

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: \$3/SO 26 SW	GRID REF: \$8/SO 2329 6011				
PRN: 1227 SITE NAME: Ence	Lasur.				
AIR PHOTO No 8587 LANDSCAPE TYPE: Radnov Plann					
DATE SURVEYED: 16.02.94	SURVEYED BY: DCF				
ACCESS: Harpton Fa	(m.				

B

LAND COVER:	
SITE: an improved pocarionerly p	bonture tresected.
HALO:	

RADNOR ESA. HISTORIC MONITORING

RA	SEI	LINE	1993

PRN:

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Manland had to graying

pon. snage

Bocanishar reseeds.

Ste in pas, good granty agminthal land.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

to they to be seen on the gound except perhaps very shint almost imperceptible embandment i places.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

ho public access nothing to see .


only hear threater formal that hangement,

who phosphing and reserving.

The is obviously best ag. land in the area.

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING **BASELINE 1993** SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN:

AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS		■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
		Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
none		■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	damage, leading to erosion
,		Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN		■ Demolition or removal of monument	active removal of artefacts/monument
		■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
		■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
- A		■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
accompred reseds.		■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
account.		■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
reserve		■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
		■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source,
			damage to artefacts and
			alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION		■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Tree Windthrow	potential massive
			damage/disturbance
		■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse,	root or rhizome
		rhodedendron, thorn	damage/disturbance (bracken
			least significant)
WEATHER/SITE		■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	greater erosion risk than level,
FACTORS		■ High Gradient	non exposed site



RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/\$/1/8/W 26 5W	GRID REF: SO/SHASH 28 29 601
PRN: 4225 SITE NAME: End	losue
AIR PHOTO No: 8587	7 0 - 1 - C Plain
No GROUND PHOTOS:	ANDSCAPE TYPE: Radnor Plain (Lowlad Valleys)
DATE RESURVEYED: 14/12/96	ESURVEYED BY:
IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING IN DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIA.: CHANGES TO ACCESS? B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION CE:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENIN F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY	NO YES
A: ACCESS	Change.
B:LANDCOVER	oned grassland.

C:LAND MANAGEMENT

though some trampling as a result of feeder

D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

No change -

E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)

Possibly change to avalok land - surrounding and good quality agricultural land.

RATE OF DECAY:

STABLE

SLOW V

RAPID

CONTINUED?



NO

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS

PAGE..... OF..... PRN:

Note feeder as located on map was identified in bottom corner of & field Some brompting + pouching but doesn't Occur near better actual feature but on the edge of the halo.

— Possible threat? see photo 42 25 05.

Note: This is a very avable area - the walton basin + possibly may change to avable land (crops. in the near feature.

POTENTIALLY THRE	EATENING F	ACTORS	
AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	N (V	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	(Y) N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
ξ.	YN	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse,	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
		rhodedendron, thorn	(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	Y N	High gradient	



NG -742

RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SN	GRID REF: SO/SN 2329 6011
PRN: 4225 SITE NAME:	
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE:
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 6	
DATE RESURVEYED: 8/6/80.	RESURVEYED BY: GAR.

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS

B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO /	YES
NO V	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO V	YES
NO	YES

A: ACCESS			

B: LANDCOVER		
SITE:		
HALO:		

C: LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE:

HALO: same bone patches around wing keeder oneas (phoso 6).

D: DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

Nothing deurous on ground, under la tiers emident but no clear pattern.

Under ESA agreement.

	ENTIALLY THREAT	`		• ,	
No	intention to	change to	crable	. hande	are
	vereed				
sher	p opening.	Does not	eppec	our gran	red. A
bit	weedy (huirtles).			

F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO V

SUPPLEMENTA	RY NOTES TO FIEL	D SURVEYS		PAGE OF
wall face		p. 14 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -	. Glast , Ig	and the party
	1			

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N Y N Y N Y N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Loss of vegetation cover/soil Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N	Demolition or removal of monument Rubbish dumping Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building Shallow cultivation/reseeds Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting Scrub burning	Active removal of artefacts/monument Infilling/contamination Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion As above Damage/disturbance of artefacts As above As above Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION WEATHER/SITE	Y N Y N Y N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns) Tree windthrow Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Damage/disturbance of artefacts Potential massive damage/disturbance Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
FACTORS	Y N Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure High gradient	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site