

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1993

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: \$50 1456	GRID REF: \$1/50 1865 4151
PRN: 414 SITE NAME: Fresd.	sus 18m
AIR PHOTO No 1388 (77) No GROUND PHOTOS: 5	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Palling Hills & Valleys
DATE SURVEYED: 08.52.94	SURVEYED BY: F.
ACCESS: Commonan	A.

В

HALO: Whole common in same round cover

Mpe. Shighing home serves to some of

anes. (Gat / burn / home some

min some gone).

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BA	SF		IN	E	19	93
		4	17.4	<u> </u>	1	10

PRN:

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE:

Therappears to be no hunggment of any kind. There is no grazing.

HALO: prachen is deuse + bracken litter very 1877 photos show some Bracker cutting but ho sign of that is 1994.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

Difficult & assess. Difficult & see manument Under dense bracken litter + sams. Appear to be i remmasce conclution

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

No homan a answer Theat. man treat is from vegetation encoachment Through lack of management.

BASELINE 1993 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN:

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS **SIGNIFICANCE AGENT** PRESENT? **ACTION** ■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits ■ damage/disturbance to **ANIMALS** underground artefacts ■ loss of vegetation cover/soil ■ Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep No he damage, leading to erosion ■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep ■ as above, possible damage to ■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, upstanding feature horses, sheep active removal of ■ Demolition or removal of monument HUMAN artefacts/monument ■ infilling/contamination ■ Rubbish Dumping ■ loss of vegetation cover/soil ■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines damage leading to erosion as above ■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc ■ damage/disturbance of artefacts ■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building ■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds as above as above ■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting ■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile ■ damage/disturbance of artefacts ■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where **VEGETATION** Von overent with brackent deep rooted (heavy crowns) potential massive ■ Tree Windthrow damage/disturbance root or rhizome d ■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, damage/disturbance (bracken rhodedendron, thorn least significant) ■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure ■ greater erosion risk than level, WEATHER/SITE **FACTORS** ■ High Gradient non exposed site

RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN	GRID REF: SO/SH/SN
PRN: 414 SITE NAME:	-ield System
AIR PHOTO No: 13 88 (77)	0 11.11 17.11.
No GROUND PHOTOS:	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Rolling Hills & Valley
DATE RESURVEYED:	RESURVEYED BY:

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A:CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

	NO	V	YES	
X	NO	V	YES	
	NO	V	YES	
	NO	//	YES	
	NO	V/	YES	
	NO		YES	

A: ACCESS	Allebane		columnication of the columnica

B:LANDCOVER			,	,	1	1
Bracken	wer	seems	to	han	e inca	evsed
becoming	faller +	denses		altho.	Photo	shows
Winser ch	cback.					

C:LAND MANAGEMENT			
No che	uge.		
	7		
D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUM	IENT		
h 10 //	and .		
No Ch	ing		
	,		
E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTO	RS (see table 1)		
P	/		
Bracken ene	pacenalens		
		>	
F			
RATE OF DECAY: STABLE	SLOW	. /	RAPID
THE ST DECKT			
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO
	CONTINUED	I LO	140

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

RESURVEY 1996

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS

PAGE..... OF..... PRN:

NOTE PROTO'S labeled. Correctly in

NB Photo # 04 14 04 Shows

brack - I believe this homener has

always been there - photo 04 114 05

Shows the edge of the brack

- although it books as if its had

some heavy machinary passing through

recently.

RADNOR ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, RESURVEY 1996

POTENTIALLY THE	REATENI	NG FAC	TORS	
AGENT	PRES	ENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y	N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y	N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse,	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
			rhodedendron, thorn	(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	Y	N	High gradient	

RADNOR ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 2000

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SN	GRID REF: SO/SN 1865 4151				
PRN: 414 SITE NAME: Fi	eld Syptem				
AIR PHOTO No:	LANDSCAPE TYPE:				
No. GROUND PHOTOS: 5					
DATE RESURVEYED: 5/6/00.	RESURVEYED BY: GAH.				

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS

B: CHANGES TO LAND COVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO V	YES
NO	YES _
NO V	YES
NO _	YES
NO _	YES
NO	YES

A: ACCESS				

B: LANDCOVER

SITE: Bracken with thees

HALO: Its at bareline and 1996.

C: LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE: None Shurow.

HALO: None do mous.

D: DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

Tree souls come appears to have increased.

Some cost not regen appearing, histe mea still dure negetation come - bracken.

No real change one han modely hack seen in 1996 photo 4 has greened our now.

Feature itself unchanged.

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table at back of proforma)						
F: RATE OF DECAY:	STABLE	SLOW	RAPID			
	CONTINUED?	YES	NO _			

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS	PAGE OF /
GHAS WOSE >, LISAS	

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N Y N Y N Y N	Burrowing – badgers, foxes, rabbits Overgrazing – cattle, horses, sheep Poaching – cattle, horses, sheep Rubbing point/erosion focus point – cattle, horses, sheep	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Loss of vegetation cover/soil Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N	Demolition or removal of monument Rubbish dumping Trampling/poaching – on footpaths/desire lines Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building Shallow cultivation/reseeds Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting Scrub burning	Active removal of artefacts/monument Infilling/contamination Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion As above Damage/disturbance of artefacts As above As above Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION WEATHER/SITE	Y N Y N Y N	Root damage – trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted – heavy crowns) Tree windthrow Scrub encroachment – bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	Damage/disturbance of artefacts Potential massive damage/disturbance Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
FACTORS	Y N Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds – exposure High gradient	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site