YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SH 4850	GRID REF: SH 4330 8283 ,
PRN:3585 SITE NAME: Cercular	Crep mark - cost of Ty Hen Newydd
AIR PHOTO No No GROUND PHOTOS: 4	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 3 Central Lowlands.
DATE SURVEYED: 20/12/93	SURVEYED BY: Mark Alexander
ACCESS: Sy hen newydd	Fun,
CONSENT FOR RELEASE? YES	NO Z

В

LAND COVER:

SITE: Bordering two improved Jules the onea, on ald trech is semi improved - with gorse encroaching.

HALO: Improved portune.

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

PRN: 3585

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Graying

HALO: C

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

The circular crep month was not evident - yet the it was possible to iclantify its probable tocateon. The nte is in a decrepsed state. The growth of genre and subsequent efforts to remove it, the building of a gate in the bench one the incontained movement of wheep has left large one of of the site bone and good to crossion.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

The galaway is covering the all area to be heavely possed.

Stock monoment one the bonk are couring evenion the removal of gorse - has left bore areas.

YNYS MÔN ESA. HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN: 3585




TABLE 1

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1993

PRN: 35%5

AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS .	[]	■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
per i		Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
	W.	■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	damage, leading to erosion
	H	■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN	M	■ Demolition or removal of monument	active removal of artefacts/monument
		■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
	[]	■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
	[]	■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
	[]	■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
	0	■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
		■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source,
			damage to artefacts and
			alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	[7]	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	damage/disturbance of artefacts
	П	■ Tree Windthrow	potential massive
			damage/disturbance
	W	■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse,	root or rhizome
	× 1	rhodedendron, thorn	damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE		■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	greater erosion risk than level,
FACTORS		■ High Gradient	non exposed site



ingroved fields !!! Screek-gove : !!!

YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

4

gran consideration we designed at 1 across decided separate. An across decided to the control of			
OS 1:10,000 SHEET: 30/SH/3N 49	S CC. GRID REF: SQ/SH/SN 4330 8283		
LIKIN. 2007 SITE MAINE.	RCULAR CROPMARK, E. OF TY NEWYOO		
AIR PHOTO No:			
No GROUND PHOTOS: ←	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 3 CENTRAL LOWLANDS		
DATE RESURVEYED: FEBRUARY 1997	RESURVEYED BY: WYN DA V2ES		
	NG HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE,		

A:CHANGES TO ACCESS? **B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER** C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS FISHANSE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO	YES /
NO /	YES
NO J	YES
NO /	YES
NO /	YES
С И	YES

A: ACCESS	onne	S	Jy	Hen	Ningdh	Jam	- re:	
m	Evans.		v					
AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER					·			

B:LANDCOVER	
See Transport	
THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS	
-	
-	,

N. C

YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SH 485W	GRID REF: SH 4330 8283
PRN: 3585 SITE NAME:	Circular cropmark-east
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 3
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 4	Central lowlands
DATE RESURVEYED: 21-6-00	RESURVEYED BY: W. Somerfold

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS

B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO V	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO	YES \
NO 🗸	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO	YES

A: ACCESS	Has not	Iranged	since 9	7,
	However,	Furmers	rame is	7. Mr. Jones,

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table at back of proforma)			
			,
	CTABLE	SLOW	DARID
F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO

AGENT	T PRESENT		ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS				
	Y	N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN				
	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Y	N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE				
FACTORS	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y	N	High gradient	