

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

 \mathbf{A}

OS 1:10000 SHEET: 88/SO 07 NW	GRID REF: \$21/SO 0169 7826			
PRN: 959SITE NAME: Comobarrow				
AIR PHOTO No 03 84 054 LOW No GROUND PHOTOS:	LANDSCAPE TYPE: luponed Upland.			
DATE SURVEYED: 4.3.94	SURVEYED BY: JOCF			
ACCESS: Mr Marrer Trinnant for	/m			

B

LAND (COVER: SNEG Generally dry banks heathland
SITE:	2 Tumuhui (1) lawar, UNF
	2 Tomohi (1) lower, UNF (2) lingles FOU
HALO:	remander of site NFV some Clocking)
	with ev + 1/2 in wester eners
	16 forms a mosaie 4 veg. Types

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

PRN:

 \mathbf{C}

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: SNRG For Growing

Seems to be home grazed now

but looks avergrazed a past

(it heater gazed out)

No sheep on 4.3. 94 buttigm of

accen askent to farming peretrong.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Monnent forms 2 mound 1 smaller + laver veg. offerent to mong the some arrience of theep damage to longer mound Cit scrapping of chafe damage to swand

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

Were meet apart for agressing Site is general is hot aring used, but there is ghoop duringe to longer mound with stones this bodged by theep scrapping to public access.

vany expeded site

CONTINUED? YES □ NO □

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING **BASELINE 1993** SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN:

AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS		■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
		Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
2- 845		■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	damage, leading to erosion
mound		Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN		■ Demolition or removal of monument	active removal of artefacts/monument
		■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
		■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
1 . ~			damage leading to erosion
hone		■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
		■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
		■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	as above
		■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source,
			damage to artefacts and
			alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION		■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Tree Windthrow	■ potential massive
none			damage/disturbance
		■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse,	root or rhizome
		rhodedendron, thorn	damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE		■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	greater erosion risk than level,
FACTORS		■ High Gradient	non exposed site

WITHOUTH Very extracted house?

RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

Α

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN 07 NW	GRID REF: SOISHISH 0169 7826
PRN: 959 SITE NAME: Dome	EN-DDU-(ROUND BARROW)
AIR PHOTO No: 03 · 84 . 054	
No GROUND PHOTOS:	LANDSCAPE TYPE:
DATE RESURVEYED:	RESURVEYED BY: GA Hopwood.

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A:CHANGES TO ACCESS?
B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER
C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT
D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT
E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO V	YES
NO V	YES
NO	YES
NO -	YES V
NO V	YES
NO -	YES

A: A	ACCESS	3				
	Mv	Hamer -	Thinnaut	Puy.		
		/				

B:LANDCOVER		
,		
7		
	,	

C:LAND MANAGEMENT		

D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT As per baseline description but carry has been rebuilt on top of mound. Now a more Dubstantial square cairen approx 1.5 m high. Sheep scrape does not appear to have workened much - no other damage winble. Monument is probably fairly stable at The moment.

E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1) Possible sheep damage, and the V exported (50 mph wind when someged on 10/2 (97!)

RATE OF DECAY: STABLE SLOW RAPID	F			
	RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID


CONTINUED? YES (NO

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD S	URVEYS	PAGE OF PRN:

POTENTIALLY THR	EATENI	NG FAC	TORS	
AGENT	PRES	ENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y	N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Ŷ	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y	N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse,	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
			rhodedendron, thorn	(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	Y	N	High gradient	



RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SN	GRID REF: SO/8N 0169 7826
PRN: 959 SITE NAME:	
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE:
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 8	
DATE RESURVEYED: 20/6/00.	RESURVEYED BY: GAH.

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

- A: CHANGES TO ACCESS
- B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER
- C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT
- D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT
- E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS
- F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

YES
YES
YES
YES -
YES
YES

A: ACCESS	

B: LANDCOVER	
SITE:	
HALO:	
C: LAND MANAGEMENT	
SITE:	
HALO:	CARCO CONTINUE DO ARCO CONTINUE DE
D: DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT	reading to the total attraction of
Swape on lide of mound more	pronounced. Share Wil
visible and has been used to	build cain in top of
mound,	YASSOLELLI (UEU JUEGAS) (C
Three cain was slightly table	Man 1996.
Ohrerwise unchanged.	e27033203

Removal	of expored	2 hor	e to	build	conon	is alla	min	9
	damage							

filled in if possible rather from enlarged! The purpos 4/8.

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table at back of proforma)

F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW _	RAPID
		I	
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO _

PPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS	PAGE OF
në di kame proset që jadettit si	

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N Y N Y N Y N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Loss of vegetation cover/soil Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N	Demolition or removal of monument Rubbish dumping Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building Shallow cultivation/reseeds Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting Scrub burning	Active removal of artefacts/monument Infilling/contamination Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion As above Damage/disturbance of artefacts As above As above Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y N Y N Y N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns) Tree windthrow Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Damage/disturbance of artefacts Potential massive damage/disturbance Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y N Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds — exposure High gradient	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site