



PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SM/SN 02 ME	GRID REF: SM/SN 0641 2729
PRN: 1317 SITE NAME: HONMUS CROMLECH	
AIR PHOTO No 56/92/261 No GROUND PHOTOS: 8	LANDSCAPE TYPE: 9
DATE SURVEYED: 5/1/95	SURVEYED BY: SA HOPWOOD
ACCESS: land owned by Mr Phillips, Blacknuck farm. Tel: Maenchochog 532471. Grid ref: 070275.	

B

LAND COVER:
SITE: Improved grassland.
HALO:

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

PRN: 1317

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Used for grazing. No livestock present when visited. Appears lightly grazed and poached in places. Sward has a fairly rough,

HALO: unkempt appearance.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Two separate piles of stones. The smaller of the two lies to the S and is virtually flush with the ground surface. The mound of stones to the N consists of two buried stones protruding 0.75-1.0 m above the ground with a third boulder wedged between. There are smaller rocks heaped around these three stones. This mound appears

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

Currently at risk from damage caused by livestock rubbing on stones and poaching surrounding ground.

Potential for further damage by removal or demolition of existing stones; although farmer did not indicate any plans to do this.

CONTINUED? YES ☒ NO ☐

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS

PAGE OF PRN: 1317

D/
to be a focal point for livestock. The stones have been rubbed smooth and there is a muddy circle around them where livestock have trampled the sward. The farmer has cleared other stones in this group and mentioned that some were buried 15-20 feet deep. He seemed to think that they were a natural feature.

The present arrangement is semi-natural. Some of the stones could obviously not be moved - while others have been placed on or around them to clear the surrounding area.

Also 8 small large boulders that have been placed on top of the bank that forms the boundary to this field.

TABLE 1

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1994

PRN: 137

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> damage/disturbance to underground artefacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> loss of vegetation cover/soil damage, leading to erosion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Demolition or removal of monument <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rubbish Dumping <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shallow cultivation/Reseeds <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scrub Burning in Bonfires	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> active removal of artefacts/monument <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> infilling/contamination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> as above <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> damage/disturbance of artefacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> as above <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> as above <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tree Windthrow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhododendron, thorn	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> damage/disturbance of artefacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> potential massive damage/disturbance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Gradient	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site

PRESELI ESA RESURVEY 1997
POINT LINEAR / HISTORICAL MONITORING

SQUARE No. ☐

OWNERSHIPS ☐

LAND COVER ☐

BOUNDARIES ☐

PHOTO RECORD ☐

SN0641 2729

1317

Preseli ESA



PRESELI ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 1997/98

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN 02 NE		GRID REF: SO/SH/SN 0641 2729	
PRN: 1317 SITE NAME: Hodmus Cromlech			
AIR PHOTO No: 56/92/261		LANDSCAPE TYPE: G	
No GROUND PHOTOS: 8			
DATE RESURVEYED: 27/8/97		RESURVEYED BY: C. Bosley	

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B: CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NO	YES
NO	YES

A: ACCESS

Mr. Philips 01437 532471
(Black rock fm).

B:LANDCOVER

SITE:

HALO:

C:LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE: USED FOR GRAZING CATTLE PRESENT

HALO:

D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

Stones COMPLETELY REMOVED. SEE photos ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥
Farmer recently removed them, believed them
to be just natural features - as he had to
dig down a considerable way to remove them.

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)

Completely removed.

F

RATE OF DECAY:

STABLE

SLOW

RAPID

CONTINUED?

YES

NO

✓

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS				
AGENT	PRESENT		ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y	N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y	N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y	N	High gradient	