




|||| - Mature Gorse stands.

Remainder - mixture of gorse heath and molinia

2

A

Common catn

B

LAND COVER:

SITE: Coastal heath - gorse - Erica, calluna and
molinia

Scrub - mainly gorse .

HALO:

Very similar .

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

PRN: 2547

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Little if any management of the area. With public access the area is used mainly for tourism although there were signs of horses.

HALO:

As above.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Became of the dense vegetation - growing to heights of above 12" the hut circles were not obvious from the ground - their position from the aerial photographs was not clear either. It is possible that the area believed to contain the hut circles may be wrong, because of the similarity of the area.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

Erosion of the area from tourism is a problem, especially on the steeper slopes - which are open to the wind and rain. The light soil is easily removed once the veg. cover is disturbed. Animals (rabbits) are also creating areas of bare earth - esp. around their burrows or gorse banks.

CONTINUED? YES ☐ NO ☒

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS **PAGE ! OF !** **PRN: 2547**





TABLE 1

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1993

PRN: 2547

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	☒ ☐ ☐ ☒	☒ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits ☒ Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep ☒ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep ☒ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	☒ damage/disturbance to underground artefacts ☒ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage, leading to erosion ☒ as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN	☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	☒ Demolition or removal of monument ☒ Rubbish Dumping ☒ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines ☐ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc ☐ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building ☐ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds ☐ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting ☐ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	☒ active removal of artefacts/monument ☒ infilling/contamination ☒ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion ☒ as above ☒ damage/disturbance of artefacts ☒ as above ☒ as above ☒ intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	☐ ☐ ☒	☒ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns) ☒ Tree Windthrow ☒ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	☒ damage/disturbance of artefacts ☒ potential massive damage/disturbance ☒ root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	☒ ☐	☒ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure ☒ High Gradient	☒ greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site



|||| - Native Gorse stands.

Remainder - mixture of gorse heaths and molinia

YINYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SQ/SH/SN 68 S. 6.1		GRID REF: SQ/SH/SN 602 816 A
PRN: 2547	SITE NAME: HUT GROUP. REMAINS OF FEDU FAWR, CLANGGED.	
AIR PHOTO No: 29, 30		
No GROUND PHOTOS: 4	LANDSCAPE TYPE: } ROCKY HILLS & ROUGHLAND	
DATE RESURVEYED: FEBRUARY 1997	RESURVEYED BY: LYN DAVIES	

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS?	NO	YES
B: CHANGES TO LANDCOVER	NO	YES
C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT	NO	YES
D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT	NO	YES
E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS	NO	YES
F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY	NO	YES

A: ACCESS

B: LANDCOVER

YINYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SQ/SH/SN 68 S. 6.1		GRID REF: SQ/SH/SN 602 816 A
PRN: 2547	SITE NAME: HUT GROUP. REMAINS OF FEDU FAWR, CLANGGED.	
AIR PHOTO No: 29, 30		
No GROUND PHOTOS: 4	LANDSCAPE TYPE: } ROCKY HILLS & ROUGHLAND	
DATE RESURVEYED: FEBRUARY 1997	RESURVEYED BY: LYN DAVIES	

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS?	NO	YES
B: CHANGES TO LANDCOVER	NO	YES
C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT	NO	YES
D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT	NO	YES
E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS	NO	YES
F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY	NO	YES

A: ACCESS

B: LANDCOVER

YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SH 68 SW	GRID REF: SH 602- 816 A
PRN: 2547	SITE NAME: Hsr Group. Remains of Fedw Fawr Hanged.
AIR PHOTO No: 29,30	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 1
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 4	
DATE RESURVEYED: 15-6-2000	RESURVEYED BY: W. Somerfield

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS

B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO ✓	YES
NO ✓	YES
NO ✓	YES
NO ✓	YES
NO ✓	YES
NO	YES

A: ACCESS

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table at back of proforma)

F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW ✓	RAPID
--------------------------	--------	--------	-------

CONTINUED?	YES	NO
------------	-----	----

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT		SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y	N	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Loss of vegetation cover/soil Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
HUMAN	Y	N	Active removal of artefacts/monument Infilling/contamination Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion As above Damage/disturbance of artefacts As above As above Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
VEGETATION	Y	N	Damage/disturbance of artefacts Potential massive damage/disturbance Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
	Y	N	
	Y	N	
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y	N	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y	N	