# YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

# **BASELINE 1993**

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SH 47 Sco	GRID REF: SH 4402 1051.			
PRN: 2159 SITE NAME: Mouro	, Crug Las .			
AIR PHOTO No	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 5			
No GROUND PHOTOS: 3	Marsh and Wettand.			
DATE SURVEYED: 17/12/93	SURVEYED BY:			
ACCESS: BRYN RHODYN FARM. NR. LLANGIAFFÓ				
CONSENT FOR RELEASE? YES NO				
В				
LAND COVER:				
SITE: Improved postere.  HALO:				

14

### YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

**BASELINE 1993** 

PRN: 2159

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Intensive grazing - Mostly cattle.

HALO:

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Consisting of two raised overis both recognishing circular the longer one ntuated in the southern one of the rite is disected by a longe and fairly material crain. The other, the smaller of the two rises off to the north.

Both here been ploughed and reseaded - so are rounded and undertenat in compension to the londrego. The SE becomedary to the rite is formed from the corose of the odd vriver, this now ploods easily forming Continued on the odd vriver.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)


The ploughing of the field to create good postere, together with the movements of stock, porticularly cattle-will cause some problems. although they ove not evident been at present.

The drain may represent a treat catthough feinly watere, with a good regetation cover - animal mature, with a good regetation cover - animal mature.


# YNYS MÔN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

#### **BASELINE 1993**

# SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE ...... OF ...... PRN: 2159



#### POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS **SIGNIFICANCE ACTION** PRESENT? AGENT damage/disturbance to ■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits **ANIMALS** underground artefacts loss of vegetation cover/soil Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep damage, leading to erosion ■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep as above, possible damage to ■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, upstanding feature horses, sheep active removal of ■ Demolition or removal of monument HUMAN artefacts/monument ■ infilling/contamination ■ Rubbish Dumping loss of vegetation cover/soil ■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines damage leading to erosion as above ■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc ■ damage/disturbance of artefacts ■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building as above ■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds as above ■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting ■ intense heat at point source, ■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires П damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile damage/disturbance of artefacts ■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where **VEGETATION** deep rooted (heavy crowns) potential massive ■ Tree Windthrow damage/disturbance root or rhizome ■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, damage/disturbance (bracken rhodedendron, thorn least significant) greater erosion risk than level, ■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure WEATHER/SITE non exposed site High Gradient **FACTORS**



# YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

### RESURVEY 1996

A: ACCESS

A					
OS 1:10,000 SHEET: 30/SH/3N 47	Şω.	GRID REF: SO/SH/SN 4402 7051			
PRN: 2159 SITE NAME: MOUND. CRUG LAS.					
AIR PHOTO No: (O					
No GROUND PHOTOS: 3 + 2 = 5 (1993) (1997)	LANDSCAPE TYPE: S MARSH & WEILAND				
DATE RESURVEYED: JANUARY 1997	RESURVE	YED BY: WYN DAVLES			
IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWIN DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPRO	G HAVE OC PRIATE SE	CURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, CTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.			
A:CHANGES TO ACCESS? B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT		NO / YES			

ſ
l l
ŀ
L.

NO /	YES
NO /	YES
NO	YES

B:LANDCOVER		
		o de

### YNYS MON ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

os 1:10,000 sheet: sh 475w	GRID REF: SH 4402 7051		
PRN: 2159 SITENAME: Moone, Cryg Lus			
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE:		
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 3 +2.	Marsh & Welland		
DATE RESURVEYED: 21-6-00	RESURVEYED BY: W. Sovesfield		

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS

**B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER** 

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO 🗸	YES
NO V	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO /	YES
NO	YES 🗸
NO V	YES

A: ACCESS		

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see	table at back of pro	forma)	
	CMARY F	or ovv	D A DIE
F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS			
	Y N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y N	Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN			
	Y N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y N	Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y N	Scrub burning	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION			
	Y N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y N	Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	V N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	Y N Y N	High gradient	Greater crosion risk than level, non exposed site