SJ1690 5325 100933a /609355 Clwydian Range * according to Mr Whittow, Brannenle Favor this path is no longer a public bath but has seen replaced by a path along the redge but from Moely Wavn and goes through the 2 setes. Mille Mille 0 W11/// airn low 00 0 4 Olo. 00-

CLWYDIAN ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING

BASELINE 1994

A

OS 1:10000 SHEET: SJ /55E	GRID REF: SJ /69 5325			
PRN: SITE NAME: Moel y 100935(B)	Wavn A and B			
AIR PHOTO No 386 100 133 (4) No GROUND PHOTOS: 160 931 (7)	LANDSCAPE TYPE: Chwydian Pang (-			
DATE SURVEYED: 22/3/95	SURVEYED BY: De Pers			
ACCESS: The land is owned by Messis Owen, Occre Llandegla . (Not contacted) The easiest access is from Branninlle Fawr (172533 grid ry) with Jamissian from Mr J. Whitlow.				

B

LAND COVER:	Action and action of the control of the case of
SITE: Seni un proved upland sheep grazing.	Carles Chickens and Vindentification American
a frace line, the remains of a stone wal	1
and a foot path go through solt setes.	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PE
as site but west of the site on the	LONNING ALTERNATION OF THE PARTY AND THE PAR
sleeper slopes of Mody Warn there is an	MANAGEMENT OF STREET
abundance of govse	

BASELINE 1994

PRN:

100 933

C

LAND MANAGEMENT:

Jo the E and NE of the forceline the land SITE: 15 upland sheep grazing with them soil and some with out crops. Jo the W of the forceline upland species predominate and HALO: there is abundant growth of govse. The forceline is stockprosp. The stone wall has collapsed. The footpath is obvious but not would be footed. Ramples use it in summer.

D

DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT:

Neither of the caims stand out as obvious features and there is little to dutingwish them from similar areas along the ridge line. Without them being marked on the map they would not be obvious

E

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS: (see Table 1)

with a fonceline and foot path running through both sites, damage to the sites has already occurred

CLWYDIAN RANGE ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING **BASELINE 1994** SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TO FIELD SURVEYS PAGE OF PRN:

CLWYDIAN RANGE ESA, HISTORIC MONITORING, BASELINE 1994

POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS AGENT PRESENT? ACTION **SIGNIFICANCE** ANIMALS ■ Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits П damage/disturbance to underground artefacts Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep ■ loss of vegetation cover/soil ■ Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep damage, leading to erosion ■ Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, П as above, possible damage to horses, sheep upstanding feature HUMAN ■ Demolition or removal of monument active removal of П artefacts/monument Rubbish Dumping ■ infilling/contamination W ■ Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines ■ loss of vegetation cover/soil damage leading to erosion ■ Mountain Bikes/Motorcross etc as above ■ Deep Ploughing/Drainage Works/Building ■ damage/disturbance of artefacts ■ Shallow cultivation/Reseeds as above ■ Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting as above ■ Scrub Burning in Bonfires intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile **VEGETATION** ■ Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where damage/disturbance of artefacts deep rooted (heavy crowns) ■ Tree Windthrow potential massive damage/disturbance Scrub Encroachment - bracken, gorse, root or rhizome rhodedendron, thorn damage/disturbance (bracken least significant) ■ High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure WEATHER/SITE greater erosion risk than level, **FACTORS** High Gradient non exposed site

A

OS 1:10,000 SHEET: SO/SH/SN < 5 J	15JE GRID REF: SO/SH/SN SJ/695325
PRN: SITE NAME: 100933 (A) 4 100935 (B)	Most y Wavn A and B
AIR PHOTO No: 386	LANDSCAPE TYPE:
No GROUND PHOTOS: 2	Choydian Range
DATE RESURVEYED: 21/8797	RESURVEYED BY:

IF CHANGES TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THE BASELINE, DETAIL THESE CHANGES IN THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF THE RESURVEY PROFORMA.

A:CHANGES TO ACCESS? **B:CHANGES TO LANDCOVER** C:CHANGES TO LAND MANAGEMENT

D:CHANGES IN DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF MONUMENT

E: CHANGES IN POTENTIALLY THREATENING FACTORS

F:CHANGE IN RATE OF DECAY

NO	YES V
NO V	YES

A: ACCESS
Clar Valphrach
access to the Jete was made by the public foot path which runs through it and not
footpath which runs through it and not
from Branninlle Fawr.

B:LANDCOVER				
SITE:				
HALO:		,		
		No.		
C:LAND MANAGEMENT				_
	м			
ITE:				
ALO:				
P .			4	
:DESCRIPTION/CONDITION OF	MONUMENT			
	and the case was the second second in the			

POTENTIALI	Y THREATENING FA	CTORS (see ta	ble 1)			
			e e			
					•	
				2		
						19
					, *	
		,		(20) e).		
9	*	·.			¥	
	9					
	4 **					
	w *					*
				,		•
				7.		
			¥			41
8						
					*	*
					•	74

CONTINUED?

SLOW

RATE OF DECAY:

STABLE

YES

RAPID

NO

AGENT	PRE	SENT	ACTION	SIGNIFICANCE
ANIMALS	Y	N	Burrowing - badgers, foxes, rabbits	Damage/disturbance to underground artefacts
	Y	N	Overgrazing - cattle, horses, sheep	Loss of vegetation cover/soil
	Y	N	Poaching - cattle, horses, sheep	Damage to vegetation cover, leading to erosion
	Y	N	Rubbing point/erosion focus point - cattle, horses, sheep	As above, plus possible damage to upstanding feature
HUMAN	Y	N	Demolition or removal of monument	Active removal of artefacts/monument
t.	Y	N	Rubbish dumping	Infilling/contamination
	Y	N	Trampling/poaching - on footpaths/desire lines	Loss of vegetation cover/soil damage resulting in erosion
	Y	N	Mountain bikes/Motorcross etc	As above
	Y	N	Deep ploughing/Drainage works/Building	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Shallow cultivation/reseeds	As above
	Y	N	Tree/Scrub removal by uprooting	As above
	Y	N	Scrub burning in bonfires	Intense heat at point source, damage to artefacts and alteration of soil profile
VEGETATION	Y	N	Root damage - trees and scrub (especially where deep rooted - heavy crowns)	Damage/disturbance of artefacts
	Y	N	Tree windthrow	Potential massive damage/disturbance
	Y	N	Scrub encroachment - bracken, gorse,	Root or rhizome damage/disturbance
	,		rhodedendron, thorn	(bracken least significant)
WEATHER/SITE	Y	N	High rainfall/windspeeds - exposure	Greater erosion risk than level, non exposed site
FACTORS	Y	N	High gradient	The state of the s