



Improved granoland

broadleaned wordland line of feature on ground

5278





RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

 \mathbf{A}

OS 1:10000 SHEET: ST /SO DE NE	GRID REF: \$2/50 0532 6647
PRN:5278 SITE NAME: Soul	osur
AIR PHOTO No 78 048 620 No GROUND PHOTOS: 4	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Lowland Vaileys
DATE SURVEYED: 24.02.94	SURVEYED BY:
ACCESS: MR EVANS HIRFRON 6Nt lives e	at Blaensandole

B

LAND COVER:		
SITE: (Jan oved Granton	and	
SITE: Improved Grandon		
HALO:		

DA	CITI	INE	1002
DA	20		1773

PRN:

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Gran land for Grazing

HALO:

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Monument forms civailed enclosure In lower port of narrow field field grassy with occasional rustes line of enclosing combe identified on ground,

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

Withe threat no public acress Culmation Unthery.

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS	PAGE OF PRN:

TABLE 1

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1993

PRN:

AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS		■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
		Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
hora		■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	damage, leading to erosion
,00		 Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep 	as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN		■ Demolition or removal of monument	active removal of artefacts/monument
		■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
Unlikely		■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
Maria.		■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
		■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
		■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
		■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION		■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
nove.		■ Tree Windthrow	potential massive damage/disturbance
y w		Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	■ root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE		■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	greater erosion risk than level,
FACTORS		■ High Gradient	non exposed site

5278 Radnor ESA SO0532 6647 238m 22419 m line of features on ground.

RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

Α

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN 06 N	GRID REF: SO/SH/SN 0532 6647
PRN: 5278 SITE NAME: EN	closine
AIR PHOTO No: 78 048 620	
No GROUND PHOTOS: 4	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Lowland Valleys
DATE RESURVEYED:	RESURVEYED BY:

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A:CHANGES TO ACCESS?

- **B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER**
- C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT
- D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT
- E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS
- F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO v	YES
NO V	YES
NO V	YES
NO ~	YES
NO V	YES
NO V	YES

A: ACCESS		

B:LANDCOVER		
Improve	d grassland -	No rushes.
Penialia.	d + grased.	
formati		

C:LAND MANAGEMENT			
grazed	by	Sheep	

D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT
No change - except fenses rushes.
a little needy in pairs.
l' l'

E:POTENTIALLY THREA	TENING FACTORS (see table 1)
No	charge

RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	/	SLOW	RAPID

CONTINUED? YES NO

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS

PAGE..... OF..... PRN:

Note - this farm was one of the farms listed in the linear + point feature sample Square survey - check reference.

Photos were located in wrong positions on bastine map - these have been corrected on baseline + resurvey Sheet.

AGENT	PRE	SENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y	N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y	N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse,	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
			rhodedendron, thorn	(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	Y	N	High gradient	

RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SN	GRID REF: SO/SN 0532 6647		
PRN: 5278 SITE NAME:			
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE:		
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 💯 👃			
DATE RESURVEYED: 4/7/00.	RESURVEYED BY: CAH .		

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS

B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO 🗸	YES
NO _	YES
NO V	YES
NO V	YES
NO V	YES
NO	YES

A .	A	~	CE	SS
7		$\overline{}$		

Mr Evans - Bryssy fedd fm. Owned land sine 1989.

D.	TA	TIT	IO	FR
15.				M. PC

SITE: Imp gransland - Jone notes / Mistes.

HALO:

C: LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE: Mone weedy man in 96/93

HALO:

D: DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

Carnet ree any sign of enclosive - gran too high.

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see to	able at back of prof	forma)	
F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO _

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS	PAGE (OF)
	17/1/2002 40 (02) 01

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

AGENT	PRE	SENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS				
	Y	N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN				
	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in
				erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION				
	Y	N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
				(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE				
FACTORS	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y	N	High gradient	