Nash Mountain. A Mary Hodge, aged 80 and a widow, lived alone in one of these cottages. In the other are the Skone family. James Skone, aged 46 and a farmer of 40 acres, is now the head of the household. He was undoubtedly the eldest son of William and Ann Skone. He lives with his wife Elisabeth, aged 55, and four children. His eldest son, William, aged 19 was a Joiner. Priscilla was 16, Margaret 13 and James George was 7.

By 1891, James and Elizabeth Skone lived with three of their children, Priscilla, Margaret and James George (who worked as a Farm Assistant), as well as a Farm Servant named William Thomas, who came from Freystrop. The property is named as Nash Mountain, Sardis and seems to be the only property listed under the name of Nash Mountain.

A decade later, in 1901, it is George Skone, aged 27, who farmed at Nash Mountain. He is assisted by his sister Priscilla, and they employed three young people from Neyland on the

farm, namely William Davies (15) as a Teamster, William Gwilliam (14) as a Stockman and Mary Davies as a General Domestic Servant.

The most recent census returns available at present are those of 1911, when James George and Priscilla Skone still farmed Nash Mountain. They were assisted by their 19 year-old niece, Priscilla Davies, who came from Neyland, who was employed for General Service and Dairy Work. It is possible that she could have been related to the Davies's who worked on the farm at the time of the 1901 census. Also working on the farm at this point was 19 year-old John Jones of Herbrandston, employed as a Horseman.

Rarity: Common

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value:

Evidential Value: Historic mapping, still in use

Historical Value: None



Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important



Appendix C:

Site Appraisals Within Study Area

ID number: 1 LITTLE DUMPLEDALE MIDDEN?;BURNT MOUND

HER PRN: 3198 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM98090924 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Prehistoric?;Post Medieval? *Broadclass:* DOMESTIC;AGRICULTURE AND SUE

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare

Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Possibly one of a number of burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: Earthwork feature in field

Historical Value: Described by modern archaeological survey

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 2 HARROLDSTONE STANDING STONE

HER PRN: 2345 NMR NPRN: NGR: SM961090 Unknown

Period: Bronze Age *Broadclass:* Religious Ritual and Funerary

Form: Place-name Condition: Unknown

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Placename only

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 3 ROSEMARKET VICARAGE

HER PRN: 15166 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM95760863 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Domestic
 Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of historic settlement of Rosemarket

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 4 LITTLE DUMPLEDALE CROPMARK

HER PRN: 12786 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM98250844 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Unknown **Broadclass:** Monument <By Form>

Form: Cropmark Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Unknown
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Cropmark visible on aerial photograph

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number:5HEARSON FARMDEFENDEDENCLOSURE

HER PRN: 12785 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM978082 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period:Unknown:Iron Age?Broadclass:Defence; DomesticForm:CropmarkCondition:Near destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare in the region

Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Another iron age enclosure lies 280 metres to the west

Evidential Value: Cropmark site visible from the air

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological reports

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 6 BEACONING THE BEACON

HER PRN: 10484 *NMR NPRN: NGR:* SM97110827 Unknown

Period: Post Medieval; Medieval Broadclass: Communication

Form: Place-name Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Place name only

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 7 GREAT WESTFIELD EARTHWORK

HER PRN: 8408 *NMR NPRN: NGR:* SM963087 Unknown

Period:UnknownBroadclass:UnassignedForm:EarthworkCondition:Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Unknown
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Earthwork visible on 1950s aerial photograph

Historical Value:NoneAesthetic Value:NoneCommunal Value:None

Significance: Unknown

ID number: 8 HILL MOUNTAIN CROPMARK

HER PRN: 8389 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM982082 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Unknown **Broadclass:** Monument <By Form>

Form: Cropmark Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Unknown
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Cropmark seen on aerial photographs

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 9 TALLYHO ROUND BARROW

HER PRN: 3206 *NMR NPRN:*

NGR: SM9634709990 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Bronze Age Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: Scheduled Ancient SAM number: PE526 LB number: grade:

Monument

Rarity: Not rare

Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Denuded earthwork still visible in field Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 10 HANGING STONE CHAMBERED TOMB

HER PRN: 3205 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM97220822 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Neolithic **Broadclass:** Religious Ritual and Funerary

Form: Other Structure Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: Scheduled Ancient SAM number: PE066 LB number: grade:

Monument

Rarity: Not common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing structure

Historical Value: Mentioned in historical sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 11 HEARSON MOUNTAIN CAMP DEFENDED ENCLOSURE

