

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: 814/SO26 SW	GRID REF: \$75/SO 2435 6243	
PRN: 678 SITE NAME: Romal Barrow		
AIR PHOTO No 8567 No GROUND PHOTOS: 4	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Radnov Plain	
DATE SURVEYED: 16.02.94	SURVEYED BY: JACF.	
ACCESS: phase not known. field adjusent to noad no accent to land regurned.		

 \mathbf{B}

LAND COVER:	
SITE: Winter cerears	
HALO:	

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

PRN:

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: /

Agricultural land med for anta

regularly play hed.

HALO:

Annhuy troy 2 in edge of field Siggests some for grazing as well.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Monoment forms sman homp is modelle of field, not treated they chiterentry is agreementable manageral terms
when to be in Good wandstron?


E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

man theer is planghing + cuttivating
The proble accen but does not seem to be
A major threat to
Condition of monument

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING **BASELINE 1993** SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN:

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS **ACTION SIGNIFICANCE AGENT** PRESENT? ■ damage/disturbance to ■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits **ANIMALS** underground artefacts ■ loss of vegetation cover/soil Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep ■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep damage, leading to erosion ■ as above, possible damage to ■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, upstanding feature horses, sheep active removal of ■ Demolition or removal of monument HUMAN artefacts/monument ■ infilling/contamination ■ Rubbish Dumping ■ loss of vegetation cover/soil ■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines damage leading to erosion contrated for as above ■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc ■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building ■ damage/disturbance of artefacts as above ■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds ■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting as above П ■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile ■ damage/disturbance of artefacts **VEGETATION** Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns) ■ Tree Windthrow potential massive damage/disturbance ■ root or rhizome ■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, damage/disturbance (bracken rhodedendron, thorn least significant) greater erosion risk than level, ■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure WEATHER/SITE non exposed site **FACTORS** ■ High Gradient



RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

Α

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SOISHISTA 26 S	M GRID REF: SO/SHIEN 24 35 6243
PRN: 1078 SITE NAME: ROL	nd barron
AIR PHOTO No: 8567	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Radnor Plain?
No GROUND PHOTOS: 5	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Radrot Field (ONLand Valleys
DATE RESURVEYED:	RESURVEYED BY:

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A:CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO		YES
NO	/	YES /
NO		YES -
NO		YES
NO	~	YES
NO	V	YES

See below

See onerlay

A: ACCESS

Upper Honse Farm. - permission gained.

Note - Walton busin avable coopping + rotation area)

B:LANDCOVER	No real change - land still used as for
	arable cropping - though part of
	field duided for winner grazing.
4	Electricité fence V. close to Round barron.
	chaiding fields from winter certal + grazing

E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1) Continued plongting + authivation of lad
D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT No Charge E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)
E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)
E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)
E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)
E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)
continued plongling + authivation of land
F
RATE OF DECAY: STABLE SLOW RAPID

CONTINUED? YES NO

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996


SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS

PAGE..... OF..... PRN:

Photo 18 78 g Bud barrow electric fencing	05 - shows close up of with winter cereals + as dividing line.	

PO	TENTI	ALLY	THREAT	TENING	FACTORS
				E TITLE	TAU IUN

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
huthin halo not	Y N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
huthin halo not on monument	Y N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	(Y) N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	YN	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse,	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
		rhodedendron, thorn	(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	Y N	High gradient	



RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SN	GRID REF: SO/8N 2435 6243
PRN: 1078 SITE NAME: Low	nd Darrow.
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE:
No. GROUND PHOTOS:	
DATE RESURVEYED: 8/6/00	RESURVEYED BY: Cart .

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS

B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO 🗸	YES
NO	YES V
NO 🗸	YES
NO 🗸	YES
NO _	YES
NO	YES

A: ACCESS	

B: LANDCOVER				
SITE: Gras (sclage comp).			
HALO:	A			
	4117913	Мистектика		*==24909974
C: LAND MANAGE	MENT			
SITE:				
HALO:				
D: DESCRIPTION/C				
Electric fence	e has been	removed.	like is w	changed.

NO 🗸

YES

CONTINUED?

E: POTENTIALLY	THREATENING FACTORS	(see table at	back of pro	forma)	
Noming ne	ω.				
F: RATE OF DECA	Y:	STAE	BLE	SLOW	RAPID
T.RATE OF DECA		51711			

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS	PAGE (OF !
, man -7 assus		3000

POTENTIALLY THREA	TENING FACTORS
-------------------	----------------

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N Y N Y N Y N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Loss of vegetation cover/soil Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion As above, plus possible damage to upstanding feature.
HUMAN	Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N	Demolition or removal of monument Rubbish dumping Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building Shallow cultivation/reseeds Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting Scrub burning	Active removal of artefacts/monument Infilling/contamination Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion As above Damage/disturbance of artefacts As above As above Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y N Y N Y N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns) Tree windthrow Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Damage/disturbance of artefacts Potential massive damage/disturbance Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y N Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure High gradient	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site