

(19)

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SM/SN 235E	GRID REF: SM/SN 2873 3457
PRN: ///2 SITE NAME: HEN CAGRAU	
AIR PHOTO No 70/92/136	LANDSCAPE TYPE: G
No GROUND PHOTOS: 6	
DATE SURVEYED: 15/11/94	SURVEYED BY: SA HOPWOOD
ACCESS: Ty-mawr farm. Mr Jenkins. G-Ref: 280349.	

B

LAND COVER: SITE: Improved grassland: HALO: Improved grassland:

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

PRN: 1112

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

SITE: Grazing of dairy cattle + sheep. Appears well managed. No scrub encroachment.

HALO: As above.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Circular bowl shaped depression with a raised bank faintly visible around it. Completely covered with grass and part of a hedge. No signs of damage by livestock / rabbits etc. Does not appear to have been substantially damaged to any degree.

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

Nothing obvious at present. No stock damage seen. The only major threat would be cultivation but the likelihood of this is unknown. Farmer mentioned that field is used for grazing only so ploughing could be a potential threat if the pasture is reseeded.

CONTINUED? YES ☐ NO ☒

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN:

TABLE 1

PRESELI ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1994

PRN: 1112

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS			
AGENT	PRESENT?	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	■ damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage, leading to erosion
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	■ as above, possible damage to upstanding feature
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	
HUMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Demolition or removal of monument	■ active removal of artefacts/monument
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Rubbish Dumping	■ infilling/contamination
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	■ as above
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires	■ intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted (heavy crowns)	■ damage/disturbance of artefacts
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Tree Windthrow	■ potential massive damage/disturbance
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	■ root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	■ greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	<input type="checkbox"/>	■ High Gradient	

PRESELI ESA RESURVEY 1997
POINT LINEAR / HISTORICAL MONITORING

SQUARE No. ☐

OWNERSHIPS ☐

LAND COVER ☐


BOUNDARIES ☐

PHOTO RECORD ☐

1112

PRESELI

SN2837 3457



PRESELI ESA HISTORIC MONITORING RESURVEY 1997/98

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN 23 SE		GRID REF: SO/SH/SN 2873 3457	
PRN: 112 SITE NAME: HEN CAERAY			
AIR PHOTO No: 701921136		LANDSCAPE TYPE: G	
No GROUND PHOTOS: 7			
DATE RESURVEYED: 5/9/97		RESURVEYED BY: C. Bosley	

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A: CHANGES TO ACCESS?

B: CHANGES TO LANDCOVER

C: CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D: CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F: CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES
NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES

A: ACCESS

Mr Philips

Ty - mawr fm tel 01239 698628

(wrong name put down originally)

B:LANDCOVER

SITE:

HALO:

C:LAND MANAGEMENT

SITE: No real change - mr philips - strip grazing
cattle →
using Electric fencing (see map for location)

HALO:

P 7

D:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS (see table 1)

F

RATE OF DECAY:

STABLE

SLOW

RAPID

CONTINUED?

YES

NO

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS				
AGENT	PRESENT		ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS <i>against hedge banks</i>	Y	N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding features
HUMAN	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y	N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse, rhodedendron, thorn	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance (bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE FACTORS	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
	Y	N	High gradient	