

Improved Pastine.

III Gore.

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SH 28 SE	GRID REF: SH 2596 8004			
PRN: 2504 SITE NAME: Treardow berial drember:				
AIR PHOTO No	LANDSCAPE TYPE: (O			
No GROUND PHOTOS: 6	Holy Island.			
DATE SURVEYED: 8 /12/93.	SURVEYED BY: Manh She ande.			
ACCESS: 9R: 261 804 for the owner. form on the left coming from Holyheard - longe form.				
CONSENT FOR RELEASE? YES \(\Bar{\sqrt{1}}\) NO \(\Bar{\sqrt{2}}\)				

B

LAND COVER:

SITE: The wayordy is improved grandard - only the steeper banks on the edge of the rite home gave and rerule.

HALO:

Heority improved grandoned

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

PRN: 2504

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Grazing - there were no animals of the site, at the time of survey - The site over seems to be a place for feeding.

HALO:

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

A stonding stone is returated in the centre of a large platform over . Surrounding the stonding stone, which has deteriorated considerably are several large bouldes which are now berned in the ground.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

The area seems to be used for feeding, and although not coursing a major problem at present it could create longe seale possible ord increased erossen in the factore.

The standing stone - is greatly reduced in size, would cont.

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN: 2504

from weathering but also from stock menements. The nte is also in line with ominal and, mochinary monaments on one out of the site. FIELD DIAGRAM. X - ROCKS OR POS. PART OF THE BURIAL CHAMBER. N STEEP SLOPE SHALLOW SLOPE DESIRE LINE . STANDING FEEDING TROUGH. GORSE STEEP SLOPE

TABLE 1

YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1993


PRN: 2504

non exposed site

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS **AGENT** PRESENT? **ACTION SIGNIFICANCE ANIMALS** Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep ■ loss of vegetation cover/soil ■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep damage, leading to erosion 1 ■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, as above, possible damage to horses, sheep upstanding feature HUMAN ■ Demolition or removal of monument active removal of artefacts/monument ■ Rubbish Dumping ■ infilling/contamination ■ loss of vegetation cover/soil ■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines П damage leading to erosion ■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc as above ■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building damage/disturbance of artefacts 0 ■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds as above ■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting as above ■ intense heat at point source, ■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile **VEGETATION** ■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where damage/disturbance of artefacts deep rooted (heavy crowns) ■ Tree Windthrow potential massive damage/disturbance 7 Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, root or rhizome rhodedendron, thorn damage/disturbance (bracken least significant) WEATHER/SITE ■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure greater erosion risk than level,

High Gradient

FACTORS





III Improved Pasture.



III Gore.

YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

Α

A: ACCESS

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: 30/SH/SA 2 8	S.E. GRID REF: 30/SH/SN 2596 8004		
PRN: 2504 SITE NAME: TREA	ARDOUR BURIAL CHAMBER		
AIR PHOTO No: 203			
No GROUND PHOTOS:	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 10 HOLY 15LAND		
DATE RESURVEYED: FEBRUARY 1997	RESURVEYED BY: LIYN DAVIES		

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A:CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO /	YES
NO /	YES
NO -	YES

B:LANDCOVER					

YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SH 28 SE	GRID REF: SH 2596 8004
PRN: 2504 SITE NAME:	Treardur bonal chamber
AIR PHOTO No: 203	LANDSCAPE TYPE: \C
No. GROUND PHOTOS:	Holy Island
DATE RESURVEYED:	RESURVEYED BY: O Sometiels

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

- A: CHANGES TO ACCESS
- **B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER**
- C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT
- D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT
- E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS
- F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO	YES 🗸
NO 🗸	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO	YES
NO	YES

A: ACCESS	1A (0F	Incorrect - not	Jam	Q GR 261	804
	The own	ers of said	John	thought	
	the lan	d could be	oure d	by	
	the Also	minion Co.			

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table at back of proforma)			
			u.
F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE	
ANIMALS Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N		Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Loss of vegetation cover/soil Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion As above, plus possible damage to upstanding feature	
HUMAN	Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N	Demolition or removal of monument Rubbish dumping Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building Shallow cultivation/reseeds Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting Scrub burning	Active removal of artefacts/monument Infilling/contamination Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion As above Damage/disturbance of artefacts As above As above Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile	
VEGETATION	Y N Y N Y N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns) Tree windthrow Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Damage/disturbance of artefacts Potential massive damage/disturbance Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)	
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y N Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure High gradient	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site	