

COASTAL HEATH

ROCK OUTEROP.

AGROSTIS/FESCUE/NARDUS-

BASELINE 1993

 \mathbf{A}

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SH 49 SE	GRID REF: SH 4656 9329.			
PRN: 3567 SITE NAME: Fjynnon Eilian, holy well, Hanelian				
AIR PHOTO No 173 No GROUND PHOTOS. 4	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Coostal Shelf.			
DATE SURVEYED: 14/12/93 SURVEYED BY: MARIC MEXANDOR.				
ACCESS: He Engr Covers Beloven Farm Amlerd.				
Use coostal path.				
CONSENT FOR RELEASE? YES NO				

B

LAND COVER:

SITE: Coostal healt and Soncus - roch outcrep.

HALO: Coastal heath - Erica, Callona, Agrostias Jescue, gove, Dordos

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

PRN: 3567

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Possibly grayed by sheep - alterwise nene.

HALO: As alone - also the over the coostal fringe where there is a foolpalt - which is well wed one arenaged.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT The site is a spring from a red face - revocated by a recongular well - designed to hold the verte. The weel devided into two helves, has colleged on the one side felling the insede out rochs while the other rede is is reasonable come team. The young has now moned down hill to a opening some to feet neare the near although the site is still well

E


POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1) The exposed fore of the Julla down well is being eraded owny grickly from the row wind ominal and plant activity Screb - is growing in the rule of the good well dishooping some of the stones Desire lines ren clere to the site - well the covortal parts

CONTINUED? YES \(\text{NO} \)

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING


BASELINE 1993

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN: 3567



PRN: 3567

AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS .		■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
		Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
		Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	damage, leading to erosion
	П	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN		■ Demolition or removal of monument	active removal of artefacts/monument
		■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
	₽ P	■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
		■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	as above
		■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
		■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
	. [1	Scrub Burning in Bonfires	intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	П	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	damage/disturbance of artefacts
	0	■ Tree Windthrow	potential massive damage/disturbance
	W	Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	■ root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken
		The section of the first of the	least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	N	■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	greater erosion risk than level,
FACTORS		■ High Gradient	non exposed site









YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

А

A: ACCESS

S.E. GRID REF: 30/SH/3N 4656 9329
NON ETCIAN, HORY WELL,
LANDSCAPE TYPE: 9 COASTAL SMELF
RESURVEYED BY: WYW DAULES

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A:CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO /	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO /	YES
NO /	YES
NO /	YES
140	YES

B:LANDCOVER		

YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SH 49 55	GRID REF: SH 4656 9329
PRN: 3567 SITE NAME: FL	Imon Eilan, holy well, Marelini
AIR PHOTO No: 173	LANDSCAPE TYPE:
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 4+2	Coastal shelf
DATE RESURVEYED: 22 -6-00	RESURVEYED BY: W. Somerfeld

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

|--|

B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO 🗸	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO V	YES
NO	YES 🗸
NO 🗸	YES
NO /	YES

A: ACCESS			
	 1 ***		

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see	table at back of pro	forma)	
D. DATE OF DECAY.	CTADI E	SLOW	RAPID
F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	KALID
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

AGENT	PRESI	ENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS				
	Y	N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN				
	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in
				erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	$\bar{\mathbf{Y}}$	N	Scrub burning	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and
	1	* 1	Sv. wo outsing	alteration of soil profile
VE CEMATIVON	+			
VEGETATION	3.7	X.T		B (1) 1 1 C (1)
	Y	N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
	1		, 8 ,,	(bracken least significant)
		8		(Station least significant)
WEATHER/SITE				
FACTORS	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y	N	High gradient	