HER PRN: 3202 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM97570837 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period:Iron AgeBroadclass:Domestic; DefenceForm:DocumentsCondition:Near Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare in the region

Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value:

Evidential Value: Documents, partly excavated in 1990s

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological reports

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 12 WRIGHTS WOOD QUARRY

HER PRN: 15225 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM9932608641 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Industrial
 Form: Earthwork Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping, site now hidden in woodland

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 13 GREAT HILL; NASH MOUNTAIN BURNT MOUND

HER PRN: 3199 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM97660907 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Prehistoric **Broadclass:** Domestic; Monument <By Form>

Form: Earthwork Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: One of several burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: Earthwork site recorded in 1995

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological reports

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 14 SARDIS SAND PIT

HER PRN: 15226 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM9745508536 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Industrial

Form: Earthwork Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 15 ASHDALE BURNT MOUND

HER PRN: 3197 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM98530864 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Bronze Age **Broadclass:** DOMESTIC;MONUMENT <BY FORM:

Form: Earthwork Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: One of several burnt mounds in the district

Evidential Value: Earthwork feature

Historical Value: Described by modern archaeological survey

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 16 EAST MOOR BURNT MOUND

HER PRN: 3190 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM95680971 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Prehistoric **Broadclass:** Domestic; Monument <By Form>

Form: Earthwork Condition: Near Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Another burnt mound lies about 170 metres to the northeast

Evidential Value: An earthwork mound was recorded here in 1995

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological records

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 17 EAST MOOR BURNT MOUND

HER PRN: 3189 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM95830980 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Prehistoric **Broadclass:** Domestic; Monument <By Form>

Form: Documents Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Another burnt mound lies about 170 metres to the southwest

Evidential Value: Documents only

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological records

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 18 TALLY-HO BURNT MOUND

HER PRN: 3188 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM96660970 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Prehistoric **Broadclass:** Domestic; Monument <By Form>

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Another burnt mound lies about 30 metres to the south

Evidential Value: An earthwork mound was recorded here in 1995

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological records

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 19 TALLY-HO BURNT MOUND

HER PRN: 3187 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM96670967 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Prehistoric **Broadclass:** Domestic; Monument <By Form>

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Another burnt mound lies about 30 metres to the north

Evidential Value: An earthwork mound was recorded here in 1995

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological records

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important

ID number: 20 GREAT NASH DOVECOTE DOVECOTE

HER PRN: 2376 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM976101 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

Form: Building Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 82673 grade: II

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of the historic farmstead at Great Nash

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: Mentioned in historical sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 21 LONG STONE STANDING STONE

HER PRN: 2352 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM9842310009 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Bronze Age Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

Form: Other Structure Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Stone appears to remain intact and in situ

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 22 GREAT HILL UNKNOWN

HER PRN: 2349 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM975090 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Unknown Broadclass: Unassigned

Form: Place-name Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Place name only

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

ID number: 23 NASH MILL MILL

HER PRN: 2348 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM975094 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Industrial
 Form: Building Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping, mill now ruined

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 24 CHURCH MEADOW CHURCH LAND

HER PRN: 2346 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM963081 Grid reference taken at centre of site **Period:** Post Medieval; Medieval Broadclass: Unassigned

Form: Place-name Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Place name only

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

ID number: 25 SOUTHLAND FINDSPOT

HER PRN: 3200 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM97390955 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Roman Broadclass: Object
 Form: Finds Condition: Unknown

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Documentary

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 26 LAURAL HILL DAIRY FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: 45496 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9811010590 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

Form: Unknown Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing buildings

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 27 ROSEMARKET QUARRY

HER PRN: 103308 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM95630847 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Industrial

Form: Earthwork Condition: Not known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Minor Importance

ID number: 28 ROSEMARKET QUARRY

HER PRN: 103307 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM95740850 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Industrial

Form: Earthwork Condition: Not known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

ID number: 29 MILEPOST NEAR HILL MILE POST

MOUNTAIN

HER PRN: 60490 *NMR NPRN:*

NGR: SM9837607961 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Transport

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 82713 grade: II

Rarity: Not rare

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: One of a series of mile posts along this road

Evidential Value: Still in situ

Historical Value: None

Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Mile post on a public road Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 30 TROOPER'S INN MILE POST

HER PRN: 60473 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9625310095 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Transport
 Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 82674 grade: II

Rarity: Not rare

Reference: Cadw listing description;

Documentation:

Group Value: One of a series of cast-iron mile posts along the road

Evidential Value: Still in site
Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Mile post on public road
Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 31 CARTSHED AT GREAT CARTSHED WESTFIELD

