

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: STN/SO 15 NW	GRID REF: \$\$\\\\$0 \ 1463 \ 5850
PRN: 2099 SITE NAME: Stoine	dry Store
AIR PHOTO No 8717 No GROUND PHOTOS:	LANDSCAPE TYPE: / hip wired Upland
DATE SURVEYED: 23 2 94	SURVEYED BY: ACF
ACCESS: MR LEWIS 4 FEDW	

В

LAND COVER:	
SITE: (She	G MeV J2
	en wet + boggy
HALO:	

BASELINE 1993

PRN:

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE

SN2G WHE sign of grazing Some endence of hactor hacks

HALO:

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Store is lying down frat in het of boggy land almost obscured by vegetation (This ocky M N2)

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

har threat is agrentical supportent Throwage but his teem unlikely if hot aheady done.

Ven Whe sign of grass up in herd continued? YES I NO I

[NB I actual fact change night impore condution of monument by making it more Brious?]

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

SUPPLI	EMENTARY I	NOTES TO F	IELD S	URVEYS	PAGE	OF	PRN:

TABLE 1

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1993

PRN:

AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS		■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
		Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
hore		■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	damage, leading to erosion
V		■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN		■ Demolition or removal of monument	active removal of artefacts/monument
		■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
2		■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
port		■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
		■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
		■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
		Scrub Burning in Bonfires	 intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION		■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
nore.		■ Tree Windthrow	potential massive damage/disturbance
In		Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	■ root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE		■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	greater erosion risk than level,
FACTORS		■ High Gradient	non exposed site

RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN 15 N	GRID REF: SO/SH/SN 1463 5850
PRN: 2099 SITE NAME:	Standing Stone
AIR PHOTO No: 8717	and watered
No GROUND PHOTOS:	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Improved upland
DATE RESURVEYED:	RESURVEYED BY:
IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWIN DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPRO	G HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, PRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.
A:CHANGES TO ACCESS? B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATEN F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY	
A: ACCESS	
B:LANDCOVER	

KADNOK ESA 1113 TOKIC MONITOKING		
C:LAND MANAGEMENT		
		h
D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONU	MENT	
1 112	ge -possibly a quite met t boggy	Lear lose
little Chan	ge - possibly a g	
rushes - a	wife met + boggy	around
7		
the base of	I the monument	
	ı	
E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTO	ORS (see table 1)	
	,	
F		
		DARID
RATE OF DECAY: STABLE	SLOW	RAPID

CONTINUED? YES NO

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS


PAGE..... OF..... PRN:

Note - photos Lad difficulties		

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, RESURVEY 1996

AGENT	PRES	ENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y	N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y	N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse,	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
			rhodedendron, thorn	(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	(Y)	N	High gradient	





AS 1467

RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SN	GRID REF: SO/SN 1463 5850
PRN: 2099 SITE NAME:	
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE:
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 5	
DATE RESURVEYED: 25/7/00.	RESURVEYED BY: CAA.

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS

B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO	YES _
NO 🗸	YES
NO L	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO	YES

A: ACCESS Rhewey	fur.!	

LANDCOV	ER			
TE:				
ALO:				
	NAGEMENT			
ITE:				
HALO:				
D: DESCRIE	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIF	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIE	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIE	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIE	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIF	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIF	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIF	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIF	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIE	TION/CONDIT	ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIE		ION OF MONU	UMENT	
D: DESCRIF		ION OF MONU	UMENT	

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table at back of proforma)				
E DATE OF DECISE				
F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID	
	•			

CONTINUED?

YES

NO _

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS	PAGE OF	
glass with ~ Theres		

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N Y N Y N Y N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Loss of vegetation cover/soil Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N	Demolition or removal of monument Rubbish dumping Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building Shallow cultivation/reseeds Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting Scrub burning	Active removal of artefacts/monument Infilling/contamination Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion As above Damage/disturbance of artefacts As above As above Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y N Y N Y N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns) Tree windthrow Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Damage/disturbance of artefacts Potential massive damage/disturbance Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y N Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure High gradient	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site