

15A)

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SM/SN 13 NW	GRID REF: SM/SN 0850 3879		
PRN: 18617 SITE NAME: WEVERN			
AIR PHOTO No 59/92/084 No GROUND PHOTOS: 5	LANDSCAPE TYPE: &		
DATE SURVEYED: 30/11/94	SURVEYED BY: GA HOPWSOD		
ACCESS: Site owned by Mr Phillips, Sychpant fam. Tel: Newpont (Dycea) 820488. Guid nef: 084386.			

 \mathbf{B}

LAND COVER:

SITE: A mix of general form wbbish, topsoil + stone weste. Some somb and small beces.

HALO: Improved granland,

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

PRN: 18617

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Cowently used as a downpring grea for Nobish and rock/soll waske from a building area in he formyard.

HALO: Used for grazing - no livestock present when winked. Well managed, contrious grass cover.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Distinct to see original quarry became of piles of Nobish and building waste now being dumped. Former indicated that the feature would probably be tilled in completly at some point. Damage in considerable and he feature is in imminent danger of being totally deshayed. At least one mature tree has been

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

Most serous threat are ubbish dumping and demolitron / removal of monument. The feature will shortly be completly obliterated and probably graned oner.

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING


BASELINE 1994

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF ...!. PRN: 18617

ed at some noment.	itage -	he	strup	being	wible
					-

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1994

AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS		■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
		Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil
		■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	damage, leading to erosion
		Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN		■ Demolition or removal of monument	active removal of artefacts/monument
	9	■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
		■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
		■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
		■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
		■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
		Scrub Burning in Bonfires	intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION		■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	damage/disturbance of artefacts
		■ Tree Windthrow	■ potential massive damage/disturbance
		■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse,	■ root or rhizome
		rhodedendron, thorn	damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE		■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	greater erosion risk than level,
FACTORS		■ High Gradient	non exposed site



PRESELI ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 1997/98

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN 13	NW	GRID REF: SO	/SH/SN	6850	3879
PRN: 18617 SITE NAME:	WEVER	-11	,		
AIR PHOTO No: 59/12/084	LANDSC	APE TYPE:	E		
No GROUND PHOTOS: 5					
DATE RESURVEYED:	RESURVI	EYED BY:	C- Bo	Sley	

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A.CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E:CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO	1	YES		
NO	-	YES		
NO		YES	-	
NO.		YES		
NO	_	YES		-
NO		YES	-	=
		60	Ne	,

		60106
A: ACCESS	MR. PHILIPS	
	01239 820488-	
	•	

B LANDCOVER
SITE: The site itself has been infilled though tubbish, lopsoil of stone waste Still exists
HALO: Improved grassland which has been fenced off by bleeting funding

C:LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE:

HALO: - This area as inglingwed in photo 1861/75 shows the Electric fence which has been eneched to create a path probbably for cattle for MUKING

monument has been completely in-filled & Rubbish Still has seems to be dumped here though it seems likely this area will be improved for the field rehumed to agricultural use.

E:POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)	
next stage will be - rubbish- removed + or feature infill a	
removed + of feature infill a	inea
grassed onev.	
feature no longer exists	

F

RATE OF DECAY:

STABLE

SLOW

RAPID

YES

CONTINUED?

NO

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

AGENT	PRESENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle,	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
		horses, sheep	This above, plus possible damage to apstanding features
		norses, sheep	
HUMAN	YN	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Ŵ N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting
			in erosion
	Y N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and
			alteration of soil profile
			The state of the s
VEGETATION	Y N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
		where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	
	Y N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse,	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
		rhodedendron, thorn	(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	Y N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	Y N	High gradient	