

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SH 57 500	GRID REF: SH5410 7390 .	
PRN:4388 SITE NAME: Cercula Enclosure - partichiments.		
AIR PHOTO No	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 4	
No GROUND PHOTOS: &.	Ridge one Vale.	
DATE SURVEYED: 21/12/93.	SURVEYED BY Monh fleorde.	
ACCESS: Costelliàr Em.	Pont linear feature monitoring	
For also vied for lunear	montovery.	
CONSENT FOR RELEASE? YES	NO a	

 \mathbf{B}

SITE: The majorely of the area is improved growlond.

The only of the type of lond cover is reach outcops. One
in the centre planted will trees the other in the.

NOTE Corner.

HALO:

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

PRN: 4388

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Craying of the uproved grandond and NE outcops. The central outcrap has been feered aff - restricting the occess of animals

HALO:

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT There are no abrious ugns of the arcula enclosere The field does indulate quite corridorably oround the cantre of the field, in a circular pelter. This however could be a natural occoronce. If the enclosere is a povolment. the only rigo a remi cercular over in the middle along the north beandary- again the could be from nateral cercintare

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

Deep ploughing Some poorling at present - especially orand begula. The tree plontation - the centre of the rule may create problems as it materes

YNYS MÔN ESA. HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

TABLE 1
YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1993

PRN:	4388
------	------

AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	[]	■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	1.1	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	loss of vegetation cover/soil
		Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	damage, leading to erosion
	₩ FX	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle,	as above, possible damage to
	W	horses, sheep	upstanding feature
HUMAN	[]	■ Demolition or removal of monument	active removal of artefacts/monument
	m	■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
		■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
	1 1	Training/poaching to reason	damage leading to erosion
	11	■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
		■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	П	Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
	П	■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
		Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source,
			damage to artefacts and
			alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	П	■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Tree Windthrow	potential massive
			damage/disturbance
		Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse,	■ root or rhizome
		rhodedendron, thorn	damage/disturbance (bracken
			least significant)
WEATHER/SITE		■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	greater erosion risk than level,
FACTORS	П	■ High Gradient	non exposed site



ree plontation + Roch autorp. !!!

Rock outerep. !!!

Improved postere: !!!

YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: 50/SH/SN (7	S. W. GRID REF: SQISH/SN 5-410 7390
PRN: 4388 SITE NAME: C1 K	CULAR ENCLOSURE, PATCHMAR OF CASTELLIOR
AIR PHOTO No:	
No GROUND PHOTOS: 8	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 4 RIDGE & VALE
DATE RESURVEYED: ブタルログスソ 1997	RESURVEYED BY: WYW DAVIES
F CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROF	G HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, PRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.
A:CHANGES TO ACCESS? B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER	NO / YES
CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT	NO / YES
CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENII	OF MONUMENT NO / WES
CHANGE IN RATE OF DEGAY	NO YES
: ACCESS	
	The state of the s
ANDCOVER	
THE OUT IN	
	1

YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SH 575 \(\infty \)	GRID REF: SH 5410 7390	
PRN: 4388 SITE NAME:	riular Enclosure - patchwork Swork Castelliar	
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 4	
No. GROUND PHOTOS:	Ridge a Vale	
DATE RESURVEYED: 77 - 6-00	RESURVEYED BY: Some of all	
IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.		
A: CHANGES TO ACCESS	NO YES	
B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER	NO YES	
C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT	NO YES	
D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION C	OF MONUMENT NO YES	
E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENIN	NG FACTORS NO YES	
F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY	NO YES	
A: ACCESS		

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table at back of proforma)			
			3
			,
			,
		17.	
F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO
			I .

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N Y N Y N Y N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Loss of vegetation cover/soil Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N	Demolition or removal of monument Rubbish dumping Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building Shallow cultivation/reseeds Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting Scrub burning	Active removal of artefacts/monument Infilling/contamination Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion As above Damage/disturbance of artefacts As above As above Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y N Y N Y N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns) Tree windthrow Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Damage/disturbance of artefacts Potential massive damage/disturbance Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y N Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure High gradient	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site