HER PRN: 60453 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM9653308172 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 82522 grade: II

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of historic farmstead

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 32 BROAD ROAD TRACKWAY

HER PRN: 47144 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM97691034

Period:Post MedievalBroadclass:TransportForm:DocumentsCondition:Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Still in use as a trackway

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 33 SPRINKLE LANE TRACKWAY

HER PRN: 47142 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM98941017 Grid reference taken at point along linear site

Period:Post MedievalBroadclass:TransportForm:Other StructureCondition:Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Lane still in use

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Public right of way
Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 34 LLANGWM WELL

HER PRN: 47130 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM9905509729 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Water Supply and Drainage

Form: Documents Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 35 FOXHALL WELL

HER PRN: 47128 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9872808505 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Water Supply and Drainage

Form: Documents Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 36 ASHDALE LODGE

HER PRN: 15201 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM98380838 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Domestic
 Form: Building Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of the former Ashdale estate

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 37 BROAD ROAD ROAD

HER PRN: 45499 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM9816010240 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Transport

Form: Unknown Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping only

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 38 BADGER WOOD WELL

HER PRN: 103315 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM98070928 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Water Supply and Drainage

Form: Other Structure Condition: Not known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 39 LLANGWM HILL COTTAGE

HER PRN: 45495 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9821010660 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Domestic
 Form: Unknown Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 40 DEERLAND FARM FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: 45494 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9852010380 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

Form: Building Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing buildings

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

ID number: 41 THE JAIL ENCLOSURE

HER PRN: 43488 *NMR NPRN*:

NGR: SM9885010010 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval; Medieval Broadclass: Unassigned

Form: Place-name Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Placename only

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 42 ASHDALE; DUMPLEDALE MANSION

HER PRN: 20963 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM9852209055 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Domestic
 Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 82714 grade: II

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Mansion in a historic settlement group including a home farm

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: Mentioned in historic sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 43 ST MARY'S MISSION HALL MISSION HALL

HER PRN: 17843 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM98420814 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Associated with Burton parish church for which it served as a mission hall

Evidential Value: Standing building, still in use

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Used as a church hall and for public activities

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 44 HEARSON MOUNTAIN CHAPEL

HER PRN: 17842 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM97650834 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of Hearson Mountain village

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Place of Worship
Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 45 FOXHALL DWELLING

HER PRN: 17841 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM9872008430 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Domestic
 Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 46 SARDIS ENGLISH BAPTIST CHAPEL

CHAPEL

HER PRN: 17838 **NMR NPRN:** 10958

NGR: SM97100879

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of Sardis village
Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Place of Worship
Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 47 LLANGWM VOLUNTARY SCHOOL CONTROL SCHOOL

HER PRN: 17833 *NMR NPRN:*

NGR: SM98920975 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Education
 Form: Building Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Important building in the village environment

Evidential Value: Standing building, in use

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Village school

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 48 GREAT NASH MANSION

HER PRN: 17293 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SM9758910190 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: DOMESTIC

Form: Documents Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of historic farmstead at Great Nash

Evidential Value: Ruined building, now overgrown

Historical Value: Mentioned in historic sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

ID number: 49 WESTFIELD MANSION

HER PRN: 17290 **NMR NPRN:** 96017

NGR: SM9656908161 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Domestic
 Form: Documents Condition: Intact

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 82523 grade: II

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of a historic settlement complex

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: Mentioned in Francis Jones "Historic Houses of Pembrokeshire"

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 50 PITSTONE WELL WELL

HER PRN: 15228 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SM9746810643 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Water Supply and Drainage

Form: Other Structure Condition: Not Known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Documentary

Historical Value: NoneAesthetic Value: NoneCommunal Value: None

ID number: 51 DEERLAND CEMETERY CEMETERY LLANGWM

HER PRN: 46426 *NMR NPRN:*

NGR: SM9856410254 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Modern Broadclass: Religious Ritual and Funerary

Form: Other Structure Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None
Evidential Value: In use
Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Burial ground

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 52 NASH MOUNTAIN FIELD SYSTEM

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9745608900 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

Form: Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Rarity:
Reference:
Documentation:

Group Value: Part of the farmstead at Nash Mountain

Evidential Value: Historic mapping, still in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 53 NASH MOUNTAIN FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SM9759108997 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: Agriculture and Subsistence

Form: Complex Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Rarity: Common

Reference:

Documentation:
Group Value:

Evidential Value: Historic mapping, still in use

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None